

Markov Processes – Problem Sheet 11.

Tutorials by Nikolay Barashkov <s6nibara@uni-bonn.de>, Robert Crowell <crowellr@googlemail.com>.
 Solutions will be collected Tuesday January 23rd during the lecture. At most in groups of 2.

Exercise 1. (INFINITESIMAL CHARACTERIZATION OF INVARIANT MEASURES). [5pts] Consider a time-homogeneous continuous time Markov chain $X_t = Y_{N_t}$ where (N_t) is a Poisson process with constant intensity $\lambda > 0$, and (Y_n) is an independent Markov chain with transition matrix π on a finite state space E .

a) Show that the transition function is given by

$$p_t(x, y) = \mathbb{P}_x[X_t = y] = \exp(t\mathcal{L})(x, y),$$

where $\mathcal{L} = \lambda(\pi - I)$ and $\exp(t\mathcal{L})$ is the matrix exponential. Hence conclude that $(p_t)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the forward and backward equation

$$\frac{dp_t}{dt} = p_t\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}p_t \text{ for } t \geq 0.$$

b) Prove that a probability measure μ on E is invariant for (p_t) if and only if

$$\sum_{x \in E} \mu(x)\mathcal{L}(x, y) = 0 \quad \text{for any } y \in E.$$

c) Show that the transition matrices are self-adjoint in $L^2(\mu)$, i.e.,

$$\sum_{x \in E} \mu(x) f(x) p_t g(x) = \sum_{x \in E} \mu(x) p_t f(x) g(x), \quad \text{for any } t \geq 0, f, g: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R},$$

if and only if the generator \mathcal{L} satisfies the detailed balance condition w.r.t. μ . What does this mean for the process?

Exercise 2. (SIMPLE EXCLUSION PROCESS) [5pts] Let $\mathbb{Z}_n^d = \mathbb{Z}^d / (n\mathbb{Z})^d$ denote a discrete d -dimensional torus. The simple exclusion process on $E = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}_n^d}$ is the Markov process with generator

$$\mathcal{L}f(\eta) = \frac{1}{2d} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d} \sum_{y: |x-y|=1} \mathbb{I}_{\{\eta(x)=1, \eta(y)=0\}} (f(\eta^{x,y}) - f(\eta))$$

where $\eta^{x,y}$ is the configuration obtained from η by exchanging the values of x and y . Show that any Bernoulli measure of type

$$\mu_p = \otimes_{x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^d} \nu_p, \quad \nu_p(1) = p, \quad \nu_p(0) = 1 - p,$$

$p \in [0, 1]$ is invariant. Why does this not contradict the fact that any irreducible Markov process on a finite state space has a unique stationary distribution? (You may assume the statements of Exercise 1).

Exercise 3. (IMMIGRATION-DEATH PROCESS) [5pts] Particles in a population die independently with rate $\mu > 0$. In addition, immigrants arrive with rate $\lambda > 0$. Assume that the population consists initially of one particle.

- a) Explain why the population size X_t can be modeled by a birth-death process with rates $b(n) = \lambda$ and $d(n) = n\mu$.
- b) Show that the generating function $G(s, t) = \mathbb{E}(s^{X_t})$ is given by

$$G(s, t) = (1 + (s - 1)e^{-\mu t}) \exp\left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu}(s - 1)(1 - e^{-\mu t})\right).$$

- c) Deduce the limiting distribution of X_t as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

Exercise 4. (A NON-EXPLOSION CRITERION FOR JUMP PROCESSES) [5pts] Suppose that $q_t(x, B) = \lambda_t(x) \pi_t(x, B)$ where π_t is a probability kernel on (E, \mathcal{E}) and $\lambda_t : E \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a measurable function. We consider the minimal jump process $((X_t), P_{t_0, x_0})$ with jump times (J_n) and positions (Y_n) defined by the following algorithm:

1. Set $J_0 = t_0$ and $Y_0 = x_0$.
2. For $n = 1, 2, \dots$ do
 - i. Sample $E_n \sim \text{Exp}(1)$ independently of Y_0, \dots, Y_{n-1} and E_0, \dots, E_{n-1} ;
 - ii. Set $J_n = \inf \left\{ t \geq J_{n-1} : \int_{J_{n-1}}^t \lambda_s(Y_{n-1}) ds \geq E_n \right\}$;
 - iii. Sample $Y_n | (Y_0, \dots, Y_{n-1}, E_0, \dots, E_n) \sim \pi_{J_n}(Y_{n-1}, \cdot)$.
- a) Show that if $\bar{\lambda} := \sup_{t \geq 0} \sup_{x \in E} \lambda_t(x) < \infty$, then the explosion time $\zeta = \sup J_n$ is almost surely infinite.
- b) In the time-homogeneous case, given $\sigma(Y_k : k \in \mathbb{Z}_+)$,

$$J_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{E_k}{\lambda(Y_{k-1})}$$

is a sum of conditionally independent exponentially distributed random variables. Conclude that the events $\{Y < \infty\}$ and $\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\lambda(Y_k))^{-1} < \infty\}$ coincide almost surely (apply Kolmogorov's 3-series theorem).