A Stochastic Control Perspective on Euclidean QFTs

Sarah-Jean Meyer l**4¨** September 2022

Supervised by Massimiliano Gubinelli & Christian Brennecke University of Bonn

 \triangleright QFTs Result from combining quantum mechanical models with special relativity \triangleright natural space: Minkowski space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with

$$
||(t, x, y, z)||^2 = -t^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2.
$$

- \triangleright Wightman Axioms: minimal requirements for a QFT \rightarrow Difficult to find concrete models satisfying these axioms.
- \triangleright Wick-rotation: Replace $t \to it$ so that the Minkowski space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} becomes a Euclidean space R*^d* .
- \triangleright Osterwalder-Schrader Axioms: precise conditions to swap between Minkowski and Euclidean space.

Upshot: Euclidean QFTs can (in some cases) be understood as probability measure on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

 \rhd Probability measures on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying additional axioms

(i) Regularity (ii) Euclidean Invariance (iii) Reflection Positivity

- \triangleright Simplest example of a EQFT: *(massive) Gaussian free field* (GFF) with covariance $(m^2 - \Delta)^{-1}$
- \triangleright Formally,

$$
``\mu(\mathcal{O})=Z_{\mu}^{-1}\int_{\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)}e^{-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(m^2|\varphi(x)|^2+|\nabla\varphi(x)|^2)}\mathcal{O}(\varphi)d\varphi"
$$

 \triangleright for $d > 2$: not a function. more precisely the GFF is a distribution of regularity $\frac{2-d}{d} - \delta$ for any $\delta > 0$ small, (in the sense of Besov-Hölder regularity)

Yields a free QFT but can be used as a starting point and reference measure for interacting theories.

- \rhd Probability measures on $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying additional axioms
	- (i) Regularity (ii) Euclidean Invariance (iii) Reflection Positivity
- \triangleright Aim: Construct interacting models by Gibbsian perturbations of the free theory:

$$
``\nu(\mathcal{O})=Z_{\nu}^{-1}\int e^{-\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}V(\varphi(x))dx}\mathcal{O}(\varphi)\mu(d\varphi)"\,,
$$

for a non-linear function $V : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and μ the GFF.

This representation is ill-defined:

IR-Problem *(large scale behaviour)*. No decay in space $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(\varphi(x))dx$ does not make sense.

UV-Problem *(small scale behaviour).* $\varphi \sim \mu$ is only a distribution for $d \ge 2$ $\triangleright V(\varphi(x))$ cannot be defined in a pointwise manner.

 \triangleright To deal with the IR-Problem: **spacial cut-off** $\xi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

replace
$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(\varphi(x))dx
$$
 by $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \xi(x) V(\varphi(x))dx$.

 \geq To deal with the UV-Problem: small scale cut-off $T > 0$. Choose μ^T with support on genuine function spaces with

$$
\mu^{\mathcal{T}} \to \mu. \quad (\mathcal{T} \to \infty)
$$

If *V* is independent of *T*: there are divergent contributions and $V(\varphi^T)$ with $\varphi^T \sim \mu^T$ becomes trivial in the limit. **Renormalisation** for $\varphi^T \sim \mu^T$

replace
$$
V(\varphi^T)
$$
 by $V_T(\varphi^T)$,

to compensate the divergent contributions.

 \rightarrow New objects of interest

$$
\nu^{\xi,\mathcal{T}}(d\varphi)=(Z_{\nu}^{\xi,\mathcal{T}})^{-1}\exp\left(-\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\xi(x)V_{\mathcal{T}}(\varphi(x))dx\right)\mu^{\mathcal{T}}(d\varphi),
$$

and their limit as
$$
T \to \infty
$$
 and $\xi \to 1$.
\n \triangleright Possible choices for V_T :
\n $\triangleright d = 2, 3$:
\n
$$
V_T(\varphi) = \varphi^4 - \alpha_T \varphi^2
$$

 \triangleright *d* = 2:

$$
V_T(\varphi) = \varphi^{2p} + \sum_{\ell}^{2p-1} a_{\ell, T} \varphi^{\ell}, \text{ for any } p > 0
$$

$$
V_T(\varphi) = \alpha_T \cos(\beta \varphi)
$$

$$
V_T(\varphi) = \alpha_T \exp(\beta \varphi)
$$

and linear combinations (e.g. cosh).

Goal: Construct the sine-Gordon EQFT

$$
\begin{split} \n^{\mu}\nu_{\text{SG}}(d\varphi) &= Z_{\nu_{\text{SG}}}^{-1} \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \lambda \cos(\beta \varphi(x)) dx\right) \mu(d\varphi) \\ \n&= \widetilde{Z}_{\nu_{\text{SG}}}^{-1} \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} d x \lambda \cos(\beta \varphi(x)) + m^2 |\varphi(x)|^2 + |\nabla \varphi(x)|^2\right) d\varphi^{\nu}, \n\end{split}
$$

on ${\mathbb S}'({\mathbb R}^2)$ for $\beta^2 < 4\pi$ as a random shift of the massive GFF.

Outline:

- \triangleright The Boué-Dupuis Formula
- \triangleright Decompose the Free Field
- \triangleright Introduce the Stochastic Control Problem
- \triangleright Stochastic Maximum Principle/ EL-equation
- \triangleright BSDEs and a priori estimates
- \triangleright Variational description on \mathbb{R}^2

Translates the Gibbs variational problem to a stochastic control problem:

Theorem

For a bounded functional *F* and a *Q*-Brownian motion *W*,

$$
-\log \mathbf{E}[e^{-F(W)}] = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{H}^0} \mathbf{E}\left[F(I(u) + W) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty ||u_s||^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} ds\right],
$$

where \mathbb{H}^0 is the space of adapted processes, and

$$
I_t(u):=\int_0^t Q_s u_s ds.
$$

Extensions to more general functionals are available.

M. Bou´e and P. Dupuis. "A variational representation for certain functionals of Brownian motion". In: *Ann. Prob.* 26.4 (1998)

Ali Süleyman Üstünel. "Variational calculation of Laplace transforms via entropy on Wiener ...". In: *J. Funct. Anal.* 267.8 (2014)

Choose $\{Q_t\}_{t>0}$ to be positive Hilbert-Schmidt operators s.t.

$$
\int_0^\infty Q_s^2 ds = (m^2 - \Delta)^{-1}.
$$

Define for a cylindrical Brownian motion *B* on *L*2(R2),

$$
W_t := \int_0^t Q_s dB_s, \qquad W_{\infty} \sim \mu.
$$

With the BD formula and $\mu^T := \text{Law}(W_T)$,

$$
-\log \int e^{-F(\varphi)} \mu^{T}(d\varphi) = -\log \mathbf{E}[e^{-F(W_{T})}]
$$

=
$$
\inf_{u \in \mathbb{H}^{0}} \mathbf{E}\left[F(I_{T}(u) + W_{T}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} ||u_{s}||_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})}^{2} ds\right].
$$

 \triangleright Use this formula for the Laplace transform of $\nu^{\xi,T}$.

Variational Formula for the Laplace Transform

$$
\nu^{\xi, T}(d\varphi) = Z_{\nu^{\xi}, T}^{-1} \exp\left(-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi(x) V_T(\varphi(x)) dx\right) \mu^T(d\varphi),
$$

$$
Z_{\nu^{\xi}, T} = \int \exp(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi(x) V_T(\varphi(x)) dx) \mu^T(d\varphi).
$$

Applying the BD formula with the (bounded) functional

$$
V^{\mathsf{g},\xi}_T(\varphi) := \mathsf{g}(\varphi) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi(x) V_T(\varphi(x)) dx
$$

the Laplace transform has the variational representation

$$
-\log \int e^{-g(\varphi)} \nu^{\xi,\mathcal{T}}(d\varphi) = -\log \left(Z_{\nu^{\xi,\mathcal{T}}}^{-1} \int e^{-V_T^{g,\xi}(\varphi)} \mu^{\mathcal{T}}(d\varphi) \right)
$$

$$
= \inf_{u \in \mathbb{H}^0} J_T^{g,\xi}(u) - \inf_{u \in \mathbb{H}^0} J_T^{0,\xi}(u).
$$

where $T \in [0, \infty)$,

$$
J_T^{\mathcal{B},\xi}(u) = \mathbf{E}\left[V_T^{\mathcal{B},\xi}(X_T(u)) + \int_0^T \|u_t\|_{L^2}^2 dt\right],
$$

and

$$
X_T(u) = W_T + \int_0^T Q_s u_s ds, \qquad W_{\infty} \sim \mu.
$$

N. Barashkov and M. Gubinelli. "A variational method for Φ_3^{4n} . In: *Duke Math. Jour.* 169.17 (2020)

N. Barashkov and M. Gubinelli. "On the variational method for Euclidean ...". arXiv:2112.05562. (2021)

N. Barashkov. "A stochastic control approach to Sine Gordon EQFT". arXiv:2203.06626. (2022)

Stochastic Control Problem

Control

$$
X_t(u)=\int_0^t Q_s u_s ds+W_t,
$$

subject to

$$
\mathcal{V}_{T}^{\mathcal{B},\xi}=\inf_{u\in\mathbb{H}^{0}}Y_{0,T}^{\mathcal{B},\xi}:=\inf_{u\in\mathbb{H}^{0}}\mathbf{E}\left[V_{T}^{\mathcal{B},\xi}(X_{T}(u))+\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{T}\|u_{s}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}ds\right].
$$

Fact: The infimum is a minimum.

 \triangleright Introduce a variation $u_{\varepsilon} = u + \varepsilon \delta u$ and look for stationary controls.

(i.e. compute $\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\big|_{\varepsilon=0}$) $\nabla_{\varepsilon}X_t(u) = \int_{0}^{t} Q_s \delta u_s ds,$ 0 $\nabla_{\varepsilon} Y_{0,\mathcal{T}}^{g,\xi}(u) = \mathsf{E} \int_{0}^{\mathcal{T}}$ $\int_0^{\mathcal{T}} \nabla V^{\mathsf{g},\xi}_T(X_T(u))\nabla_{\varepsilon}X_t(u) + \int_0^{\mathcal{T}} u_s \delta u_s ds$ $=$ **E** $\int_{}^{7}$ $\int_0^T \mathbf{E} \left[\nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_s(u)) Q_s + u_s \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right]$ \int $\delta u_s ds$. $\implies u_s^* = -Q_s \mathbf{E}[\nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_T(u^*))|\mathcal{F}_s].$

Control

$$
X_t(u)=\int_0^t Q_s u_s ds+W_t,
$$

subject to

$$
\mathcal{V}_T^{\mathcal{B},\xi} = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{H}^0} Y_{0,T}^{\mathcal{B},\xi} := \inf_{u \in \mathbb{H}^0} \mathbf{E} \left[V_T^{\mathcal{B},\xi}(X_T(u)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T ||u_s||_{L^2}^2 ds \right].
$$

Fact: The infimum is a minimum and the optimal control satisfies

$$
u_s^* = -Q_s \mathbf{E}[\nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_T(u^*))|\mathcal{F}_s].
$$

 \triangleright The optimal dynamics are

$$
X^{\mathcal{g},\xi}_{t,\mathcal{T}} = -\int_0^t Q_s^2 \mathbf{E} \left[\nabla V^{\mathcal{g},\xi}_{\mathcal{T}}(X^{\mathcal{g},\xi}_{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{T}})|\mathcal{F}_s \right] ds + W_t.
$$

 \triangleright SDE depending on the *distribution* of *X* with

$$
Law(X_{T,T}^{0,\xi}) = \nu_{\rm SG}^{\xi,T}.
$$

We derived the optimal dynamics

$$
\begin{cases} X^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_{t,T} = - \int_0^t Q^2_s \nabla Y^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_{s,T} ds + W_t, \\ \nabla Y^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_{t,T} = \mathbf{E} [\nabla V^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_T(X^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_{T,T}) | \mathcal{F}_t]. \end{cases}
$$

Problem: Conditional expectation is inconvenient.

Solution: Martingale Representation theorem: There is a square-integrable and adapted process ∇Z such that

$$
\mathsf{E}[\nabla V_T^{\mathcal{B},\xi}(X_{T,T}^{\mathcal{B},\xi})|\mathcal{F}_t] = \mathsf{E}[\nabla V_T^{\mathcal{B},\xi}(X_{T,T}^{\mathcal{B},\xi})] + \int_0^t \nabla Z_{s,T} dB_s.
$$

Rearranging yields

$$
\mathbf{E}[\nabla V^{\mathrm{g},\xi}_T(X^{\mathrm{g},\xi}_{T,T})|\mathcal{F}_t] = \nabla V^{\mathrm{g},\xi}_T(X^{\mathrm{g},\xi}_{T,T}) - \int_t^T \nabla Z^{\mathrm{g},\xi}_{s,T} dB_s.
$$

In differential notation, we could write

$$
d(\nabla Y_{t,T}^{g,\xi}) = \nabla Z_{t,T}^{g,\xi}, \quad Y_{T,T}^{g,\xi} = \nabla V_T(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}).
$$

- \triangleright "correct" formulation for adapted solutions to SDEs with a terminal condition.
- \triangleright given a *terminal condition* ξ and a *generator* f a solution is a (square-integrable) *pair* (*Y , Z*)

$$
\begin{cases}\n-dY_t = f(t, Y_t, Z_t)dt - Z_t dB_t, \ t \in [0, T], \\
Y_T = \xi.\n\end{cases}
$$

or equivalently

$$
Y_t=\xi+\int_t^T f(s,Y_s,Z_s)ds-\int_t^T Z_s dB_s, t\in[0,T].
$$

- \triangleright Stochastic analysis for conditional expectations relying on the martingale representation theorem.
- \triangleright For us: a priori estimates via Itô calculus

e.g. Surveys N. El Karoui, S. Peng, and M.C. Quenez. "Backward stochastic differential equations...". In: *Math Financ* 7.1 (1997) or

E. Pardoux. "Backward Stochastic Differential Equations and Viscosity...". In: *Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics VI*. (1998)

Given

$$
Y_t=\xi+\int_t^Tf(s,Y_s,Z_s)ds-\int_t^TZ_sdB_s,\ t\in[0,T].
$$

apply Itô's formula for $|\cdot|^2$,

$$
|Y_t|^2 + \int_t^T \|Z_s\|^2 ds = |\xi|^2 + \int_t^T \underbrace{2\langle Y_s, f(s, Y_s, Z_s) \rangle}_{\text{estimate in terms of } |Y|, \|Z\|} ds + \underbrace{\int_t^T 2\langle Y_s, Z_s dB_s \rangle}_{\text{martingale}}.
$$

 \triangleright e.g. uniform Lipschitz assumptions on *f* in *y*, *z*.

 \triangleright combined with BDG inequality (provided all terms are finite) this yields estimates of the form

$$
\mathsf{E}\left[\sup_t|Y_t|+\int_0^T\|Z_t\|^2dt\right]\leq C\,\mathsf{E}\,|\xi|^2+C\,\mathsf{E}\int_0^T\|f(s,0,0)\|^2ds.
$$

Rewrite the optimal dynamics in this way

$$
\begin{cases} X^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_{t,T} = - \int_0^t Q^2_s \nabla Y^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_{s,T} ds + W_t, \\ \nabla Y^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_{t,T} = \nabla V^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_{T} (X^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_{T,T}) - \int_t^T \nabla Z^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_{s} dB_s (= \mathbb{E}[\nabla V^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_{T}(X^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_{T,T}) | \mathcal{F}_t]). \end{cases}
$$

Advantage: Can be studied using stochastic analysis.

Goal: Pass to limit $\xi \rightarrow 1$, $T \rightarrow \infty$.

 \triangleright need uniform a priori bounds on the equation above.

Key points for the estimates:

(i) the system depends only on $\nabla V^{\mathcal{B},\xi}_{\mathcal{T}}$; and does not involve an integral over \mathbb{R}^2

$$
V_T^{0,\xi}(\varphi) = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi(x) \alpha_T \cos(\beta \varphi(x)) dx, \quad \nabla V_T^{0,\xi}(\varphi)(x) = -\lambda \beta \alpha_T \xi(x) \sin(\beta \varphi(x)).
$$

(ii) $\alpha_{\mathcal{T}}$ cos($W_{\mathcal{T}}$) is a martingale.

How to obtain bounds uniform in *T*?

Fact: The renormalised potential has a martingale property, that is

 $\mathbb{E}[\nabla V_T(W_T)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \nabla V_t(W_t)$.

 \triangleright As $X^{\mathcal{g}, \xi}_{t, \mathcal{T}} = W_t + I^{\mathcal{g}, \xi}_{t, \mathcal{T}}$ we make the Ansatz

$$
\mathbf{E}[\nabla V_T(X_{T,T})|\mathcal{F}_t] = \nabla V_t(X_{t,T}) + R_{t,T},
$$

and try to bound $R_{t,T}$.

 \triangleright Use this in $\nabla Y_{t,\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{g},\xi} = \mathsf{E}[\nabla V_{\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{g},\xi}(X_{\mathcal{T},\mathcal{T}}^{\mathcal{g},\xi})|\mathcal{F}_t],$

$$
R_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = \nabla g(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) + \underbrace{\nabla V_T^{\xi}(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) - \nabla V_t^{\xi}(X_{t,T}^{g,\xi})}_{\text{rewrite with Itô's formula}} - \int_t^T Z_{s,T}^{g,\xi} dB_s.
$$

$$
R_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = \nabla g(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) - \int_t^T \lambda \beta \alpha_s \nabla \sin(\beta X_{s,T}^{g,\xi}) dX_{s,T}^{g,\xi} - \int_t^T Z_{s,T}^{g,\xi} dB_s,
$$

$$
R_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = \nabla g(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) + \int_t^T h^{\xi}(s,X_{s,T}^{g,\xi},R_{s,T}^{g,\xi})ds - \int_t^T \widetilde{Z}_{s,T}^{g,\xi}dB_s,
$$

with

$$
h^{\xi}(s,x,r) = \underbrace{\beta^2 \lambda \xi \alpha_s \cos(\beta x) Q_s^2 \nabla V_s^{\xi,g}(x)}_{=: \phi_s} + \underbrace{\beta^2 \lambda \xi \alpha_s \cos(\beta x) Q_s^2}_{=: \gamma_s} r.
$$

 \triangleright Variation of constants type argument for the BSDE yields

$$
R_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = \mathbf{E}\left[\Gamma_{t,T}\nabla g(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) + \int_t^T \Gamma_{t,s}\phi_s ds \big| \mathcal{F}_t\right], \quad \Gamma_s^t = e^{\int_t^s \gamma_s ds}.
$$

 \triangleright Uniform estimates for $\beta^2 \in [0, 4\pi)$

$$
\|R_{t,\mathcal{T}}^{g,\xi}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|R_{t,\mathcal{T}}^{g,\xi}\|_{L^2(\langle x\rangle^{-\ell})} \leq C_{\ell,\nabla g} + C\lambda^2\langle t\rangle^{-2\delta} \leq C,
$$

 \triangleright Consider the BSDEs for the differences

$$
\delta_{\mathcal{T}} R_t := R_{t, T_1}^{g, \xi} - R_{t, T_2}^{g, \xi}, \text{ and similarly } \delta_{\xi} R_t.
$$

and apply the standard a priori estimates for (F)BSDEs. \triangleright for "nice" functionals g , this yields

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_t\|\delta_T R_t\|_{L^2(\langle x\rangle^{-\ell})}^2\right]\leq C\langle T\rangle^{-4\delta}.
$$

 \triangleright proceed analogously for the dependence ξ :

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_t\lVert\delta_\xi R_t\rVert^2_{L^2(\langle x\rangle^{-\ell})}\right]\leq C\lVert\xi_1-\xi_2\rVert^2_{L^2(\langle x\rangle^{-\ell})}.
$$

$$
\triangleright \text{ Convergence to some } \overline{R}^{g,\xi} \text{ as } T \to \infty \text{ and } \overline{R}^{g,\xi} \to \overline{R}^g \text{ as } \xi \to 1.
$$

 \triangleright Convergence transfers to *X* and *u* (by a simple Gronwall argument).

Notation for the optimal control $u_{s,\overline{I}}^{g,\xi} = -Q_s \nabla Y_{s,\overline{I}}^{g,\xi}$.

Proposition

(*T*) There is a $\overline{u}^{g,\xi}$ such that

$$
\lim_{T\to\infty} \mathbf{E}\int_0^T \|u_{s,T}^{g,\xi}-\overline{u}_s^{\xi}\|^2_{L^2(\langle x\rangle^{-\ell})}ds=0.
$$

Moreover, $\overline{u}^{g,\xi}$ is optimal for the control problem at $T = \infty$. (ξ) Similarly, there is a \overline{u} ^g such that

$$
\lim_{\xi\to 1} \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \|\overline{u}_s^g\|^{\xi}_s - \overline{u}_s^g\|^2_{L^2(\langle x\rangle^{-\ell})} ds = 0.
$$

 \vartriangleright The limit $(\overline{X}^{0,\xi},\overline{u}^{0,\xi})$ **is optimal** for the control problem, and

$$
Law(\overline{X}_{\infty}^{0,\xi}) = Law(W_{\infty} + \mathcal{I}_{\infty}^{\xi}) = \nu_{SG}^{\xi},
$$

where $\mathcal{I}^{\xi}_{\infty} \in L^{\infty}(P; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ and $\xi \in C^{\infty}_c(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

 $\phi \in S$ ince $(\overline{X}^{0,\xi},\overline{u}^{0,\xi})$ converges as $\xi \to 1$ (to a *unique* limit for $\lambda > 0$ small),

$$
\nu_{\rm SG}^{\xi} = \text{Law}(W_{\infty} + \mathcal{I}_{\infty}^{\xi}) \to \text{Law}(W_{\infty} + \mathcal{I}_{\infty}) =: \nu_{\rm SG}
$$

weakly on $H^{-\delta}(\langle x\rangle^{-\ell}).$ \triangleright Again $\mathcal{I}_{\infty} \in L^{\infty}(P; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)).$ \triangleright Goal: Construct EQFTs from

$$
\nu^{\xi,\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{O})=Z_{\nu}^{-1}\int_{\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)}\mathcal{O}(\varphi)e^{-\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\xi V_T(\varphi)}\mu^{\mathcal{T}}(d\varphi)\text{ as }\xi\to 1,\ \mathcal{T}\to\infty.
$$

- \triangleright Symmetries of the physical system: large- and small-scale problems.
- \triangleright Boué-Dupuis formula \rightarrow Stochastic control problem with optimal dynamics

$$
X_{t,T}^{\mathcal{B},\xi}=-\int_0^t Q_s^2 \mathbf{E}\left[\nabla V_T^{\mathcal{B},\xi}(X_{T,T}^{\mathcal{B},\xi})|\mathcal{F}_s\right]ds+W_t.
$$

- \triangleright For the sine-Gordon model, simple a priori estimates can be used to remove the cut-offs via stochastic calculus/BSDEs
- \triangleright Variational description for the infinite volume EQFT the Laplace transform & characterisation as a shift of the free field

$$
\nu_{\rm SG}=\text{Law}(W_{\infty}+\mathcal{I}_{\infty})=\text{Law}(X^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty}).
$$

Dependence on *g*

Is the limit $(\overline{X}, \overline{u})$ still optimal for $T = \infty, \xi = 1$? \triangleright Problem: The cost functional for $\xi \equiv 1$ is ill-defined

$$
J^{0,\xi}(u)=\mathsf{E}\left[\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\xi(x)V_{\infty}(X_{\infty}(u))(x)dx+\frac{1}{2}\int_0^{\infty}\|u_s\|_{L^2}^2ds\right].
$$

 D Dependence of *R* on *g* is local in the sense that for any *n*

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]}\|\mathsf{R}^{g,\xi}_{t,\mathcal{T}}-\mathsf{R}^{0,\xi}_{t,\mathcal{T}}\|^2_{L^2(\langle x\rangle^\eta)}\right]\leq C_{\nabla g,n}.
$$

 \triangleright transfers again to the optimal control $u^{g,\xi}$

$$
\mathsf{E} \int_0^\infty \|u_{t,T}^{g,\xi}-u_{t,T}^{0,\xi}\|_{L^2(\langle x\rangle^\eta)}^2 \leq C_{\nabla g,n}.
$$

 \triangleright Try to pass to

$$
\lim_{\xi \to 1} \inf_{u} J^{\mathcal{B},\xi}(u) - \inf_{u} J^{0,\xi}(u) = \lim_{\xi \to 1} \inf_{u} J^{\mathcal{B},\xi}(u) - J^{0,\xi}(\overline{u}^{\xi}).
$$

which provides a variational problem for

$$
\mathcal{W}(g) := \int e^{-g(\varphi)} \nu_{\mathsf{SG}}(d\varphi).
$$

Theorem

For *n* sufficiently large, $\lambda > 0$ small enough,

$$
\mathcal{W}(g) = \lim_{\xi \to 1} \lim_{T \to \infty} \mathcal{W}^{\xi, T}(g) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{A}(g)} \overline{J}^g(v),
$$

with the cost functional

$$
\overline{J}^{\rm g}(v) = \mathsf{E}\left[{\rm g}(X_{\infty}(\overline{u}+v)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \left(V_{\infty}(X_{\infty}(\overline{u}+v)) - V_{\infty}(X_{\infty}(\overline{u}))) + \mathcal{E}(\overline{u},v)\right].
$$

Here,

$$
X_{\infty}(u)=I_{\infty}(u)+W_{\infty}
$$

is the shifted free field.

- \triangleright \overline{u} is an adapted stochastic process which does not depend on g, v
- \triangleright *I*_{∞} is a linear functional, which increases regularity by 1 and does not depend on *g*.
- \triangleright *E* is a quadratic functional, also independent of *g*, and
- \triangleright $\mathcal{A}(g)$ contains the adapted controls v such that $\mathsf{E} \int_0^\infty \lVert v_s \rVert_{L^2(\langle x \rangle^\eta)}^2 ds \leq C_{\nabla g,n}.$

Theorem

For any $p \geq 1$, the Wick-ordered cosine satisfies

$$
\sup_{t\geq 0} \mathbf{E}\left[\|\llbracket \cos \beta W_t \rrbracket\|^p_{\substack{\beta- \beta^2\\ B_{\rho,\beta}+ \pi} - 2\delta} \frac{1}{(\langle x \rangle - \ell)}\right] < \infty,
$$

and converges in $L^p(P,B_{p,\rho}^{-\frac{\beta^2}{\beta^2}-2\delta}(\langle x\rangle^{-\ell}))$ and almost surely to a limit which we denote by $\lceil cos(\beta W_{\infty})\rceil$. The analogous statement holds also for the Wick-ordered sine.

J. Junnila, E. Saksman, and C. Webb. "Imaginary multiplicative chaos: Moments, regularity, and...". In: *Ann. App. Prob.* 30.5 (2020)