

Stochastic differential equations

Existence, uniqueness, various notions thereof, relations between such notions (continued).

Setting. Probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ right-continuous, completed.

Definition 1. A (weak) solution of the SDE in \mathbb{R}^n

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dB_t, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

$$X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is a pair of adapted processes (X, B) where $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is a m -dimensional Brownian motion and b, σ are coefficients $b: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m; \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that almost surely

$$\int_0^t |b(X_s)|ds < \infty, \quad \int_0^t \text{Tr}(\sigma(X_s)\sigma(X_s)^T)ds < \infty, \quad t \in [0, T]$$

and that

$$X_t = x + \int_0^t b(X_s)ds + \int_0^t \sigma(X_s)dB_s, \quad t \in [0, T].$$

Note: a weak solution is really the data $(\Omega, \mathbb{P}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, X, B)$.

Definition 2. A strong solution to the SDE above is a weak solution such that X is adapted to the \mathbb{P} -completed filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t^B)_{t \geq 0}$ generated by B , $\mathcal{F}_t^B := \sigma(B_s; s \in [0, t])^{\mathbb{P}}$.

Definition 3. An SDE has uniqueness in law iff two solutions $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, X, B)$, $(\Omega', \mathcal{F}', \mathbb{P}', (\mathcal{F}'_t)_{t \geq 0}, X', B')$ are such that

$$\text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}}(X) = \text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}'}(X') \in \Pi(C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n), \mathcal{B}(C([0, T]; \mathbb{R}^n)))$$

Definition 4. An SDE has pathwise uniqueness if for any two weak solutions X, X' defined on the same filt. prob. space and with the same BM B we have that they are indistinguishable, i.e.

$$\mathbb{P}(\forall t \in [0, T]: X_t = X'_t) = 1.$$

Remark 5. You have to be familiar to the following basic concepts: adapted process, continuous time martingale, local martingale, semimartingale, stochastic integral wrt. semimartingale, (one-)variation of a process, quadratic variation of a process, co-variation, Riemann-Stieltjes integral, Ito formula, Levy characterisation of Brownian motion (in one dimension).

Theorem 6. (Cherny) Uniqueness in law implies uniqueness of the law of the pair (X, B) , i.e.

$$\text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}}(X, B) = \text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}'}(X', B').$$

Theorem 7. (Cherny) Strong existence+uniqueness in law \Rightarrow pathwise uniqueness.

Theorem 6 is quite easy to prove if the SDE is one dimensional with $n = m = 1$ and $\sigma(x) > 0$ everywhere. Indeed observe that if (X, B) is a solution, then the process

$$M_t = \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dB_s = X_t - x - \int_0^t b(X_s) ds \quad (1)$$

is a local martingale and it is measurable wrt. X . But then we have

$$\int_0^t (\sigma(X_s))^{-1} dM_s = \int_0^t (\sigma(X_s))^{-1} \sigma(X_s) dB_s = \int_0^t dB_s = B_t$$

therefore B is X measurable and a consequence $B = \Psi(X)$ and we conclude that

$$\text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}}(X, B) = \text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}}(X, \Psi(X)) = \text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}'}(X', \Psi(X')) = \text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}'}(X', B')$$

if X, X' have the same law. Note that $B' = \Psi(X')$ because the map Ψ can be constructed in an almost sure way as follows. From (1) we have that there exists an (adapted) map Φ such that $M_t = \Phi_t(X)$. (and we will have the same for $M' = \Phi(X')$). And remember that for the stochastic integral $\int_0^t (\sigma(X_s))^{-1} dM_s$ there exists a sequence of (deterministic) partitions $\Pi_n = \{t_1^n, \dots, t_k^n, \dots\}$ such that one can express $\int_0^t (\sigma(X_s))^{-1} dM_s$ as almost sure limit of Riemann sums over the sequence of partitions

$$B_t = \int_0^t (\sigma(X_s))^{-1} dM_s = \lim_n \sum_k (\sigma(X_{t_k^n}))^{-1} (M_{t_{k+1}^n} - M_{t_k^n}) = \lim_n \sum_k (\sigma(X_{t_k^n}))^{-1} (\Phi_{t_{k+1}^n}(X) - \Phi_{t_k^n}(X)) = \Psi_t(X)$$

and one can arrange to have the same partition for the primed solution and therefore have $B' = \Psi(X')$ at least \mathbb{P}' -a.s. (I skipped the detail of localizing the local martingale M in order to find the deterministic partition).

Let's discuss now the general case. Take $n \geq 1, m \geq 1$ $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^n) \approx \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$.

Let $(\Omega^\#, \mathcal{F}^\#, \mathbb{P}^\#)$ another probability space on which there are two \mathbb{R}^m -Brownian motions W, \bar{W} . I form the product space $(\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega \times \Omega^\#, \tilde{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{F}^\#, \tilde{\mathbb{P}} = \mathbb{P} \otimes \mathbb{P}^\#)$ and on $\tilde{\Omega}$ I consider the solution (X, B) of the SDE together with processes W, \bar{W} . Note that (W, \bar{W}) is independent of (X, B) . Of course $\text{Law}_{\tilde{\mathbb{P}}}(X, B) = \text{Law}_{\mathbb{P}}(X, B)$. For any fixed $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ consider now $\varphi(x), \psi(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times m}$ such that they are orthogonal projections on orthogonal subspaces:

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(x)^T, \quad \psi(x) = \psi(x)^T, \quad \psi(x)^2 = \psi(x), \quad \varphi(x)^2 = \varphi(x), \quad \varphi(x)\psi(x) = 0, \quad \varphi(x) + \psi(x) = \mathbb{1}_{n \times n}$$

and such that $\sigma(x)\varphi(x) = \sigma(x)$ and $\sigma(x)\psi(x) = 0$. So $\text{Im}(\varphi(x))^\perp = \text{Ker}(\sigma(x)) = \text{Im}(\psi(x))$. Now I define two new processes U, V on $\tilde{\Omega}$, with values in \mathbb{R}^n and such that $U_0 = V_0 = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} dU_t &= \varphi(X_t) dB_t + \psi(X_t) dW_t \\ dV_t &= \psi(X_t) dB_t + \varphi(X_t) d\bar{W}_t \end{aligned}$$

With this definition we have

$$\begin{aligned} d[U^i, U^j]_t &= \sum_{k,l} \varphi^{i,k}(X_t) \varphi^{j,l}(X_t) d[\underbrace{B^k, B^l}_t]_t + \sum_{k,l} \varphi^{i,k}(X_t) \psi^{j,l}(X_t) d[\underbrace{B^k, W^l}_t]_t \\ &\quad + \sum_{k,l} \psi^{i,k}(X_t) \varphi^{j,l}(X_t) d[\underbrace{W^k, B^l}_t]_t + \sum_{k,l} \psi^{i,k}(X_t) \psi^{j,l}(X_t) d[\underbrace{W^k, W^l}_t]_t \\ &= (\varphi(X_t) \varphi(X_t)^T)^{i,j} dt + (\psi(X_t) \psi(X_t)^T)^{i,j} dt = \delta_{i,j} dt \end{aligned}$$

by the properties of φ, ψ . Similarly $d[V^i, V^j]_t = \delta_{i,j} dt$ and moreover $d[U^i, V^j]_t = 0$ since $\varphi(x)\psi(x) = 0$. We conclude the process (U, V) is a pair of independent \mathbb{R}^n -Brownian motions (by the multidimensional version of Levy's characterisation theorem, we will prove it later on). Now we have

$$B_t = \int_0^t \varphi(X_s) dU_s, \quad \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dB_s = \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) \varphi(X_s) dU_s = \int_0^t \sigma(X_s) dU_s.$$

This implies that $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^{X,U})_{t \geq 0}, X, U)$ is a weak solution to the SDE. I want to prove that V is independent of X . Define the filtration $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ given by

$$\mathcal{G}_t = \sigma(U_s, X_s; s \leq t) \vee \sigma(V_s; s \geq 0).$$

Since U is independent of V , then U is still a $(\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ Brownian motion, which implies in particular that $(U_t)_{t \geq 0}$ is independent of \mathcal{G}_0 therefore $(\tilde{\Omega}, \tilde{\mathbb{P}}, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}, X, U)$ is still a solution of the SDE.

Now we want to consider the regular conditional probability of $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ given \mathcal{G}_0 that is the family of probability kernels $\mathbb{Q}: \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \Pi(\tilde{\Omega})$ such that

$$\mathbb{Q}_\omega(\cdot) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(\cdot | \mathcal{G}_0)(\omega), \quad \text{for } \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.e. } \omega \in \tilde{\Omega}.$$

I can do it because I can set up the full theorem in the case where $\tilde{\Omega}$ is the Polish space $\tilde{\Omega} = \mathcal{C}^{n+3m} = C(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m)$. The probability kernel \mathbb{Q} is unique $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.s. Observe that $\mathcal{G}_0 = \sigma(V_s; s \geq 0)$ since we take a deterministic initial condition for $X_0 = x \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Observe that almost sure events for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ remains almost sure for \mathbb{Q}_ω (for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.e. $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$), i.e.

$$1 = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) \Rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}_\omega(A) = 1, \text{ for } \tilde{\mathbb{P}}\text{-a.e. } \omega \in \tilde{\Omega})$$

indeed

$$1 = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \mathbb{Q}_\omega(A) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(d\omega).$$

By one of the theorems proven in Sheet 0 (this week), we have that $(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{Q}_\omega, (\mathcal{G}_t)_{t \geq 0}, X, U)$ is still a weak solution to the SDE for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ -a.e. $\omega \in \tilde{\Omega}$. By uniqueness in law of the solutions to the SDE (by assumption), we have that the law under \mathbb{Q}_ω of X does not depend on ω , i.e.

$$\mathbb{Q}_\omega(X \in \cdot) = \text{Law}_{\mathbb{Q}_\omega}(X) = \text{Law}_{\mathbb{Q}_{\omega'}}(X) \quad \text{for a.e. } \omega, \omega' \in \tilde{\Omega}.$$

Now

$$\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(X \in A, V \in B) = \int_{\{V \in B\}} \mathbb{Q}_\omega(X \in A) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(d\omega) = \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \mathbb{Q}_{\omega'}(X \in A) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(d\omega') \int_{\{V \in B\}} \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(d\omega) = \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(X \in A) \tilde{\mathbb{P}}(V \in B)$$

We conclude that X, V are independent. Next we are going to prove that $B = B(X, V)$.
