

Bounds on the RG operators $R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}$

BY FRANCESCO DE VECCHI

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

First we recall some definitions involved in the bounds described before.

The indexes $\ell, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$ varies in the set $\text{TL} = \{2L, 2R, 4L, 4R, 6SL, 6R, 8, 10, \dots\}$.

The absolute value $|\ell|$ of the index ℓ is a integer $|2L| = |2R| = 2$, $|4L| = |4R| = 4$, \dots .

If $\ell \in \text{TL}$, we denote by B_ℓ the following Banach space:

when $\ell = 2L, 4L$ then $B_\ell = \mathbb{R}$ (with the absolute value norm),

when $\ell \neq 2L, 4L$ then B_ℓ is a Banach subspace of

$$B_\ell \subset [L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^{d|\ell|}, \mathbb{R})]^{n_\ell}$$

where $n_\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ is a suitable integer depending on $N \in \mathbb{N}$ (the number of fermionic fields) and ℓ .

The weight function $w: \mathbb{R}^{d|\ell|} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined as

$$w(x) = \exp\left(\bar{C} \left(\frac{\text{St}(x)}{\gamma}\right)^\sigma\right)$$

where $\text{St}(x)$ is the Steiner diameter of the set $x = (x_1, \dots, x_{|\ell|}) \in \mathbb{R}^{d|\ell|}$, $0 < \sigma < 1$ and $\bar{C} > 0$.

When $\ell \notin \{2L, 2R, 4L, 4R, 6SL\}$, the elements $H_\ell \in B_\ell$ are indexed by objects of the form $\mathbb{A} = ((\mu_1^{\mathbb{A}}, a_1^{\mathbb{A}}), \dots, (\mu_{|\ell|}^{\mathbb{A}}, a_{|\ell|}^{\mathbb{A}}))$, where $\mu \in \{0, \dots, d\}$ $a \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. The function H_ℓ is associated with the ℓ homogeneous polynomial in Ψ

$$\Psi(H_\ell) = \sum_{\mathbb{A}} \int H_\ell(x_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{A}) \partial_{\mu_1^{\mathbb{A}}} \Psi_{a_1^{\mathbb{A}}}(x_1) \cdots \partial_{\mu_{|\ell|}^{\mathbb{A}}} \Psi_{a_{|\ell|}^{\mathbb{A}}}(x_{|\ell|}) dx_{\mathbb{A}}.$$

The norm of H_ℓ is given by

$$\|H_\ell\|_w = \sup_{\mathbb{A}} \|H_\ell(\cdot, \mathbb{A})_{x_1=0}\|_{L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^{d|\ell|}, \mathbb{R})} = \sup_{\mathbb{A}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d(|\ell|-1)}} |H_\ell(x_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{A})_{x_1=0}| w(x_{\mathbb{A}})_{x_1=0} d(x_{\mathbb{A}} \setminus x_1).$$

When $\ell = 2L, 4L$, and thus $B_\ell = \mathbb{R}$;

$$\Psi(\nu) = \nu \sum_{a,b} \Omega_{a,b} \int \Psi_a(x) \Psi_b(x), \quad \Psi(\lambda) = \lambda \sum_{a,b,c,h} \Omega_{c,h} \Omega_{a,b} \int \Psi_a(x) \Psi_b(x) \Psi_c(x) \Psi_h(x).$$

In the case $\ell = 2R, 4R, 6SL$ we have that $B_\ell \subset L_w^1(\mathbb{R}^{d|\ell|}, \mathbb{R})$

$$\Psi(H_{2R}) = \int H_{2R}(x_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{A}) \partial_{\mu} \Psi_a \partial_{\mu'} \Psi_b dx_{\mathbb{A}}, \quad \Psi(H_{4R}) = \int H_{4R}(x_{\mathbb{A}}, \mathbb{A}) \partial_{\mu} \Psi_a \cdots dx_{\mathbb{A}}.$$

where $\mu, \mu' \neq 0$. We denote by $B_{|\ell|} = \bigoplus_{\ell', |\ell'|=|\ell|} B_{\ell'}$.

In this seminar we want to prove some analytical estimates on the RG maps

$$R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}: B_{\ell_1} \times \dots \times B_{\ell_n} \rightarrow B_\ell.$$

More precisely, for any $\ell \in \text{TM}$ and $H_\ell \in B_\ell$, we have that

$$\|R_\ell^\ell(H_\ell)\|_w \leq \begin{cases} \gamma^{-D_2-2} \|H_{2R}\|_w & \text{for } \ell = 2R \\ \gamma^{-D_4-1} \|H_{4R}\|_w & \text{for } \ell = 4R \\ \gamma^{-D_{|\ell|}} \|H_\ell\|_w & \text{for } \ell \geq 6 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

while

$$R_{2L}^{2L}(\nu) = \gamma^{-D_2} \nu, \quad R_{4L}^{4L}(\lambda) = \gamma^{-D_4} \lambda. \quad (2)$$

In all the other cases, namely when $(n, (\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n)) \neq (1, \ell)$ and for any $h_i \in B_{\ell_i}$, we have

$$\|R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}(h_1, \dots, h_n)\|_w \leq \gamma^{-D_{|\ell|}} \rho_{|\ell|}(h_1, \dots, h_n) \quad (3)$$

with

$$\rho_{|\ell|}(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \begin{cases} C_\gamma^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^n C_0^{|\ell_i|} \|h_i\|_w & \text{if } \sum_i |\ell_i| \geq |\ell| + 2(n-1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

We introduce the dilation operator $D: B_\ell \rightarrow B_\ell$ defined as

$$D(H_{\ell,p})(x) = \gamma^{-D|\ell|-p} \gamma^{d(|\ell|-1)} H_{\ell,p}(\gamma x)$$

where

$$D_k = k[\psi] - d = k \left(\frac{d}{4} - \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right) - d$$

p is the number of derivatives in the kernel $H_{\ell,p}$. In the particular case $\ell = 2L, 4L, 6SL$ we get

$$\nu \mapsto \gamma^{\frac{d}{2} + \varepsilon} \nu, \quad \lambda \mapsto \gamma^{2\varepsilon} \lambda, \quad \mathfrak{X}(x) \mapsto \gamma^{-D_6} \gamma^d \mathfrak{X}(\gamma x).$$

With this definition of the operator D we define, for any $\ell \in \text{TL}$,

$$R_\ell^\ell(H_\ell) = D(H_\ell)$$

In the case where $(n, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) \neq (1, \ell)$ the definition of $R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}$ is more complex.

More precisely we have the following expressions

$$R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n} = D \begin{cases} S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n} & \text{if } |\ell| \geq 8 \\ T_\ell^{|\ell|} S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n} & \text{if } \ell \in \{2L, 2R, 4L, 4R\} \end{cases}$$

$$R_{6SL}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n} = D \begin{cases} S_6^{4L, 4L} & \text{if } (n, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) = (2, 4L, 4L) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$R_{6R}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n} = D \begin{cases} S_6^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n} & \text{if } (n, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) \neq (1, 6SL)(2, 4L, 4L) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

where $S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}$ is the integrating-out operator and $T_\ell^{|\ell|}$ is the trimming operator whose precise expressions will be recalled later.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

We recall that in the case $\ell \neq 2L, 4L$, $(n, \ell_1, \dots, \ell_n) = (1, \ell)$ we have that the action of the RG map is given simply given by the dilation D . More precisely when $\ell = 2R$ we have

$$R_{2R}^{2R}(H_{2R}) = \{\gamma^{-D_2}\gamma^d H_{2R,0}(\gamma x), \gamma^{-D_2-1}\gamma^d H_{2R,1}(\gamma x), \gamma^{-D_2-2}\gamma^d H_{2R,2}(\gamma x)\},$$

by the particular structure of the space B_ℓ , $H_{2R,0} = H_{2R,1} = 0$, and so

$$\|R_{2R}^{2R}(H_{2R})\|_w = \gamma^{-D_2-2}\|H_{2R}\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} \leq \gamma^{-D_2-2}\|H_{2R}\|_w.$$

For R_{4R}^{4R} the reasoning is similar and use the fact that

$$R_{4R}^{4R}(H_{4R}) = \{\gamma^{-D_4}\gamma^{3d} H_{4R,0}(\gamma x), \gamma^{-D_4-p}\gamma^{3d} H_{4R,p}(\gamma x)\}.$$

With this we have proved inequality (1). For $\ell = 2L, 4L$ we have the explicit expression of the map R_ℓ^ℓ indeed

$$R_{2L}^{2L}(\nu) = \gamma^{\frac{d}{2}+\varepsilon}\nu = \gamma^{-D_2}\nu, \quad R_{4L}^{4L}(\lambda) = \gamma^{2\varepsilon}\lambda = \gamma^{-D_4}\lambda.$$

This proves inequality (2).

$$R_\ell^\ell(H_\ell) = \{\gamma^{-D_{|\ell|}}\gamma^d H_{\ell,0}(\gamma x), \gamma^{-D_2-1}\gamma^d H_{\ell,1}(\gamma x), \gamma^{-D_2-2}\gamma^d H_{2R,2}(\gamma x), \dots\}$$

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

In order to proof inequality (3) we have to give some estimates on the integration out operators $S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}$ and on the trimming operators $T_{\ell}^{|\ell|}$. More precisely we want to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *For any*

$$\|S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}(h_1, \dots, h_n)\|_w \leq \rho_{|\ell|}(h_1, \dots, h_n) \quad (4)$$

where

$$\rho_{|\ell|}(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \begin{cases} C_{\gamma}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^n C_0^{|\ell_i|} \|h_i\|_w & \text{if } \sum_i |\ell_i| \geq |\ell| + 2(n-1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{4R}^{4,0}(H)\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} &\leq C_R \gamma \|H_{4,0}\|_w \\ \|T_{2R}^{2,1}(H)\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} &\leq C_R \gamma \|H_{2,1}\|_w \\ \|T_{2R}^{2,0}(H)\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} &\leq C_R \gamma^2 \|H_{2,0}\|_w \\ \|T_{2L}^{2,0}(H)\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} &\leq C_R \|H_{2,0}\|_w \\ \|T_{4L}^{4,0}(H)\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} &\leq C_R \|H_{4,0}\|_w \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

In this part we want to prove inequality (4) on

$$S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}: B_{\ell_1} \times \dots \times B_{\ell_n} \rightarrow B_{|\ell|}$$

. First we recall the definition of $S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}$. Consider $h_1, \dots, h_n \in B_{\ell_1} \times \dots \times B_{\ell_n}$ and let $\tilde{H}_{|\ell|} \in B_{|\ell|}$ be defined as

$$\tilde{H}_{|\ell|} = S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}(h_1, \dots, h_n)$$

then we have $\mathbb{B} = ((\mu_1, a_1), \dots, (\mu_{|\ell|}, a_{|\ell|}))$

$$\tilde{H}_{|\ell|}(x_{\mathbb{B}}, \mathbb{B}) = \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{\substack{\mathbb{B}_1, \dots, \mathbb{B}_n \\ \cup_i \mathbb{B}_i = \mathbb{B}}} \sum_{\substack{\mathbb{A}_1, \dots, \mathbb{A}_n \\ \mathbb{B}_i \subset \mathbb{A}_i, |\mathbb{A}_i| = |\ell_i|}} (-1)^{\#} K_{((\mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{A}_i))_{i=1, \dots, n}}(x_{\mathbb{B}}) \quad (6)$$

where

$$K_{((\mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{A}_i))_{i=1, \dots, n}}(x_{\mathbb{B}}) = \int \mathcal{C}(x_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}}) \prod_{i=1}^n h_i(x_{\mathbb{A}_i}, \mathbb{A}_i) dx_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}}$$

where

$$\bar{\mathbb{B}} = \left(\bigcup_{i=1, \dots, n} \mathbb{A}_i \right) \setminus \mathbb{B}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(x_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}}) = \langle \Phi_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1}(x_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1}) \cdot \dots \cdot \Phi_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}_n}(x_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}_n}) \rangle_c.$$

Lemma 2. *The number of terms in the sum (6) are at most*

$$2^{\sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i|} \times (Nd + N)^{\sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i|}.$$

Proof. If we fix \mathbb{B} and the length of $|\ell_i|$ of \mathbb{A}_i (i.e. we fix the operator $S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}$) each term in the sum (6) is in one-to-one correspondence with a sequence \mathbb{L} having length $|\ell_1| + \dots + |\ell_n|$ extending \mathbb{B} .

Indeed, if we choose a sequence $\mathbb{L} \supset \mathbb{B}$ extending \mathbb{B} there is only one way of partitioning \mathbb{L} in the subsequence \mathbb{A}_i since the length $|\ell_i|$ of \mathbb{A}_i is fixed from the beginning. Once chosen \mathbb{L} (and so \mathbb{A}_i) the only possibility of partitioning \mathbb{B} with the subsequence \mathbb{B}_i , having $\mathbb{B} \subset \mathbb{L}$ fixed, is to take $\mathbb{B}_i = \mathbb{A}_i \cap \mathbb{B}$.

The number of sequences \mathbb{L} extending \mathbb{B} and having length $|\ell_1| + \dots + |\ell_n|$ is

$$\binom{\sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i|}{|\ell|} (Nd + N)^{\sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i| - |\ell|} \leq 2^{\sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i|} \times (Nd + N)^{\sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i|}.$$

□

Lemma 3. *We have that*

$$|\mathcal{C}(x_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}})| \leq (C_{\text{GH}})^s \sum_{\mathcal{T} \text{ anchored tree for } (\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbb{B}}_n)} \prod_{(x, x') \in \mathcal{T}} M(x - x')$$

where

$$s = \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\bar{\mathbb{B}}_i| \right) - (n - 1) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i| \right),$$

$$M(x) = C_{\chi_1} e^{-C_{\chi_2} \frac{|x|^\sigma}{\gamma^\sigma}}$$

and \mathcal{T} is an anchored tree for $\bar{\mathbb{B}}$ with respect to the partition $(\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbb{B}}_n)$ if \mathcal{T} is a tree with vertexes $\bar{\mathbb{B}}$ such that is a connected tree of the quotient $\bar{\mathbb{B}} / (\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbb{B}}_n)$.

Proof. We have that

$$\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}}(x_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}}) = \langle \Phi(x_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1} | \bar{\mathbb{B}}_1) \cdots \Phi(x_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}_n} | \bar{\mathbb{B}}_n) \rangle_c,$$

where $\langle \cdot_1 \times \cdot_2 \times \cdots \times \cdot_n \rangle_c$ is the reduced expectation. If we apply the Gawedzki-Kupiainen-Lesniewski (GKL) theorem we obtain the statement of the lemma with

$$C_{\text{GH}} = \max \left(\int |\hat{g}(k)| \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d}, \int |\hat{g}(k)|^2 \frac{dk}{(2\pi)^d} \right).$$

□

Lemma 4. *We have that*

$$w(x_{\mathbb{B}}) \leq \prod_{i=1}^n w(x_{\mathbb{A}_i}) \times \prod_{(x,x') \in \mathcal{T}} w(\{x, x'\}).$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{T} be an anchored tree for $\bar{\mathbb{B}}$ with partition $(\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbb{B}}_n)$. If τ_1, \dots, τ_n are trees connecting $x_{\mathbb{A}_1}, \dots, x_{\mathbb{A}_n}$ respectively, the tree $\mathcal{T} \cup \tau_1 \cup \dots \cup \tau_n$ connects the point $\{x_{\mathbb{B}}, x_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}}\}$ and so $x_{\mathbb{B}}$. This implies that

$$\text{St}(x_{\mathbb{B}}) \leq \text{St}(\{x_{\mathbb{B}}, x_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}}\}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \text{St}(x_{\mathbb{A}_i}) + \sum_{(x,x') \in \mathcal{T}} |x - x'|.$$

Since $0 < \sigma < 1$, for any $p_1, \dots, p_h \in \mathbb{R}_+$ we have

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^h p_i \right)^\sigma \leq \sum_{i=1}^h p_i^\sigma.$$

Using the two previous inequalities and the definition of $w(x_{\mathbb{C}})$ as

$$w(x_{\mathbb{C}}) = \exp\left(\bar{C} \left(\frac{\text{St}(x_{\mathbb{C}})}{\gamma} \right)^\sigma\right)$$

we get the thesis. □

Lemma 5. For any $((\mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{A}_i))_{i=1, \dots, n}$ we have that

$$\|K_{((\mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{A}_i))_{i=1, \dots, n}}\|_w \leq \mathcal{N}_{(\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbb{B}}_n)} (C_{\text{GH}})^s \|M\|_w^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \|h_i\|_w$$

where $s = \sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i|$ and $\mathcal{N}_{(\mathbb{B}_1, \dots, \mathbb{B}_n)}$ is the number of anchored trees of $\bar{\mathbb{B}}$ with respect to the partition $(\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbb{B}}_n)$.

Proof. First we note that, by Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_{((\mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{A}_i))}\|_w &= \int |K_{((\mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{A}_i))}(x_{\mathbb{B}})| w(x_{\mathbb{B}}) dx_{\mathbb{B}} \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathcal{T}} \int \prod_{(x, x') \in \mathcal{T}} M(x - x') \prod_{i=1}^n |h_i(x_{\mathbb{A}_i}, \mathbb{A}_i)| w(x_{\mathbb{B}}) dx_{\mathbb{B} \cup \bar{\mathbb{B}}} \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathcal{T}} \int \prod_{(x, x') \in \mathcal{T}} w(\{x, x'\}) M(x - x') \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^n w(x_{\mathbb{A}}) |h_i(x_{\mathbb{A}_i}, \mathbb{A}_i)| dx_{\mathbb{B} \cup \bar{\mathbb{B}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

In order to get the thesis we use an “amputating tree leaves” argument.

More precisely, given an anchored tree \mathcal{T} we can find a leaf: i.e. we can consider a $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and a set $x_{\tilde{\mathbb{B}}_k} \subset x_{\mathbb{A}_k}$ such that $x_{\tilde{\mathbb{B}}_k}$ is connected to the remaining vertexes of $\{x_{\mathbb{B}}, x_{\tilde{\mathbb{B}}}\}$ by only one edge, say (z, z') where $z \in x_{\mathbb{A}_k}$ and $x_{\tilde{\mathbb{B}}_k} = x_{\mathbb{A}_k} \setminus z$.

We then integrate the integral (7) first with respect to $x_{\mathbb{A}_k} \setminus z$ (considering z fixed) obtaining a factor of the form

$$\|h_k\|_w = \int |h_k(x_{\mathbb{A}_k}, \mathbb{A}_k)_{z=0}| w(x_{\mathbb{A}_k})_{z=0} dx_{\tilde{\mathbb{B}}_k}$$

and then we integrate with respect to z obtaining a factor of the form

$$\|M\|_w = \int M(\tilde{z}) w(\tilde{z}) d\tilde{z}.$$

Repeating the argument $n - 1$ times we get the thesis. □

Lemma 6. *We have that*

$$\mathcal{N}_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbb{B}}_n} \leq n! 4^{\sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i|}.$$

Proof. The proof is a consequence of the (standard) estimate on anchored trees with respect to the partition $(\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbb{B}}_n)$. Indeed we have

$$\mathcal{N}_{\bar{\mathbb{B}}_1, \dots, \bar{\mathbb{B}}_n} \leq n! 4^{\sum_{i=1}^n |\bar{\mathbb{B}}_i|} = n! 4^{(\sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i|) - |\ell|} \leq n! 4^{\sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i|}. \quad \square$$

Proof of inequality (4). By equation (6) and Lemma 2 we have

$$\|S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}\|_w \leq \frac{2^{\sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i|} \times (Nd + N)^{\sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i|}}{n!} \sup_{((\mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{A}_i))} \|K_{((\mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{A}_i))}\|_w.$$

By Lemma 5 and Lemma 6 we have

$$\|K_{((\mathbb{B}_i, \mathbb{A}_i))}\| \leq n! (4\sqrt{C_{\text{GH}}})^{\sum_{i=1}^n |\ell_i|} \|M\|_w^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^n \|h_i\|_w.$$

Inequality (4) follows by taking $C_\gamma = \|M\|_w$ and $C_0 = 8(Nd + N)\sqrt{C_{\text{GH}}}$. □

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

In order to prove inequality (5) we need to recall the precise definition of the operators $T_\ell^{|\ell|}$. First we consider $\mathcal{H}_{|\ell|,p}$ a kernel in $B_{|\ell|}$ (i.e. a kernel corresponding to the monomial where the fields Ψ_a (for some $a \in \{1, \dots, N\}$) appear exactly $|\ell| - p$ times and the derived fields $\partial_\mu \Psi_a$ (for some $a \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ and $\mu^i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$) appear exactly p times).

This means that the field $\Psi(\mathcal{H}_{|\ell|,p})$ corresponding to $\mathcal{H}_{|\ell|,p}$ is given by an expression of the form

$$\Psi(\mathcal{H}_{|\ell|,p}) = \sum_{\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = ((\mu^i, a^i)), \sum |\mu^i| = p} \int \mathcal{H}_{|\ell|,p}(x_1, \dots, x_{|\ell|}, \tilde{\mathbf{A}}) \partial_{\mu^1} \Psi_{a_1}(x_1) \cdots \partial_{\mu^{|\ell|}} \Psi_{a_{|\ell|}}(x_{|\ell|}) dx.$$

The kernels $\mathcal{H}_{|\ell|,p}$ are not uniquely determined since by the commutation properties of the fields Ψ_a and the symmetries of the model. We do not enter into the details of this choice but we suppose to fix a representative of the equivalence class before.

We want to decompose $\mathcal{H}_{|\ell|,p}$ into the local and remaining part when $|\ell| = 2, 4$.

We start with $\mathcal{H}_{2,1}$ for which we have

$$\Psi(\mathcal{H}_{2,1}) = \sum_{a,b} \sum_{\mu \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \int \mathcal{H}_{2,1}(x, ((a, \mu), b)) \partial_\mu \Psi_a(x_1) \Psi_b(x_2) dx_1 dx_2$$

Using Lagrange theorem we can rewrite

$$\Psi_b(x_2) = \Psi_b(x_1) + \int_0^1 (\partial_t \Psi_b(x_1 + t(x_1 - x_2))) dt.$$

From which we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathcal{H}_{2,1}) &= \sum_{a,b} \sum_{\mu \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \int \mathcal{H}_{2,1}(x, ((a, \mu), b)) \partial_\mu \Psi_a(x_1) \Psi_b(x_1) dx_1 dx_2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{a,b} \sum_{\mu, \nu \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \int H_{2,2}(x, ((a, \mu), (b, \nu))) \partial_\mu \Psi_a(x_1) \partial_\nu \Psi_b(x_2) dx_1 dx_2, \end{aligned}$$

where $H_{2,2}$ is related to $\mathcal{H}_{2,0}$ by the formula, for any $f \in C_0^\infty$,

$$\int H_{2,2}(x, ((a, \mu), (b, \nu)))_{x_1=0} f(x_2) dx_2 = \int \mathcal{H}_{2,1}(x, ((a, \mu), b))_{x_1=0} x_2^\nu \int_0^1 f(tx_2) dt dx_2$$

Since $\mathcal{H}_{2,1}(x, ((a, \mu), b))$ is odd with respect to the transformation $x \mapsto -x$ we have that the first integral is 0.

From the second part we get the expression of $T_{2,2}^{2,1}$ namely

$$T_{2,2}^{2,1}(\mathcal{H}_{2,1}) = (H_{2,2}(\cdot, ((a, \mu), (b, \nu))))_{(\mu,a),(\nu,b)}$$

where $L_{a,b} \in \mathbb{R}$ are suitable constants.

Consider now the case of $\mathcal{H}_{2,0}$ for which

$$\Psi(\mathcal{H}_{2,0}) = \sum_{a,b} \int \mathcal{H}_{2,0}(x, (a, b)) \Psi_a(x_1) \Psi_b(x_2) dx_1 dx_2.$$

We can use the Lagrange theorem as before obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathcal{H}_{2,0}) &= \sum_{a,b} \left(\int \Psi_a(x_1) \Psi_b(x_1) dx_1 \right) \int \mathcal{H}_{2,0}((0, x_2), (a, b)) dx_2 + \\ &- \sum_{a,b,\mu \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \int \tilde{\mathcal{H}}_{2,1}(x, (a, (b, \mu))) \partial_\mu \Psi_a(x_1) \Psi_b(x_2) dx_1 dx_2. \end{aligned}$$

The first term in the previous sum defined the local part of the trimming operator namely

$$T_{2L}^{2,0}(\mathcal{H}_{2,0}) = \sum_{a,b} C_{a,b} \int \mathcal{H}_{2,0}((0, x_2), (a, b)) dx_2$$

for suitable constants $C_{a,b} \in \mathbb{R}$. We can apply again the Lagrange formula to what remains obtaining the expression for $T_{2R}^{2,0}(\mathcal{H}_{2,0})$.

For the other terms we use the equality

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_a(x_1)\Psi_b(x_2)\Psi_c(x_3)\Psi_h(x_4) &= \\ &= \Psi_a(x_1)\Psi_b(x_1)\Psi_c(x_1)\Psi_h(x_1) + \Psi_a(x_1) \int_0^1 \partial_t [\Psi_b(x_2^t)\Psi_c(x_3^t)\Psi_h(x_4^t)] dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $x_i^t = x_1 + t(x_i - x_1)$.

From this we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(\mathcal{H}_{4,0}) &= \sum_{a,b,c,h} \int \mathcal{H}_{4,0}(x, (a, b, c, h)) \Psi_a(x_1) \cdots \Psi_h(x_4) dx_1 \cdots dx_4 \\ &= \sum_{a,b,c,h} \left(\int \Psi_a(x_1) \cdots \Psi_h(x_1) dx_1 \right) \int \mathcal{H}_{4,0}(x, (a, b, c, h))_{x_1=0} dx_2 \cdots dx_4 + \\ &+ \sum_{a, \dots, h, \mu \in \{1, \dots, d\}} \int H_{4,1}(x, (a, b, \dots, (h, \mu))) \Psi_a(x_1) \cdots \partial_\mu \Psi_h(x_4) dx_1 \cdots dx_d \end{aligned}$$

where analogously to the previous case, for any $f \in C_0^\infty$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int H_{4,1}(x, (a, b, \dots, (h, \mu)))_{x_1=0} f(x_2, x_3, x_4) dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 &= \\ &= \int \mathcal{H}_{4,0}(x, (a, b, c, h))_{x_1=0} (x_2^\mu - x_3^\mu + x_4^\mu) \int_0^1 f(tx_2, tx_3, tx_4) dt dx_2 dx_3 dx_4. \end{aligned}$$

In this way we can define the operator $T_{4L}^{4,0}$ and $T_{4R}^{4,0}$ as

$$T_{4L}^{4,0}(\mathcal{H}_{4,0}) = \sum_{a,b,c,h} L'_{a,b,c,h} \int \mathcal{H}_{4,0}(x, (a, b, c, h))_{x_1=0} dx_2 dx_3 dx_4$$

and

$$T_{4R}^{4,0}(\mathcal{H}_{4,0}) = H_{4,1}.$$

We have the following theorem

Theorem 7. Consider an Hamiltonian \mathcal{H} and $\gamma > 2$, then there is a constant C_R such that

$$\|T_{2R}^{2,1}(\mathcal{H}_{2,1})\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} \leq C_R \gamma \|\mathcal{H}_{2,1}\|_w$$

$$\|T_{2L}^{2,0}(\mathcal{H}_{2,0})\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} \leq C_R \|\mathcal{H}_{2,0}\|_w$$

$$\|T_{2R}^{2,0}(\mathcal{H}_{2,0})\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} \leq C_R \gamma^2 \|\mathcal{H}_{2,0}\|_w$$

$$\|T_{4L}^{4,0}(\mathcal{H}_{4,0})\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} \leq C_R \|\mathcal{H}_{4,0}\|_w$$

$$\|T_{4R}^{4,0}(\mathcal{H}_{4,0})\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} \leq C_R \gamma \|\mathcal{H}_{4,0}\|_w$$

Proof. Inequalities $\|T_{2L}^{2,0}(\mathcal{H}_{2,0})\|_w \leq C_R \|\mathcal{H}_{2,0}\|_w$ and $\|T_{4L}^{4,0}(\mathcal{H}_{4,0})\|_w \leq C_R \|\mathcal{H}_{4,0}\|_w$ follows directly from the fact that

$$\|T_{2L}^{2,0}(\mathcal{H}_{2,0})\|_w = \left| \sum_{a,b} C_{a,b} \int \mathcal{H}_{2,0}((0, x_2), (a, b)) dx_2 \right| \leq C_R \|\mathcal{H}_{2,0}\|_w$$

$$\|T_{4L}^{4,0}(\mathcal{H}_{4,0})\|_w = \left| \sum_{a,b,c,h} L'_{a,b,c,h} \int \mathcal{H}_{4,0}(x, (a, b, c, h))_{x_1=0} dx_2 dx_3 dx_4 \right| \leq C_R \|\mathcal{H}_{4,0}\|_w.$$

Here we use the fact that $w \geq 1$.

Let us consider one of the other cases, for example $\|T_{2R}^{2,1}(\mathcal{H}_{2,1})\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} \leq C_R \gamma \|\mathcal{H}_{2,1}\|_w$. In this case $T_{2R}^{2,1}(\mathcal{H}_{2,1}) = H_{2,1}$ where $H_{2,1}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2,1}$ are related by the identity, for any $f \in C^0$,

$$\int H_{2,2}(x, ((a, \mu), (b, \nu)))_{x_1=0} f(x_2) dx_2 = \int \mathcal{H}_{2,1}(x, ((a, \mu), b))_{x_1=0} x_2^\nu \int_0^1 f(tx_2) dt dx_2.$$

We can choose $f(x) = f_{a,b,\nu,\mu}(x_2) = \text{sign}(H_{2,2}(0, x_2, (a, \mu), (b, \nu))) w_\gamma(x_2)$ where $w_\gamma(x_2) = w(x_2/\gamma)$ obtaining

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_{2,2}\|_{w_\gamma} &= \sum_{a,b,\nu,\mu} \int H_{2,2}(x, ((a, \mu), (b, \nu)))_{x_1=0} f_{a,b,\nu,\mu}(x_2) dx_2 \\ &= \sum_{a,b,\nu,\mu} \int \mathcal{H}_{2,1}(x, ((a, \mu), b))_{x_1=0} x_2^\nu \int_0^1 f_{a,b,\nu,\mu}(tx_2) dt dx_2 \\ &\leq \sum_{a,b,\nu,\mu} \int |\mathcal{H}_{2,1}(x, ((a, \mu), b))_{x_1=0}| x_2^\nu |w(tx_2/\gamma)| dt dx_2 \\ &\leq \sum_{a,b,\nu,\mu} \int |\mathcal{H}_{2,1}(x, ((a, \mu), b))_{x_1=0}| x_2^\nu |w(x_2/\gamma)| dx_2 \\ &\leq C'_R \gamma \sum_{a,b,\nu,\mu} \int |\mathcal{H}_{2,1}(x, ((a, \mu), b))_{x_1=0}| w(x_2) dx_2 \leq C_R \gamma \|\mathcal{H}_{2,1}\|_w \end{aligned}$$

where we used the fact that $w(tk) \leq w(k)$ if $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and that there exists a constant $C'_R > 0$ not depending on γ such that

$$\sup_{\nu \in \{1, \dots, d\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x^\nu| w(x/\gamma)}{\gamma w(x)} = \sup_{\nu, x} \frac{|x^\nu| \exp\left(\bar{C}\left(\frac{1}{\gamma^\sigma} - 1\right)\frac{|x|^\sigma}{\gamma^\sigma}\right)}{\gamma} < C'_R.$$

A similar method can be used to prove the inequalities for $\|T_{4R}^{4,0}(\mathcal{H}_{4,0})\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)}$ and $\|T_{2R}^{2,0}(\mathcal{H}_{2,0})\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)}$. In particular in the latter case we obtain a γ^2 since

$$\|T_{2R}^{2,0}(\mathcal{H}_{2,0})\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} \leq C'' \sum_{a,b,\nu} \int |\mathcal{H}_{2,0}(x, (a,b))_{x_1=0}| |x_2^\nu|^2 w(x_2/\gamma) dx_2$$

and

$$\sup_{\nu \in \{1, \dots, d\}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|x^\nu|^2 w(x/\gamma)}{\gamma^2 w(x)} < +\infty.$$

□

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

Summarizing the previous results we have that

$$\|S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}(h_1, \dots, h_n)\|_w \leq \rho_{|\ell|}(h_1, \dots, h_n), \quad \|T_\ell^{|\ell|}(H_{|\ell|})\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} \leq C_R'' \gamma^{g(|\ell|)} \|H_{|\ell|}\|_w \quad (8)$$

where $C_R'' > 0$ and $g: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$ are suitable functions. These two inequalities implies the result for $R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}$. Indeed when $\ell \notin \{2L, 2R, 4L, 4R\}$ then $R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n} = D \circ \begin{cases} S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n} \\ 0 \end{cases}$, and thus

the thesis follows from inequality (8) and the definition of the operator D . In all the other cases $R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n} = D \circ T_\ell^{|\ell|} \circ S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}$ and thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}(h_1, \dots, h_n)\|_w &= \|D \circ T_\ell^{|\ell|} \circ S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}(h_1, \dots, h_n)\|_w \\ &= \gamma^{\alpha_\ell} \|T_\ell^{|\ell|} \circ S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}(h_1, \dots, h_n)\|_{w(\cdot/\gamma)} \\ &\leq C_R'' \gamma^{\alpha_\ell + g(|\ell|)} \|S_{|\ell|}^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}(h_1, \dots, h_n)\|_w \\ &\leq \gamma^{-D_{|\ell|}} \rho_{|\ell|}(h_1, \dots, h_n). \end{aligned}$$

It is important to note that $\alpha_{2R} = D_2 - 2$ and $g(2) = 2$ and so $\alpha_{2R} + g(2) = D_2$. We have also $\alpha_{4R} = D_4 - 1$ and $g(4) = 1$ and thus $\alpha_{4R} + g(4) = D_4$.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

The argument presented before can be extended also to the (Frechet) derivatives of the (multi-linear) operator $R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}$. Indeed, since the map $R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}$ is multilinear and bounded (for the result that we proved before), it also Frechet differentiable with the Frechet derivatives given by the operator

$$\delta h \mapsto \nabla R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}(h_1, \dots, h_n)[\delta h] = \sum_{i=1}^n R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}(h_1, \dots, h_{i-1}, \delta h, h_{i+1}, \dots, h_n).$$

In this way we get that

$$\|\nabla R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}(h_1, \dots, h_n)\|_{\mathcal{L}(B_\ell, B_\ell)} \leq n\gamma^{-D|\ell|}(\rho_{|\ell|}(h_1, \dots, h_n) \wedge 1).$$

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18

In conclusion we proved the following theorem.

Theorem 8. *Suppose that $\gamma > 2$ then we have the following inequalities*

$$\|R_\ell^\ell(H_\ell)\|_w \leq \begin{cases} \gamma^{-D_2-2} \|H_{2R}\|_w & \text{for } \ell = 2R \\ \gamma^{-D_4-1} \|H_{4R}\|_w & \text{for } \ell = 4R \\ \gamma^{-D_\ell} \|H_\ell\|_w & \text{for } \ell \geq 6 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\|R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}(h_1, \dots, h_n)\|_w \leq \gamma^{-D_\ell} \rho_{|\ell|}(h_1, \dots, h_n)$$

with

$$\rho_{|\ell|}(h_1, \dots, h_n) = \begin{cases} C_\gamma^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^n C_0^{|\ell_i|} \|h_i\|_w & \text{if } \sum_i |\ell_i| \geq |\ell| + 2(n-1) \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

Finally, similar estimates hold for $\nabla R_\ell^{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n}$.