

## Review of measure spaces, measures and integration.

We start by reviewing the basic setup of prob. theory,  $\sigma$ -algebras and prob. measure, the construction of probability measures, product of prob spaces, the notion of integral, and the various properties of the integral.

A probability space is triple  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  where

- $\Omega$  is a set;
- $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra of events, that is a family of subsets of  $\Omega$  which is stable under complement, under finite intersections and under countable unions, and moreover it contains the empty set  $\emptyset$ . This represents the possible events we want to consider in our probabilistic setting.
- $\mathbb{P}$  is a probability measure, that is a function  $\mathbb{P}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such  $\mathbb{P}(\emptyset) = 0$ ,  $\mathbb{P}(A^c) = 1 - \mathbb{P}(A)$  and is  $\sigma$ -additive, that is for any disjoint family  $(A_k)_k \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  it holds

$$\mathbb{P}(\cup_n A_n) = \sum_n \mathbb{P}(A_n),$$

( $\sigma$ -additivity is equivalent to continuity at  $\emptyset$ , that is  $\mathbb{P}(B_k) \rightarrow 0$  if  $\cap_k B_k = \emptyset$  for an arbitrary family  $(B_k)_k \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ ).

These axioms are due to Kolmogorov in the '40. There are formalizations of probability which do not require  $\sigma$ -additivity (see de Finetti). But you can prove less in them.

More generally we call measure a positive function  $\mu: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  which satisfy all the properties of a prob. measure apart from the property on complements, i.e.  $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$  and it is  $\sigma$ -additive.

$\sigma$ -algebras are complicated to describe, so we would like to work with more manageable objects. So for any family  $\mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  we call  $\sigma(\mathcal{U})$  the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra which contains  $\mathcal{U}$ , this is the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{U}$ .

Examples of  $\sigma$ -algebras

- $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and we have always the trivial  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\{\emptyset, \Omega\}$ .
- If  $\Omega$  is a topological space then we can consider the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by all the open sets of  $\Omega$  we call it the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra and denote it with  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega) = \sigma(\{\text{open sets in } \Omega\})$ . The elements in  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$  are called Borel sets.

*How can we work with prob. measures?*

We need first a way to work with  $\sigma$ -algebras easily. One important tool is Dynkin's  $\pi - \lambda$  theorem:

- We say that a family of sets  $\Lambda$  is a  $\lambda$ -system if it contains  $\emptyset$  and is closed under complements and countable disjoint unions;

- We say that a family of sets  $\Pi$  is a  $\pi$ -system if it is closed under finite intersections.

Note that a  $\sigma$ -algebra is both a  $\lambda$ -system and a  $\pi$ -system.

Examples (show the claims as exercise):

- the family of open intervals of  $\mathbb{R}$  together with  $\emptyset$  is a  $\pi$ -system (one can allow also finite unions and it is still a  $\pi$ -system);
- the family of rectangles  $A \times B \subseteq \Omega \times \Omega$  with  $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$  is a  $\pi$ -system;
- the family  $\{B \in \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}(B) = \mathbb{Q}(B)\}$  for two probability measure  $\mathbb{P}, \mathbb{Q}$  on  $\mathcal{F}$ , is a  $\lambda$ -system (exercise);
- consider the family  $\Lambda \subseteq \mathcal{F}$  such that there exists a vector space  $\mathcal{H}$  of bounded measurable real-valued functions on  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $1 \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  contains all the indicator functions  $\mathbb{1}_B$  of elements  $B \in \Lambda$ . Then  $\Lambda$  is a  $\lambda$ -system;

**Theorem. (Dynkin's  $\pi$  -  $\lambda$  theorem)** *If  $\Pi$  is a  $\pi$ -system and  $\Lambda$  a  $\lambda$ -system then  $\Pi \subseteq \Lambda$  implies that  $\sigma(\Pi) \subseteq \Lambda$ .*

A function  $f: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (E, \mathcal{E})$  between two measure spaces (i.e. a pair of a space and  $\sigma$ -algebra on it) is measurable iff  $f^{-1}(F) \in \mathcal{F}$  for all  $F \in \mathcal{E}$ .

Note that  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{E}) := \{f^{-1}(F): F \in \mathcal{E}\}$  is always a  $\sigma$ -algebra, for a measurable function we have moreover that  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{E}) \subseteq \mathcal{F}$ , that is for an  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable function  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{E})$  is a sub- $\sigma$ -algebra of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Functions measurable on a probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  are called random variables. We can speak about probabilities associated to this particular function.

For a random variable  $f: \Omega \rightarrow (E, \mathcal{E})$  we can do

$$\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{f^{-1}} \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{P}} [0, 1]$$

that is we can construct a new probability measure  $\mathbb{P}_f: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  by pullback of  $\mathbb{P}$  with  $f$ . This is called the law (or the distribution) of  $f$ .

Real valued random variable are like coordinates on the probability space, i.e. reduce the problem to compute probabilities in the unstructured setting of  $\Omega$  to a concrete problem about algebra and analysis of real-valued functions.

If  $X: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (E, \mathcal{E})$  is a r.v. then  $\sigma(X)$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra for which  $X$  is measurable and

$$\sigma(X) = \{X^{-1}(F): F \in \mathcal{E}\} \subseteq \mathcal{F}.$$

We could say that  $\sigma(X)$  represents the information on the measure space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  obtained by looking at it via  $X$  (you can think about it as a coordinate system). Like any coordinate system it could not be complete and discard some of the underlying information.  $\sigma(X)$  can be thought as the set of all possible questions you can ask about  $\Omega$  using only the language given by  $X$ .

For real valued functions measurability is usually understood wrt. to the Borel  $\sigma$ -algebra. More generally this applies for functions with values in top. spaces.

Measurability strongly depends on the initial  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}$ , when this is important we say explicitly  $\mathcal{F}$ -measurable.

Measurable functions, like  $\sigma$ -algebras are difficult to describe explicitly, but we have nice way to relate this two concepts in the case the  $\sigma$ -algebra is generated by some family  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Theorem. (Monotone class theorem)** *Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a vector space of bounded real-values functions on  $\Omega$  such that*

- i.  $1 \in \mathcal{H}$ ,
- ii. *if  $f_n \geq 0$  and  $f_n \uparrow f$  pointwise with  $f$  bounded, then  $f \in \mathcal{H}$  (so  $\mathcal{H}$  is stable under monotone limits).*

*Then if  $\mathcal{H}$  contains the indicator functions of every element of a  $\pi$ -system  $\mathcal{U}$  then  $\mathcal{H}$  contains every bounded  $\sigma(\mathcal{U})$ -measurable function.*

The proof is not difficult and uses Dynkin's theorem. The property (ii) tells us that we can do pointwise approximations in  $\mathcal{H}$  and the proof proceed by approximating measurable functions with simple functions which then are shown to be in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Recall that simple functions are those measurable functions which take only finitely many values, i.e.  $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is simple if

$$f(\omega) = \sum_{x \in B} x \mathbb{1}_{f^{-1}(\{x\})}(\omega)$$

with  $B$  a finite subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Note that  $\{x\}$  is measurable wrt.  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ , this ensure that  $f^{-1}(\{x\}) \in \mathcal{F}$  since  $f$  is measurable.

Usually in proofs one consider a class of functions  $\mathcal{H}$  which satisfy all the above properties and then this shows that it actually consists of all the measurable functions. This allows to prove a statement about all measurable functions by proving:

1. first that it holds for indicator functions of measurable sets (is enough a generating subset),
2. you prove it for linear combinations,
3. and then you prove that it is stable under monotone pointwise limits.

Indeed one applies the above theorem using as  $\mathcal{H}$  the set of all measurable functions satisfying the statement we are interested in.

*Still, how we construct probability measures?*

The main tool here is the Carathéodory extension theorem (stated for positive measures):

**Theorem. (Carathéodory extension theorem)** *Let  $\Omega$  be a set,  $\mathcal{U}$  an algebra of subsets of  $\Omega$  and  $\mu_0: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{+\infty\}$  a positive  $\sigma$ -additive set-function on  $\mathcal{U}$ . Then there exists a measure  $\mu: \sigma(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \cup \{+\infty\}$  such that*

$$\mu|_{\mathcal{U}} = \mu_0.$$

*If  $\mu_0$  is  $\sigma$ -finite then  $\mu$  is unique.*

$\mathcal{U}$  being an algebra only finite unions are allowed, so  $\sigma$ -additivity for a set-function  $\mu_0$  is understood in the sense that for any disjoint family  $(A_k)_k \subseteq \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\cup_k A_k \in \mathcal{U}$  then  $\mu_0(\cup_k A_k) = \sum_k \mu_0(A_k)$ , i.e. the requirement is only imposed when the uncountable union is in  $\mathcal{U}$ , otherwise we do not impose any requirements.

A measure is  $\sigma$ -finite if it exist a countable measurable cover  $(A_k)_k$  of  $\Omega$  such that  $\mu(A_k) < \infty$  for any  $k$ .

Example: Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is not finite but  $\sigma$ -finite.

Usually in probability theory we just work with probability measures so the uniqueness part of Carathéodory extension theorem is for free.

---