

1 Gaussian rough path

1.1 Introduction

ASSUMPTION 1.1. $(X_t)_{t \in [0, T]} := (X_t^1, \dots, X_t^d)_{t \in [0, T]}$ is centred, continuous Gaussian process with $X^i \perp X^j$ for all $i \neq j$.

The law of X is fully determined by its covariance function

$$\begin{aligned} R : [0, T]^2 &\rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{d \times d} \\ (s, t) &\mapsto \mathbf{E}[X_s \otimes X_t]. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we define the rectangular increments of covariance as

$$R \begin{pmatrix} s, t \\ s', t' \end{pmatrix} := \left(\mathbb{E} \left(X_{s,t}^i X_{s',t'}^j \right) \right)_{i,j=1}^d.$$

Using Kolmogorov's continuity and Gaussian hypercontractivity, we obtain the Hölder regularity of process X .

PROPOSITION 1.2. Assume there exists positive ϱ and M such that for every $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,

$$\left| R \begin{pmatrix} s, t \\ s, t \end{pmatrix} \right| \leq M |t - s|^{1/\varrho}.$$

Then, for every $\alpha < 1/(2\varrho)$ there exists $K_\alpha \in L^q$, for all $q < \infty$, such that

$$|X_{s,t}(\omega)| \leq K_\alpha(\omega) |t - s|^\alpha.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we set $d = 1$, otherwise, we can consider componentwise. Recall the Kolmogorov's continuity criterion, namely, if there exists $q \geq 2, \beta > 1/q$ s.t.

$$\|X_{s,t}\|_q \lesssim |t - s|^\beta,$$

then for all $\alpha \in [0, \beta - 1/q)$, there exists $K_\alpha \in L^q$ such that

$$|X_{s,t}| \leq K_\alpha |t - s|^\alpha, \quad a.s.$$

Hence, we only need to show

$$\|X_{s,t}\|_q \lesssim |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2\varrho}}, \quad \forall q \geq 2.$$

By Gaussian hypercontractivity, we have

$$\|X_{s,t}\|_q \lesssim \|X_{s,t}\|_2 \leq \left| R \begin{pmatrix} s, t \\ s, t \end{pmatrix} \right|^{1/2} \leq M^{1/2} |t - s|^{\frac{1}{2\varrho}}, \quad \forall q \geq 2,$$

which completes the proof. \square

EXAMPLE 1.3. A continuous and centered Gaussian process $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $B_0 = 0$ is called a fractional Brownian motion with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$ if it has the covariance

$$\mathbb{E}[B_s B_t] = \Gamma(s, t) := \frac{1}{2} (s^{2H} + t^{2H} - |t - s|^{2H}).$$

By simple computation, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} \left((B_{s,t}^H)^2 \right) &= \mathbb{E} \left((B_t^H)^2 \right) + \mathbb{E} \left((B_s^H)^2 \right) - 2\mathbb{E} (B_t^H B_s^H) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left(2t^{2H} + 2s^{2H} - 2t^{2H} - 2s^{2H} + |t - s|^{2H} \right) \lesssim |t - s|^{2H}. \end{aligned}$$

Namely, $\frac{1}{\varrho} = 2H$, i.e. $H = \frac{1}{2\varrho}$. Then we have the following schema:

1. If $H > \frac{1}{2}$, we can apply Young's theory.
2. If $H = \frac{1}{2}$, it is just Brownian motion, we can apply Itô formula.
3. If $H < \frac{1}{2}$, we cannot apply this anymore, since it is not a semimartingale.

Now we want to construct a reasonable lifted process $\mathbf{X} := (X, \mathbb{X}) \in C_g^\alpha([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ with suitable α , i.e.

1. Chen's relation:

$$\delta \mathbb{X}_{s,u,t} = X_{s,u} \otimes X_{u,t}. \quad (1.1)$$

- 2.

$$\text{Sym}(\mathbb{X}_{s,t}) = \frac{1}{2} X_{s,t} \otimes X_{s,t}. \quad (1.2)$$

- 3.

$$\|X\|_\alpha := \sup_{s \neq t \in [0, T]} \frac{|X_{s,t}|}{|t-s|^\alpha} < \infty, \quad \|\mathbb{X}\|_{2\alpha} := \sup_{s \neq t \in [0, T]} \frac{|\mathbb{X}_{s,t}|}{|t-s|^{2\alpha}} < \infty. \quad (1.3)$$

The construction of Gaussian rough path is similarly as the one for Brownian motion, namely, we first define the integral

$$\mathbb{X}_{s,t}^{i,j} := \int_s^t X_{s,r}^i dX_r^j$$

in L^2 sense, and then find a modification. In particular, the only possible choice for this setting should be

$$\mathbb{X}_{s,t}^{i,j} \stackrel{!}{=} \begin{cases} \int_s^t X_{s,r}^i dX_r^j, & \text{if } 1 \leq i < j \leq d, \\ \frac{1}{2} (X_{s,t}^i)^2, & \text{if } i = j, \\ -\mathbb{X}_{s,t}^{j,i} + X_{s,t}^i X_{s,t}^j, & \text{if } 1 \leq j < i \leq d \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Note the followings:

1. By (1.4), we only need to consider $\mathbb{X}_{s,t}^{i,j}$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq d$. For the sake of notation we write (X, \tilde{X}) rather than (X^i, X^j) .
2. It is enough to consider the unit interval, since the interval $[s, t]$ is handled by considering $(X_{s+\tau(t-s)} : 0 \leq \tau \leq 1)$.

Similar as the case for Brownian motion, we first define the integral in L^2 sense, namely

$$\int_0^1 X_{0,u} d\tilde{X}_u := \lim_{|\mathcal{P}| \downarrow 0} \sum_{[s,t] \in \mathcal{P}} X_{0,\xi} \tilde{X}_{s,t} \quad \text{with } \xi \in [s, t], \quad (1.5)$$

where the limit is understood in probability.

REMARK 1.4. Assume now X, \tilde{X} are semimartingale. By classic stochastic analysis:

1. $\xi = s$ ("left-point evaluation") leads to the Itô integral.
2. $\xi = t$ ("right-point evaluation") to the backward Itô.
3. $\xi = (s+t)/2$ to the Stratonovich integral.

On the other hand, all these integrals only differ by a bracket term $\langle X, \tilde{X} \rangle$ which vanishes if X, \tilde{X} are independent. While we do not assume a semimartingale structure here, we do have the standing assumption of componentwise independence. This suggests a Riemann sum approximation of (1.5) in which we expect the precise point of evaluation to play no role.

For a partition \mathcal{P} , we use the following notation:

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}} X_{0,r} d\tilde{X}_r := \sum_{[s,t] \in \mathcal{P}} X_{0,s} \tilde{X}_{s,t}.$$

In order to show this forms a Cauchy sequence in L^2 , the rectangular increments of covariance plays an important role. To this end, we define the following $2D$ -variation:

DEFINITION 1.5 (ϱ -Variation). *Let $I, I' \subset \mathbb{R}$ be two intervals. For a function $R : I^2 \times I'^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, we define its ϱ -variation as*

$$\|R\|_{\varrho; I \times I'} := \left(\sup_{\mathcal{P} \subset I} \sum_{[s,t] \in \mathcal{P}} \sum_{[s',t'] \in \mathcal{P}'} \left| R \begin{pmatrix} s, t \\ s', t' \end{pmatrix} \right|^{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}}.$$

For this variation, we have the following generalised Young's maximal inequality, namely, if $\|R\|_{\varrho}, \|\tilde{R}\|_{\varrho'}$ are finite with $\frac{1}{\varrho} + \frac{1}{\varrho'} > 1$, then it holds

$$\left| \sum_{[s,t] \in \mathcal{P}, [s',t'] \in \mathcal{P}'} R \begin{pmatrix} 0, s \\ 0, s' \end{pmatrix} \tilde{R} \begin{pmatrix} s, t \\ s', t' \end{pmatrix} \right| \lesssim \|R\|_{\varrho} \|\tilde{R}\|_{\varrho'}.$$

In our case, if we assume $\varrho < 2$, then by the fact $X \perp \tilde{X}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{P} \subset I \\ \mathcal{P}' \subset I'}} \left| \mathbb{E} \left(\int_{\mathcal{P}} X_{0,s} d\tilde{X}_s \int_{\mathcal{P}'} X_{0,s} d\tilde{X}_s \right) \right| \\ & \stackrel{indp.}{=} \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{P} \subset I \\ \mathcal{P}' \subset I'}} \left| \sum_{\substack{[s,t] \in \mathcal{P} \\ [s',t'] \in \mathcal{P}'}} R \begin{pmatrix} 0, s \\ 0, s' \end{pmatrix} \tilde{R} \begin{pmatrix} s, t \\ s', t' \end{pmatrix} \right| \lesssim \|R_X\|_{\varrho; [0,1]^2} \|\tilde{R}_{\tilde{X}}\|_{\varrho; [0,1]^2}. \end{aligned}$$

With some efforts, one can show that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{P}' \\ |\mathcal{P}| \vee |\mathcal{P}'| < \varepsilon}} \left| \int_{\mathcal{P}} X_{0,r} d\tilde{X}_r - \int_{\mathcal{P}'} X_{0,r} d\tilde{X}_r \right|_{L^2} = 0.$$

we can use this to show the L^2 existence of

$$\int_0^1 X_{0,r} d\tilde{X}_r.$$

And hence, we have the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.6 (Existence of Gaussian Rough Path). *Let $(X_t : 0 \leq t \leq 1) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ be a Gaussian with $\mathbb{E}(X) \equiv 0$ and $X_i \perp X_j$ for all $i \neq j$. Assume that there exists $\varrho \in [1, 2)$, $M > 0$ such that*

$$\|R_{X^i}\|_{\varrho; [s,t]^2} \leq M|t-s|^{1/\varrho}, \quad \forall i, 0 \leq s \leq t \leq 1,$$

then

1. $\mathbb{X}_{s,t}$ defined as (1.4) exists in L^2 sense.
2. For any $\alpha < \frac{1}{2\varrho}$ with probability one, (X, \mathbb{X}) satisfies (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3). In particular, for $\varrho \in [1, \frac{3}{2})$ and any $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2\varrho})$ we have $(X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_g^\alpha$.

For fraction Brownian motion, this means $\varrho = \frac{1}{2H} \in [1, \frac{3}{2})$, i.e. $H \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$.

1.2 Fractional Brownian motion

Now we want to check when can we deduce the condition on rectangular increments. To this end, we assume

ASSUMPTION 1.7. $X := (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ is a centred continuous Gaussian process with independent components and stationary increments.

Due to the stationary increments, the law of this process is fully determined by

$$\sigma^2(u) := \mathbf{E} [X_{t,t+u}^2] = R \begin{pmatrix} t, t+u \\ t, t+u \end{pmatrix}.$$

In order to verify this, one have the following observation:

LEMMA 1.8. Assume that $\sigma^2(\cdot)$ is concave on $[0, h]$ for some $h > 0$. Then,

1.

$$\mathbf{E} [X_{s,t} X_{u,v}] \leq 0, \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq t \leq u \leq v \leq h.$$

2. If in addition $\sigma^2(\cdot)$ is non-decreasing on $[0, h]$, then

$$0 \leq \mathbf{E} [X_{s,t} X_{u,v}] \leq \sigma^2(v-u), \quad \forall 0 \leq s \leq u \leq v \leq t \leq h.$$

This comes directly from the concave property. There is nothing interesting, hence, I will omit the proof. With this in hand, we are able to state a criterion on the ϱ -norm of covariance.

THEOREM 1.9. Let X be a real-valued Gaussian process with stationary increments and $\sigma^2(\cdot)$ concave and non-decreasing on $[0, h]$, some $h > 0$. Assume also, for constants $L, \varrho \geq 1$, and all $\tau \in [0, h]$

$$|\sigma^2(\tau)| \leq L|\tau|^{1/\varrho}$$

Then the covariance of X has finite ϱ -variation. More precisely

$$\|R_X\|_{\varrho; [s,t]^2} \leq M|t-s|^{1/\varrho} \tag{1.6}$$

for all intervals $[s, t]$ with length $|t-s| \leq h$ and some $M = M(\varrho, L) > 0$.

Proof. Consider some interval $[s, t]$ with length $|t-s| \leq h$ and let $\mathcal{D} = \{t_i\}, \mathcal{D}' = \{t'_j\}$ be two dissections of $[s, t]$. For fixed t_i, t_{i+1} , we claim

Claim. It holds

$$\sum_{t'_j \in \mathcal{D}'} \left| \mathbf{E} \left(X_{t_i, t_{i+1}} X_{t'_j, t'_{j+1}} \right) \right|^\varrho \leq L |t_{i+1} - t_i|.$$

Suppose we have this, then we see that

$$\left(\sum_{t_i \in \mathcal{D}} \sum_{t'_j \in \mathcal{D}'} \left| \mathbf{E} \left(X_{t_i, t_{i+1}} X_{t'_j, t'_{j+1}} \right) \right|^\varrho \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}} \leq L |t - s|.$$

In order to show the claim note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t'_j \in \mathcal{D}'} \left| \mathbf{E} \left(X_{t_i, t_{i+1}} X_{t'_j, t'_{j+1}} \right) \right|^\varrho &\lesssim \left\| \mathbf{E} X_{t_i, t_{i+1}} X \cdot \right\|_{\varrho; [s, t]}^\varrho \\ &\lesssim \underbrace{\left\| \mathbf{E} X_{t_i, t_{i+1}} X \cdot \right\|_{\varrho; [s, t_i]}^\varrho}_{=: \text{I}} + \underbrace{\left\| \mathbf{E} X_{t_i, t_{i+1}} X \cdot \right\|_{\varrho; [t_i, t_{i+1}]}^\varrho}_{=: \text{II}} + \underbrace{\left\| \mathbf{E} X_{t_i, t_{i+1}} X \cdot \right\|_{\varrho; [t_{i+1}, t]}^\varrho}_{=: \text{III}}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

For all three terms we can apply Lemma 1.8 to get the desired bound, for instance for the second term, note that

$$\text{II} = \sup_{\mathcal{D}'} \sum_{t'_j \in \mathcal{D}'} \left| \mathbf{E} X_{t_i, t_{i+1}} X_{t'_j, t'_{j+1}} \right|^\varrho \leq \sup_{\mathcal{D}'} \sum_{t'_j \in \mathcal{D}'} |\sigma^2(t'_{j+1} - t'_j)|^\varrho \leq L |t_{i+1} - t_i|.$$

□

COROLLARY 1.10 ([FH20, Corollary 10.10]). *Let $X = (X^1, \dots, X^d)$ be a centred continuous Gaussian process with independent components such that each X^i satisfies the assumption of the Theorem 1.9, with common values of h, L and $\varrho \in [1, 3/2)$. Then X , restricted to any interval $[0, T]$, lifts to $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_g^\alpha([0, T], \mathbf{R}^d)$.*

Proof. Set $I_n = [(n-1)h, nh]$ so that $[0, T] \subset I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_{\lceil T/h \rceil}$. On each interval I_n , we may apply Theorem 1.9 to lift $X_n := X|_{I_n}$ to a (random) rough path $\mathbf{X}_n \in \mathcal{C}_g^\alpha(I_n, \mathbf{R}^d)$. The concatenation of $\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \dots$ then yields the desired rough path lift on $[0, T]$. □

With this in hand, we are finally to deduce the case for fractional Brownian motion.

EXAMPLE 1.11 (Fractional Brownian motion, [FH20, Example 10.11]). *Clearly, d -dimensional fractional Brownian motion B^H with Hurst parameter $H \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$ satisfies the assumptions of the above theorem / corollary for all components with*

$$\sigma(u) = u^{2H}$$

obviously non-decreasing and concave for $H \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and on any time interval $[0, T]$. This also identifies

$$\varrho = \frac{1}{2H}$$

and $\varrho < \frac{3}{2}$ translates to $H > \frac{1}{3}$ in which case we obtain a canonical geometric rough path $\mathbf{B}^H = (B^H, \mathbb{B}^H)$ associated to fractional Brownian motion.

1.3 Exponential integrability

Now we want to show a generalised Fernique's theorem for Gaussian rough path. Recall that the original Fernique's theorem is a result about Gaussian measures on Banach spaces. It extends the finite-dimensional result that a Gaussian random variable has exponential tails. Namely, if γ is a Gaussian measure on separable Banach space B , then there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\int_X \exp(\alpha \|x\|^2) \gamma(dx) < \infty.$$

Now our goal is to show that under the previous condition, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(e^{\eta \|X\|_\alpha^2} \right) < \infty. \quad (1.8)$$

To this end, we will need Cameron-Martin regularity. Let's first recall the definition of Cameron-Martin space. Let γ be a Gaussian on $(B, \mathcal{B}(B))$, then its dual $B^* \subset L^2(X, \gamma)$, and we can define the continuous inclusion $j : B^* \rightarrow L^2(X, \gamma)$ as

$$j(f) := f - a_\gamma(f)$$

with

$$a_\gamma(f) := \int_B f(x) \gamma(dx).$$

Then we define X_γ^* as the closure of $j(B)$ in $L^2(B, \gamma)$. Furthermore, we define $R_\gamma : X_\gamma^* \rightarrow (X^*)^*$ as

$$(R_\gamma(f)g) = \int_X f(x)(g(x) - a_\gamma(x)) \gamma(dx).$$

In particular, one can show that $R_\gamma(X^*) \subset X$ in the sense that for all $f \in X^*$, there exists $y_f \in X$ such that

$$R_\gamma(f)g = g(y_f), \quad \forall g \in X^*.$$

Then we define the Cameron-Martin space as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{CM}} := \left\{ h \in X \mid \exists \hat{h} \in X_\gamma^* \text{ such that } h = R_\gamma(\hat{h}) \right\}.$$

And we define the norm on it as

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}_{\text{CM}}} := \|\hat{h}\|_{L^2}.$$

In particular, it forms a Hilbert space with

$$\langle h, g \rangle = \langle \hat{h}, \hat{g} \rangle.$$

And we call $(B, \mathcal{H}_{\text{CM}}, \gamma)$ as **abstract Wiener space**. In our case, the underlying space is $C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ and X is a Gaussian with $X(\omega) = \omega$. Then the Cameron-Martin space $\mathcal{H} \subset C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ consists of paths $t \mapsto h_t := \mathbb{E}(ZX_t)$ where

$$Z \in \mathcal{W}^1 := \overline{\text{span}\{X_t^i : t \in [0, T], 1 \leq i \leq d\}}^{L^2(\gamma)}.$$

The key ingredient to show (1.8) is the following theorem:

THEOREM 1.12 (Generalised Fernique theorem). *Assume (E, \mathcal{H}, μ) is an abstract Wiener space. Let $a, \sigma \in (0, \infty)$ and consider measurable maps $f, g : E \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ such that*

1.

$$\mu(\{x : g(x) \leq a\}) > 0.$$

2. *There exists a null-set N such that*

$$f(x) \leq g(x - h) + \sigma \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}, \quad \forall x \in N^c, h \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then $f(\cdot)$ has Gaussian tail, more precisely, there exists $\eta > 0$ such that

$$\mathbb{E} \left(\exp \left(\eta |f(x)|^2 \right) \right) \gamma(dx) < \infty.$$

Hence, to show (1.8), we just need to do the following:

1. We set $f(\omega) := \|\mathbf{X}(\omega)\|_\alpha$ and show that $\|\mathbf{X}(\omega)\|_\alpha < \infty$ for a.e. ω .
2. And there exists $C, \sigma > 0$ such that

$$\|\mathbf{X}(\omega)\|_\alpha \leq C (\|\mathbf{X}(\omega - h)\|_\alpha + \sigma \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}), \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{CM}}. \quad (1.9)$$

We have already seen a sufficient condition for the first criterion, it turns out it will also implies the second one, and hence we obtain

THEOREM 1.13 ([FH20, Theorem 11.9]). *Let $(X_t : 0 \leq t \leq T)$ be a d -dimensional, centred Gaussian process with independent components and covariance R such that there exists $\varrho \in [1, \frac{3}{2})$ and $M < \infty$ such that for every $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,*

$$\|R_{X^i}\|_{\varrho\text{-var};[s,t]^2} \leq M|t-s|^{1/\varrho}.$$

Then, for any $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2\varrho})$, the associated rough path $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_g^\alpha$ built in Theorem 1.6 is such that there exists $\eta = \eta(M, T, \alpha, \varrho)$ with

$$\mathbf{E} (\exp (\eta \|\mathbf{X}\|_\alpha^2)) < \infty.$$

Hence, we only need to show (1.9). Instead of working on Hölder space, we will now use the following space:

$$\mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}} ([0, T], \mathbf{R}^d) := \left\{ X \in C([0, T]; \mathbf{R}^d) \mid \|X\|_{p\text{-var};[0,T]} < \infty \right\},$$

where

$$\|X\|_{p\text{-var};[0,T]} := \left(\sup_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{[s,t] \in \mathcal{P}} |X_{s,t}|^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (1.10)$$

with supremum taken over all partitions of $[0, T]$ and this constitutes a seminorm on $\mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}$. The 1-variation ($p = 1$) of such a path is of course nothing but its length, possibly $+\infty$.

It has the following connection with Hölder regularity:

PROPOSITION 1.14. *Suppose $f \in C([0, T], \mathbf{R}^d)$, then:*

1. *If f is α -Hölder continuous, then*

$$\|X\|_{p\text{-var};[0,T]} \leq T^\alpha \|X\|_{\alpha;[0,T]}$$

with $p := \frac{1}{\alpha}$.

2. *Conversely, if f is p -variation, then there exists reparameterization such that $f \circ \tau$ is $\frac{1}{p}$ Hölder continuous.*

Instead of using Hölder regularity, we will consider rough path of p -variation, and we write $\mathbf{X} := (X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}([0, T], \mathbf{R}^d)$ if (1.1) and (1.2) holds and

$$\|\mathbb{X}\|_{p/2\text{-var};[0,T]} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left(\sup_{\mathcal{P}} \sum_{[s,t] \in \mathcal{P}} |\mathbb{X}_{s,t}|^{p/2} \right)^{2/p} < \infty$$

(As before, we shall drop $[0, T]$ from our notation whenever the time horizon is fixed.) The homogeneous p -variation rough path norm (over $[0, T]$) is then given by

$$\|\mathbf{X}\|_{p\text{-var};[0,T]} = \|\mathbf{X}\|_{p\text{-var}} := \|X\|_{p\text{-var}} + \sqrt{\|\mathbb{X}\|_{p/2\text{-var}}}.$$

REMARK 1.15. Originally, we have $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}]$, now by the relation $p = \frac{1}{\alpha}$, we have $p \in [2, 3)$.

Hence, we change (1.8) to the following

$$\|\mathbf{X}(\omega)\|_{p\text{-var}} \leq C \left(\|\mathbf{X}(\omega - h)\|_{p\text{-var}} + \sigma \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \right), \quad \forall h \in \mathcal{H}_{\text{CM}}. \quad (1.11)$$

Now we see that the $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}}$ is not convenient. Luckily, we can embed \mathcal{H}_{CM} into the following space:

PROPOSITION 1.16 ([FV11, Proposition 11.2]). Assume the covariance $R : (s, t) \mapsto \mathbf{E}(X_s \otimes X_t)$ is of finite ϱ -variation (in 2D sense) for $\varrho \in [1, \infty)$. Then \mathcal{H} is continuously embedded in the space of continuous paths of finite ϱ -variation. More, precisely, for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and all $s < t$ in $[0, T]$

$$\|h\|_{\varrho\text{-var}; [s, t]} \leq \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}} \sqrt{\|R\|_{\varrho\text{-var}; [s, t]}^2}.$$

Proof. Without loss of generality, we assume X, h are scalar. Let $h \in \mathcal{H}$, i.e. $h_t = \mathbf{E}(ZX_t)$ for some $Z \in \mathcal{W}^1$. We may assume without loss of generality (by scaling), that $\|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 := \mathbf{E}(Z^2) = 1$. Let (t_j) be a dissection of $[s, t]$. Let ϱ' be the Hölder conjugate of ϱ . Using duality for ϱ^{\prime} -spaces, we have ¹

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\sum_j |h_{t_j, t_{j+1}}|^{\varrho} \right)^{1/\varrho} = \sup_{\beta, |\beta|_{\varrho'} \leq 1} \sum_j \langle \beta_j, h_{t_j, t_{j+1}} \rangle = \sup_{\beta, |\beta|_{\varrho'} \leq 1} \mathbf{E} \left(Z \sum_j \langle \beta_j, X_{t_j, t_{j+1}} \rangle \right) \\ & \leq \sup_{\beta, |\beta|_{\varrho'} \leq 1} \sqrt{\mathbf{E}(Z^2)} \sqrt{\sum_{j, k} \beta_j \beta_k \mathbf{E}(X_{t_j, t_{j+1}} X_{t_k, t_{k+1}})} \\ & \leq \sup_{\beta, |\beta|_{\varrho'} \leq 1} \sqrt{\left(\sum_{j, k} |\beta_j|^{\varrho'} |\beta_k|^{\varrho'} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho'}} \left(\sum_{j, k} |\mathbf{E}(X_{t_j, t_{j+1}} X_{t_k, t_{k+1}})|^{\varrho} \right)^{\frac{1}{\varrho}}} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j, k} |\mathbf{E}(X_{t_j, t_{j+1}} \otimes X_{t_k, t_{k+1}})|^{\varrho} \right)^{1/(2\varrho)} \leq \sqrt{\|R\|_{\varrho\text{-var}; [s, t]}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is then completed by taking the supremum over all dissections (t_j) over $[0, t]$. \square

Now we want to find a relation between $\mathbf{X}(\omega + h)$ and $\mathbf{X}(\omega)$. As an ansatz, we define for a rough path $\mathbf{X} := (X, \mathbb{X})$, we define its translation in direction h as

$$T_h(\mathbf{X}) := (X^h, \mathbb{X}^h)$$

where $X^h := X + h$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{X}_{s, t}^h & := \left(\int_s^t X_{s, r}^{h, i} dX_r^{h, j} \right)_{i, j=1}^d = \left(\int_s^t (X_{s, r}^i + h_{s, r}^i) d(X_{s, r}^j + h_{s, r}^j) \right)_{i, j=1}^d \\ & = \mathbb{X}_{s, t} + \int_s^t h_{s, r} \otimes dX_r + \int_s^t X_{s, r} \otimes dh_r + \int_s^t h_{s, r} \otimes dh_r. \end{aligned}$$

provided that $h \in \mathcal{C}^{q\text{-var}}$, $X \in \mathcal{C}^{p\text{-var}}$ with

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} > 1.$$

Now recall that we have for $(X_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ a d -dimensional centered continuous Gaussian process such that $X^i \perp X^j$ and

$$\|R_{X^i}\|_{\varrho;[s,t]} \lesssim |t-s|^{\frac{1}{\varrho}}$$

with $\varrho \in [1, \frac{3}{2})$, it holds $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{C}_g^{p-var}$ with $\frac{1}{p} \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2\varrho})$ and $\mathcal{H}_{CM} \hookrightarrow C^{\varrho-var}$. In particular, this implies

$$\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{\varrho} > \frac{1}{3} + \frac{2}{3} > 1, \quad \frac{1}{\varrho} + \frac{1}{\varrho} = \frac{4}{3} > 1.$$

Hence, all terms on the right hand side are well-defined. In order to deduce the inequality

$$\|T_h(\mathbf{X})\|_{p-var} \lesssim (\|\mathbf{X}\|_{p-var} + \|h\|_{q-var}),$$

note that $p > \varrho$, then

$$\|X^h\|_{p-var} \leq \|X\|_{p-var} + \underbrace{\|h\|_{p-var}}_{\leq \|h\|_{\varrho-var}}.$$

and

$$\max \left\{ \left\| \int_0^\cdot h_{s,r} \otimes dX_r \right\|_{p-var}, \left\| \int_0^\cdot h_{s,r} \otimes dh_r \right\|_{p-var}, \left\| \int_0^\cdot X_{s,r} \otimes dh_r \right\|_{p-var} \right\} \lesssim \|h\|_{q-var} \|X\|_{p-var}.$$

Then use the estimate $\sqrt{ab} \leq a + b$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{R}_+$ in view of the homogeneous norm (which involves \mathbb{X}^h with a square root), we can conclude the claim. Now the only thing we need to show is that for all $h \in \mathcal{H}_{CM}$, it holds

$$T_h(\mathbf{X}(\omega)) = \mathbf{X}(\omega + h), \quad \text{for a.e. } \omega.$$

Suppose we have this, then we have

$$\|\mathbf{X}(\omega)\| = \|T_h(\omega - h)\| \leq C(\|\mathbf{X}(\omega - h)\| + \|h\|_{\mathcal{H}}).$$

THEOREM 1.17 ([FH20, Theorem 11.5]). *Assume $(X_t : 0 \leq t \leq T)$ is a continuous d -dimensional, centered Gaussian process with independent components and covariance R such that there exists $\varrho \in [1, \frac{3}{2})$ and $M < \infty$ such that for every $i \in \{1, \dots, d\}$ and $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$,*

$$\|R_{X^i}\|_{\varrho-var;[s,t]^2} \leq M|t-s|^{1/\varrho}$$

Let $\alpha \in (\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2\varrho}]$ and $\mathbf{X} = (X, \mathbb{X}) \in \mathcal{C}^\alpha([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$ a.s. be the random Gaussian rough path constructed in Theorem 1.9. Then

$$\mathbb{P}(\{\omega \mid \mathbf{X}(\omega + h) = T_h(\mathbf{X}(\omega)) \text{ for all } h \in \mathcal{H}\}) = 1.$$

Proof. In order to prove the rest of this theorems, we need to take a close look at the construction of Gaussian rough path. Recall that we use Kolmogorov's criterion to conclude that there exists a modification $\mathbf{X} := (X, \mathbb{X})$ such that for almost every $\omega \in C([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathbf{X}(\omega)$ is α -Hölder (or $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ -variation). Now we define

$$N_1 := \left\{ \omega \in \mathcal{C}([0, T], \mathbb{R}^d) \mid \mathbf{X}(\omega) \text{ is not } \alpha\text{-Hölder} \right\}.$$

In particular, for any $\omega \in N_1^c$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$, $\omega + h \in N_1^c$. Furthermore, recall that $\mathbb{X}_{s,t}$ was first constructed as an L^2 -limit, in particular, there exists a sequence of partitions $(\mathcal{P}^m) \subset [s, t]$ such that

$$\mathbb{X}_{s,t}(\omega) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathcal{P}^m} X \otimes dX \text{ exists for a.e. } \omega. \quad (1.12)$$

And we denote $N_{2,[s,t]}$ as the set of ω such that (1.12) does not hold. Now we define

$$N_2 := \bigcap_{[s,t] \text{ dyadic}} N_{2,[s,t]}.$$

Now choose $\omega \in (N_1 \cup N_2)^c$ and the aforementioned partition (\mathcal{P}^m) and note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathcal{P}^m} X(\omega + h) \otimes dX(\omega + h) \\ = & \underbrace{\int_{\mathcal{P}^m} X(\omega) \otimes dX(\omega)}_{=:I} + \underbrace{\int_{\mathcal{P}^m} h \otimes dX(\omega) + \int_{\mathcal{P}^m} X(\omega) \otimes dh + \int_{\mathcal{P}^m} h \otimes dh}_{=:II}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\omega \notin N_1$, $X(\omega)$ and h satisfies the complementary Young regularity, and hence II converges to the respective Young integrals. I converges to $\mathbb{X}_{s,t}(\omega)$ due to the fact $\omega \notin N_2$. In other words, for all $\omega \in (N_1 \cup N_2)^c$, $h \in \mathcal{H}$ and dyadic time s, t

$$T_h(\mathbf{X}(\omega))_{s,t} = \mathbf{X}(\omega)_{s,t}.$$

The construction of $\mathbf{X}_{s,t}$ for non-dyadic times was obtained by continuity (see Theorem 1.9) and the above almost-sure identity remains valid. \square

References

- [FH20] Peter K Friz and Martin Hairer. *A course on rough paths*. Springer, 2020.
- [FV11] Peter Friz and Nicolas Victoir. “A note on higher dimensional p-variation”. In: *Electronic Journal of Probability* 16 (2011), pp. 1880–1899.