

Lecture 5 – Tue May 5th 2020 – 14:15 via Zoom – M. Gubinelli

[Strocchi, F. *An Introduction to the Mathematical Structure of Quantum Mechanics: A Short Course for Mathematicians*. 2 edition. New Jersey: World Scientific Publishing Company, 2008.]

[P. Meier, *Quantum probability for probabilists*. Springer. Very nice appendix on  $C^*$ -algebra]

## $C^*$ -algebras (continued)

Positivity, state (positive linear functionals), GNS theorem, Hilbert space setting for QM. Heisenberg indetermination principle.

$$\sigma(a) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : (\lambda - a) \text{ is not invertible}\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$$

$$\varrho(a) = \sup \{|\lambda| : \lambda \in \sigma(a)\} = \lim_n \|a^n\|^{1/n}.$$

If  $a$  is normal then

$$\varrho(a) = \|a\|.$$

*Spectral mapping principle:* Let  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ . If  $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is holomorphic in the neighborhood of  $\sigma(a)$  then  $f(a)$  is well defined (by series expansion) and  $\sigma(f(a)) = f(\sigma(a))$ . In particular this holds for polynomials.

**Definition 1.** A self-adjoint element  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  is positive iff  $\sigma(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ . We write  $a \geq 0$  or  $a \in \mathcal{A}_+$ .

Some properties

- Any self-adjoint positive  $a$  can be written as  $a = b^2$  where  $b = a^{1/2}$  (by functional calculus).
- If  $c^2 = a = b^2$  with s.a.  $b, c \in \mathcal{A}_+$  then  $b = c = a^{1/2}$ . There is only one positive square root.
- One can decompose any s.a.  $a$  into the difference of two positive elements  $a = a_+ - a_-$  (by functional calculus).

What is the structure of  $\mathcal{A}_+$ ?

- If  $a$  is self adjoint,  $\|a\| \leq 1$  then  $a \geq 0$  iff  $\|1 - a\| \leq 1$ . Observe that  $\sigma(1 - a) = 1 - \sigma(a)$ ,  $\varrho(1 - a) \leq 1$  and  $\varrho(a) \leq 1$  therefore  $\sigma(a) \subseteq [0, 1]$ .
- If  $a \geq 0$  then  $\lambda a \geq 0$  for all  $\lambda \geq 0$  since  $\sigma(\lambda a) = \lambda \sigma(a)$ . So  $\lambda \mathcal{A}_+ \subseteq \mathcal{A}_+$  and  $\mathcal{A}_+$  is a cone.
- Convex combinations of positive elements are positive:  $a = \lambda b + (1 - \lambda)c$ , with  $b, c \geq 0$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  then assume that  $\|b\|, \|c\| \leq 1$  so  $\|a\| \leq \lambda \|b\| + (1 - \lambda) \|c\| \leq 1$

$$\|1 - a\| \leq \lambda \|1 - b\| + (1 - \lambda) \|1 - c\| \leq \lambda + (1 - \lambda) \leq 1$$

so  $a \geq 0$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{A}_+$  is convex and by similar reasoning one can show that  $\mathcal{A}_+$  is closed.

Observe that if  $\mathcal{A}_+ = \mathcal{L}(H)$  then the element  $A^*A$  is positive in the operator sense  $\langle x, A^*Ax \rangle \geq 0$  for  $x \in H$ . From this follows also that it is positive in the sense of  $C^*$  algebras.

For long time it was conjectured that this was true for any  $C^*$  algebra (namely that elements of the form  $a^*a$  are positive). But was not proven easily.

**Theorem 2.** For  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $a^*a \geq 0$  (and all the positive elements have that form).

We write

$$a \geq b \Leftrightarrow a - b \geq 0.$$

Beware of “trivial” inequalities because they could not be true in general  $C^*$  algebras.

For example if  $0 \leq a \leq b$  then it is not true in general that  $a^2 \leq b^2$ . If you define  $|a| = (a^*a)^{1/2}$  then it is not true in general that  $|a+b| \leq |a| + |b|$ .

True inequalities:

- $a \leq \|a\|$  (i.e.  $\|a\|1 - a \in \mathcal{A}_+$ ) and  $a^2 \leq \|a\|a$  (by spectral considerations).  $f(x) = \|a\| - x \geq 0$  on  $\sigma(a)$ .
- $a \geq 0 \Rightarrow c^*ac \geq 0$  for any  $c \in \mathcal{A}$ . (by Theorem 2)
- $a \geq b \Rightarrow f(a) \geq f(b)$  when  $f(x) = x^\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and when  $f(x) = (\lambda - x)^{-1}$  with  $\lambda > 0$  and so in general for functions of the form

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+} (\lambda - x)^{-1} \mu(d\lambda)$$

for any (positive) measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ .

## States on $C^*$ algebras

**Definition 3.** A linear functional  $\omega: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is positive if  $\omega(a) \geq 0$  for all  $a \geq 0$ .

If  $\omega$  is positive the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality holds

$$|\omega(a^*b)| \leq \omega(a^*a)\omega(b^*b), \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

(exercise, like the standard proof). Note that

$$\langle a, b \rangle_\omega = \omega(a^*b)$$

is a positive-definite Hermitian form on  $\mathcal{A}$  (antilinear on the left and linear on the right) for any positive linear functional.

**Proposition 4.** Any bounded linear functional  $\omega: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with  $\|\omega\| = \omega(1) = 1$  satisfy

$$\omega(a^*) = \overline{\omega(a)}.$$

**Proof.** It is enough to prove it for s.a.  $a$ . Namely that  $\omega(a) \in \mathbb{R}$ . You observe that

$$|\omega(f(a))| \leq \|\omega\| \|f(a)\| = \|\omega\| \sup \{|f(x)|: x \in \sigma(a)\} = \omega(1) \|f\|_{C(\sigma(a))}$$

This tells us that  $f \mapsto \ell(f) = \omega(f(a))$  is a continuous linear functional on continuous functions with the uniform norm on the compact set  $\sigma(a) \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ . So  $\ell(f) = \int_{\sigma(a)} f(x) \mu(dx)$  for some Randon measure  $\mu$  and any such measure has to be positive so  $\omega(a) = \int_{\sigma(a)} x \mu(dx) \in \mathbb{R}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 5.** A linear functional is positive iff  $\|\omega\| = \omega(1)$ .

**Proof.** If  $\omega$  is positive use that  $\|a\| - a \geq 0$  and that  $\|a\| + a \geq 0$  to deduce that  $0 \leq \omega(\|a\| - a)$  i.e.  $\omega(a) \leq \|a\| \omega(1)$  and similarly  $\omega(a) \geq -\|a\| \omega(1)$ . Observe also that  $\omega(1) \geq 0$ . so

$$|\omega(a)| \leq \|a\| \omega(1),$$

so that  $\|\omega\| = \omega(1)$ . On the other hand if  $\omega$  is bounded and  $\|\omega\| = \omega(1)$ . I can assume that  $\omega(1) = 1$ , the for any  $a \geq 0$ ,  $\|a\| \leq 1$  we have  $\omega(1 - a) = 1 - \omega(a)$  so

$$|1 - \omega(a)| = |\omega(1 - a)| \leq \|1 - a\| \leq 1$$

moreover  $\overline{\omega(a)} = \omega(a^*) = \omega(a) \in \mathbb{R}$  and we conclude that  $\omega(a) \in [0, 1]$ .  $\square$

Remark: multiplicative functionals are positive.

We in general call a state a normalized positive linear functional. Is important to observe that there are enough states to separate the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  and that an element is positive iff gives positive value on every state.

**Proposition 6.** Positive linear functionals separate  $\mathcal{A}$  and if  $\omega(a) \geq 0$  for all positive  $\omega$  then  $a \in \mathcal{A}_+$

**Proof.** (sketch) First part. Assume that  $\omega(a) = 0$  for any state  $\omega$ . We can assume  $a$  to be s.a. (by taking real and imaginary part) then by Gelfand spectral theory we know that  $\|a\| = \|\hat{a}\| = \{\varphi(a): \varphi \in \Sigma(\mathcal{A})\}$  but then  $\varphi(a) = 0$  because  $\varphi$  is a state and therefore  $\|a\| = 0$ . Second part.  $\omega(a) \geq 0$  implies that  $\omega(a) = \overline{\omega(a^*)} = \omega(a^*)$  this implies that  $\omega(a - a^*) = 0$  by separation we have that  $a = a^*$  and by Gelfand transform we deduce  $\sigma(a) = \sigma(\hat{a}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$ .  $\square$

Therefore the notion of positivity based on observational requirements (i.e  $\omega(a) \geq 0$  for any state) coincide with the “abstract” notion of positivity given by  $\sigma(a) \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+$ . The interest and motivation to set up things in a  $C^*$  algebra comes from this nice relations between states and observables.

The set of states  $\omega$  is a convex closed subset of all the linear functionals, in particular since  $\|\omega\| = 1$  then it is contained in the closed unit ball and therefore is a compact subset in the weak-\* topology. Any state can be decomposed as convex combination of pure states where a pure state is a state which does not allow such a decomposition.

Example: if  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{L}(H)$  then any  $x \in H$  such that  $\|x\|_H = 1$  define a pure state  $\omega(A) = \langle x, Ax \rangle$ , this kind of states are called state vectors.

Tomorrow we discuss the GNS theorem and we motivate the use of Hilbert space in the study of QM.

