

Lecture 17 – 2020.6.16 – 14:15 via Zoom – F. de Vecchi

(Script by M. Gubinelli of the lecture of Francesco.)

Commutative setting: representation Q_0 of an abelian C^* algebra \mathcal{A} on an Hilbert space \mathcal{H} .

$$\mathcal{A} = C_b^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}), \quad \mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$$

$$a(x) \in \mathcal{A}, \quad h(x) \in \mathcal{H}$$

$$Q_0(a)h = a(x)h(x)$$

$$Q_0(e^{iax})h = e^{iax}h(x)$$

Norm on \mathcal{A} is the uniform norm on $C_b^0(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C})$. This representation is faithful $\ker(Q_0) = 0$.

Suppose that we have a cyclic vector $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}$.

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \{Q_0(a)h_0, a \in \mathcal{A}\}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{H}.$$

Theorem 1. Under the hypothesis $\overline{\mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{H}$ the system $(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A}, Q_0)$ is isomorphic to $(L^2(X, \mathbb{C}, \mu), C_\infty^0(X, \mathbb{C}), m)$ where X is a locally compact Hausdorff space, μ is a measure on X and C_∞^0 is the set of continuous functions going to zero at infinity and m is the multiplication operator.

Proof. By Gelfand–Naimark $\mathcal{A} \approx C_\infty^0(X, \mathbb{C})$ where X is the space of characters (i.e. pure, positive states on \mathcal{A}) equipped with the weak- $*$ topology. \square

Remark 2. In the case where $1 \in \mathcal{A}$ then X is compact, so $\mathcal{C}_\infty^0(X) = \mathcal{C}^0(X)$.

We can take $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^{\text{GNS}}$ where the state generating the GNS construction is $\omega^{h_0}(a) = \langle h_0, Q_0(a)h_0 \rangle$. Here ω^{h_0} is a positive functional on \mathcal{A} . ω^{h_0} is continuous wrt. the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ norm where we identify $\mathcal{A} \approx C_\infty^0(X, \mathbb{C})$. So ω^{h_0} defines a measure on X since is in $(C_\infty^0(X, \mathbb{C}))^*$ (the dual space, i.e. the space of bounded measures). Moreover it is a non-negative measure. We call it μ and have that

$$\mathcal{H}^{\text{GNS}} \rightarrow L^2(X, \mu)$$

$$U(Q_0(a)h_0) = a(x) \in L^2(X, \mu)$$

This is an isomorphism where Q_0 corresponds to the multiplication m .

Let us note that we have that $\mathbb{R}^n \hookrightarrow X$ and actually X is a compactification of \mathbb{R}^n which we are not able to work with explicitly.

Dynamics

$(\mathcal{H}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}, \tilde{Q}_0)$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ is a general C^* -algebra and \tilde{Q}_0 is a representation in \mathcal{H} .

Definition 3. Let $(\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ a set of C^* -automorphisms of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$. We call α a regular dynamics, if

- i. $(\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a group wrt. t , i.e. $\alpha_0 = \text{id}$ and $\alpha_t \circ \alpha_s = \alpha_{t+s}$ for any $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$
- ii. the map $t \mapsto \alpha_t$ is weakly continuous, i.e. for any state ω and for any $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ the map $t \mapsto \omega(\alpha_t(a))$ is continuous.

Define $\tilde{Q}_t(a) := \tilde{Q}(\alpha_t(a))$ for $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$

Definition 4. The set $\{U(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a unitary group of strongly continuous operators, if $U(t)U(s) = U(t+s)$ and $U(t)^* = U(-t)$ and if the map $t \mapsto U(t)$ is weakly (and thus strongly) continuous.

Theorem 5. Assume that there exists a state $\omega^{h_0}(\alpha_t(a)) = \omega^{h_0}(a)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $(\alpha_t)_t$ is a regular dynamics of $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$, then if \mathcal{H} is the GNS representation space associated with ω^{h_0} and $h_0 \in \mathcal{H}$ is the corresponding cyclic vector, then there exists a unitary strongly continuous group $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on \mathcal{H} such that

$$\tilde{Q}_t(\cdot) = U(t)\tilde{Q}_0(\cdot)U(-t)$$

and also $U(t)h_0 = h_0$.

Lemma 6. Suppose that we have a contraction $V(t)$, i.e. $\|V(t)h\| \leq \|h\|$, such that $V(0) = 1$ and $V(t)$ is weakly continuous in t at zero, then it is strongly continuous at zero.

Proof. We have

$$0 \leq \|V(t)h - h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 = \|V(t)h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 + \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - 2\text{Re}\langle V(t)h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}} \leq 2\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 - 2\text{Re}\langle V(t)h, h \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

so weak continuity at zero is enough for strong continuity at zero. □

Proof. (of the Theorem 5)

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \{\tilde{Q}_0(a)h_0 | a \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}\}, \quad \overline{\mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{H},$$

Let's define

$$U_0(t)(\tilde{Q}_0(a)h_0) = \tilde{Q}_t(a)h_0 = \tilde{Q}_0(\alpha_t(a))h_0$$

We first prove that $U_0(t)$ is an isometry

$$\begin{aligned} \langle U_0(t)(\tilde{Q}_0(a_1)h_0), U_0(t)(\tilde{Q}_0(a_2)h_0) \rangle &= \langle \tilde{Q}_0(\alpha_t(a_1))h_0, \tilde{Q}_0(\alpha_t(a_2))h_0 \rangle \\ &= \langle h_0, \tilde{Q}_0(\alpha_t(a_1))^* \tilde{Q}_0(\alpha_t(a_2))h_0 \rangle = \langle h_0, \tilde{Q}_0(\alpha_t(a_1^* a_2))h_0 \rangle = \omega^{h_0}(\alpha_t(a_1^* a_2)) \\ &= \omega^{h_0}(a_1^* a_2) = \langle h_0, \tilde{Q}_0(a_1^* a_2)h_0 \rangle = \langle \tilde{Q}_0(a_1)h_0, \tilde{Q}_0(a_2)h_0 \rangle \end{aligned}$$

So $U_0(t)$ is an isometry on \mathcal{H}_0 so it is bounded on \mathcal{H}_0 and can be extended by continuity to $\overline{\mathcal{H}_0} = \mathcal{H}$. It remains to prove that it form a group. $\alpha_0 = 1 \Rightarrow U_0(0) = I_{\mathcal{H}}$ and

$$U_0(t)U_0(s)(\tilde{Q}_0(a)h_0) = U_0(t)(\tilde{Q}_0(\alpha_s(a))h_0) = (\tilde{Q}_0(\alpha_t(\alpha_s(a))))h_0 = (\tilde{Q}_0(\alpha_{t+s}(a)))h_0 = U_0(t+s)(\tilde{Q}_0(a)h_0)$$

so $U_0(t)U_0(s) = U_0(t+s)$ on \mathcal{H}_0 and therefore on all \mathcal{H} . It remains to prove that h_0 is invariant, but of course $U_0(t)h_0 = U_0(t)(\tilde{Q}_0(1)h_0) = \tilde{Q}_0(\alpha_t(1))h_0 = h_0$. We also have that it is weakly continuous

$$\langle (\tilde{Q}_0(a)h_0), U_0(t)(\tilde{Q}_0(b)h_0) \rangle = \langle h_0, \tilde{Q}_0(a^*\alpha_t(b))h_0 \rangle = \omega^{h_0}(a^*\alpha_t(b))$$

and $\omega^{h_0}(a^*\cdot)$ is a continuous functional on \mathcal{A} and therefore $t \mapsto \omega^{h_0}(a^*\alpha_t(b))$ is continuous, which proves that $U_0(t)$ is weakly continuous in \mathcal{H}_0 and then strongly continuous and can be extended as a strongly continuous group in \mathcal{H} . Note finally that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Q}_t(a)\tilde{Q}_0(b)h_0 &= \tilde{Q}_t(a\alpha_{-t}(b))h_0 = U_0(t)(\tilde{Q}_0(a\alpha_{-t}(b))h_0) = U_0(t)(\tilde{Q}_0(a)\tilde{Q}_0(\alpha_{-t}(b))h_0) \\ &= U_0(t)\tilde{Q}_0(a)U_0(-t)\tilde{Q}_0(b)h_0 \end{aligned}$$

so this proves that $\tilde{Q}_t(a) = U_0(t)\tilde{Q}_0(a)U_0(-t)$. □

Without the hypothesis that the state is invariant, then this construction is not true in general anymore. Take for example \mathcal{A} commutative, i.e. $C_\infty^0(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and consider an Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2, \mu)$ where

$$\mu(dx) = e^{-x^2/2}dx + \delta_0(dx)$$

and the usual multiplication and take $\alpha_t(f(x)) = f(x-t)$. But here there is no unitary group associated to α . Indeed take the state $\omega^\mu(a) = \int a(x)\mu(dx)$. Consider the translated state $\omega^\mu(\alpha_t(\cdot))$, then GNS representation of it lives on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n, \mu_t)$ where $\mu_t = T_t^*\mu$ the pull forward of μ by the translation operator. In order to have a unitary transformation we need that μ_t has to be absolutely continuous wrt. μ , but this is not the case.

In this lectures we will request always to have a unitary implementation of the dynamics $(\alpha_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ for $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}, Q_0)$, i.e. to have a strongly continuous group of unitary operators $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ so that $Q_t(\cdot) = Q_0(\alpha_t(\cdot)) = U(t)Q_0(\cdot)U(-t)$.

Theorem 7. Consider an Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , a strongly continuous unitary group $(U(t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ on \mathcal{H} , then there exists a unique C^* -representation X of $C_b^0(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ on \mathcal{H} such that

- i. $X(e^{it\cdot}) = U(t)$
- ii. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise and $\sup_n \|f_n\| < \infty$ then $X(f_n) \rightarrow X(f)$ weakly.

This was proven in one of the last lectures.

Definition 8. $\{K(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We say that $K(t)$ is a strongly continuous semigroup of self-adjoint contractions if

- i. $K(0) = 1$, $K(t)K(s) = K(t+s)$, for $t, s \geq 0$.
- ii. $K(t) = K(t)^*$,
- iii. $t \mapsto K(t)$ is strongly continuous
- iv. $\|K(t)h\| \leq \|h\|, t \geq 0$.

Next lecture we will prove the following theorem:

Theorem 9. *Assume that K is a strongly continuous semigroup of self-adjoint contractions then there exists a unique representation X of $C_b^0(\mathbb{R}_+)$ on \mathcal{H} such that*

i. $X(e^{-t\cdot}) = K(t)$

ii. If $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise and $\sup_n \|f_n\| < \infty$ then $X(f_n) \rightarrow X(f)$ weakly.