A Stochastic Control Perspective on Euclidean QFTs

Sarah-Jean Meyer

Supervised by Massimiliano Gubinelli & Christian Brennecke University of Bonn \triangleright QFTs Result from combining quantum mechanical models with special relativity \triangleright natural space: Minkowski space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} with

$$||(t, x, y, z)||^2 = -t^2 + x^2 + y^2 + z^2.$$

- \rhd Wightman Axioms: minimal requirements for a QFT \rightarrow Difficult to find concrete models satisfying these axioms.
- ightarrow Wick-rotation: Replace $t \to it$ so that the Minkowski space \mathbb{R}^{n+1} becomes a Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^d .
- Osterwalder-Schrader Axioms: precise conditions to swap between Minkowski and Euclidean space.

Upshot: Euclidean QFTs can (in some cases) be understood as probability measure on $S'(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

 \triangleright Probability measures on $\mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying additional axioms

(i) Regularity (ii) Euclidean Invariance (iii) Reflection Positivity

- ▷ Simplest example of a EQFT: (massive) Gaussian free field (GFF) with covariance $(m^2 - \Delta)^{-1}$
- ▷ Formally,

$$``\mu(\mathcal{O}) = Z_{\mu}^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)} e^{-\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (m^2 |\varphi(x)|^2 + |\nabla\varphi(x)|^2)} \mathcal{O}(\varphi) d\varphi'$$

▷ for $d \ge 2$: not a function. more precisely the GFF is a distribution of regularity $\frac{2-d}{d} - \delta$ for any $\delta > 0$ small, (in the sense of Besov-Hölder regularity)

Yields a **free** QFT but can be used as a starting point and reference measure for **interacting** theories.

 \triangleright Probability measures on $\mathbb{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ satisfying additional axioms

(i) Regularity (ii) Euclidean Invariance (iii) Reflection Positivity

▷ Aim: Construct interacting models by Gibbsian perturbations of the free theory:

$$"\nu(\mathcal{O}) = Z_{\nu}^{-1} \int e^{-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(\varphi(x)) dx} \mathcal{O}(\varphi) \mu(d\varphi)",$$

for a non-linear function $V : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ and μ the GFF.

This representation is ill-defined:

IR-Problem (large scale behaviour). No decay in space $\rhd \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(\varphi(x)) dx$ does not make sense.

UV-Problem (small scale behaviour). $\varphi \sim \mu$ is only a distribution for $d \geq 2$ $\triangleright V(\varphi(x))$ cannot be defined in a pointwise manner. \triangleright To deal with the IR-Problem: spacial cut-off $\xi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

replace
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} V(\varphi(x)) dx$$
 by $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \xi(x) V(\varphi(x)) dx$.

 \triangleright To deal with the UV-Problem: small scale cut-off T > 0. Choose μ^T with support on genuine function spaces with

$$\mu^T \to \mu$$
. $(T \to \infty)$

If V is independent of T: there are divergent contributions and $V(\varphi^T)$ with $\varphi^T \sim \mu^T$ becomes trivial in the limit. **Renormalisation** for $\varphi^T \sim \mu^T$

replace
$$V(\varphi^T)$$
 by $V_T(\varphi^T)$,

to compensate the divergent contributions.

 \rightarrow New objects of interest

$$\nu^{\xi,T}(d\varphi) = (Z_{\nu}^{\xi,T})^{-1} \exp\left(-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \xi(x) V_T(\varphi(x)) dx\right) \mu^T(d\varphi),$$

and their limit as $T \to \infty$ and $\xi \to 1$. \triangleright Possible choices for V_T : $\triangleright d = 2, 3$:

$$V_T(\varphi) = \varphi^4 - \alpha_T \varphi^2$$

 \triangleright d = 2:

$$V_{T}(\varphi) = \varphi^{2p} + \sum_{\ell}^{2p-1} a_{\ell,T} \varphi^{\ell}, \text{ for any } p > 0$$
$$V_{T}(\varphi) = \alpha_{T} \cos(\beta\varphi)$$
$$V_{T}(\varphi) = \alpha_{T} \exp(\beta\varphi)$$

and linear combinations (e.g. cosh).

Goal: Construct the sine-Gordon EQFT

$$\begin{split} \text{``}\nu_{\mathrm{SG}}(d\varphi) &= Z_{\nu_{\mathrm{SG}}}^{-1} \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \lambda \cos(\beta\varphi(x))dx\right) \mu(d\varphi) \\ &= \widetilde{Z}_{\nu_{\mathrm{SG}}}^{-1} \exp\left(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} dx \lambda \cos(\beta\varphi(x)) + m^2 |\varphi(x)|^2 + |\nabla\varphi(x)|^2\right) d\varphi'', \end{split}$$

on $S'(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for $\beta^2 < 4\pi$ as a random shift of the massive GFF.

Outline:

- ▷ The Boué-Dupuis Formula
- \triangleright Decompose the Free Field
- Introduce the Stochastic Control Problem
- ▷ Stochastic Maximum Principle/ EL-equation
- ▷ BSDEs and a priori estimates
- $\,\vartriangleright\,$ Variational description on \mathbb{R}^2

Translates the Gibbs variational problem to a stochastic control problem:

Theorem

For a bounded functional F and a Q-Brownian motion W,

$$-\log \mathsf{E}[e^{-F(W)}] = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{H}^0} \mathsf{E}\left[F(I(u) + W) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty ||u_s||_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 ds\right],$$

where \mathbb{H}^0 is the space of adapted processes, and

$$I_t(u) := \int_0^t Q_s u_s ds.$$

Extensions to more general functionals are available.

M. Boué and P. Dupuis. "A variational representation for certain functionals of Brownian motion". In: Ann. Prob. 26.4 (1998) Ali Süleyman Üstünel. "Variational calculation of Laplace transforms via entropy on Wiener ...". In: J. Funct. Anal. 267.8 (2014)

Choose $\{Q_t\}_{t>0}$ to be positive Hilbert-Schmidt operators s.t.

$$\int_0^\infty Q_s^2 ds = (m^2 - \Delta)^{-1}.$$

Define for a cylindrical Brownian motion B on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$W_t := \int_0^t Q_s dB_s, \qquad W_\infty \sim \mu.$$

With the BD formula and $\mu^T := Law(W_T)$,

$$-\log \int e^{-F(\varphi)} \mu^{T}(d\varphi) = -\log \mathbf{E}[e^{-F(W_{T})}]$$
$$= \inf_{u \in \mathbb{H}^{0}} \mathbf{E} \left[F(I_{T}(u) + W_{T}) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} ||u_{s}||^{2}_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} ds \right].$$

 \triangleright Use this formula for the Laplace transform of $\nu^{\xi,T}$.

Variational Formula for the Laplace Transform

$$\begin{split} Z_{\nu^{\xi,T}}(d\varphi) &= Z_{\nu^{\xi,T}}^{-1} \exp\left(-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi(x) V_T(\varphi(x)) dx\right) \mu^T(d\varphi), \\ Z_{\nu^{\xi,T}} &= \int \exp(-\int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi(x) V_T(\varphi(x)) dx) \mu^T(d\varphi). \end{split}$$

Applying the BD formula with the (bounded) functional

$$V_T^{g,\xi}(\varphi) := g(\varphi) + \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi(x) V_T(\varphi(x)) dx$$

the Laplace transform has the variational representation

$$\begin{split} -\log\int e^{-g(\varphi)}\nu^{\xi,T}(d\varphi) &= -\log\left(Z_{\nu^{\xi,T}}^{-1}\int e^{-V_T^{g,\xi}(\varphi)}\mu^T(d\varphi)\right) \\ &= \inf_{u\in\mathbb{H}^0}J_T^{g,\xi}(u) - \inf_{u\in\mathbb{H}^0}J_T^{0,\xi}(u). \end{split}$$

where $T \in [0,\infty)$,

$$J_T^{g,\xi}(u) = \mathbf{E}\left[V_T^{g,\xi}(X_T(u)) + \int_0^T ||u_t||_{L^2}^2 dt\right],$$

and

$$X_T(u) = W_T + \int_0^T Q_s u_s ds, \qquad W_\infty \sim \mu_s$$

N. Barashkov and M. Gubinelli. "A variational method for Φ_3^4 ". In: Duke Math. Jour. 169.17 (2020)

N. Barashkov and M. Gubinelli. "On the variational method for Euclidean ...". arXiv:2112.05562. (2021)

N. Barashkov. "A stochastic control approach to Sine Gordon EQFT". arXiv:2203.06626. (2022)

Stochastic Control Problem

Control

$$X_t(u) = \int_0^t Q_s u_s ds + W_t,$$

subject to

$$\mathcal{V}_{T}^{g,\xi} = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{H}^{0}} Y_{0,T}^{g,\xi} := \inf_{u \in \mathbb{H}^{0}} \mathsf{E} \left[V_{T}^{g,\xi}(X_{T}(u)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \|u_{s}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} ds \right].$$

Fact: The infimum is a minimum.

 \rhd Introduce a variation $u_{\varepsilon}=u+\varepsilon\delta u$ and look for stationary controls.

(i.e. compute
$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon}\Big|_{\varepsilon=0}$$
)
 $\nabla_{\varepsilon}X_t(u) = \int_0^t Q_s \delta u_s ds,$
 $\nabla_{\varepsilon}Y_{0,T}^{g,\xi}(u) = \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_T(u)) \nabla_{\varepsilon}X_t(u) + \int_0^T u_s \delta u_s ds$
 $= \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \mathbf{E} \Big[\nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_s(u)) Q_s + u_s \Big| \mathcal{F}_s \Big] \delta u_s ds.$
 $\implies u_s^* = -Q_s \mathbf{E} [\nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_T(u^*))] \mathcal{F}_s].$

Stochastic Control Problem

Control

$$X_t(u) = \int_0^t Q_s u_s ds + W_t,$$

subject to

$$\mathcal{V}_{T}^{g,\xi} = \inf_{u \in \mathbb{H}^{0}} Y_{0,T}^{g,\xi} := \inf_{u \in \mathbb{H}^{0}} \mathsf{E}\left[V_{T}^{g,\xi}(X_{T}(u)) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \|u_{s}\|_{L^{2}}^{2} ds \right].$$

Fact: The infimum is a minimum and the optimal control satisfies

$$u_s^* = -Q_s \operatorname{\mathsf{E}}[\nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_T(u^*))|\mathcal{F}_s].$$

> The optimal dynamics are

$$X_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = -\int_0^t Q_s^2 \mathbf{E} \left[\nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] ds + W_t.$$

 \triangleright SDE depending on the *distribution* of *X* with

$$\mathsf{Law}(X^{0,\xi}_{T,T}) = \nu^{\xi,T}_{\mathrm{SG}}$$

We derived the optimal dynamics

$$\begin{cases} X_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = -\int_0^t Q_s^2 \nabla Y_{s,T}^{g,\xi} ds + W_t, \\ \nabla Y_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = \mathbf{E}[\nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi})|\mathcal{F}_t]. \end{cases}$$

Problem: Conditional expectation is inconvenient.

Solution: Martingale Representation theorem:

There is a square-integrable and adapted process ∇Z such that

$$\mathbf{E}[\nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi})|\mathcal{F}_t] = E[\nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi})] + \int_0^t \nabla Z_{s,T} dB_s$$

Rearranging yields

$$\mathbf{E}[\nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi})|\mathcal{F}_t] = \nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) - \int_t^T \nabla Z_{s,T}^{g,\xi} dB_s.$$

In differential notation, we could write

$$d(\nabla Y_{t,T}^{g,\xi}) = \nabla Z_{t,T}^{g,\xi}, \quad Y_{T,T}^{g,\xi} = \nabla V_T(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}).$$

- $\,\vartriangleright\,$ "correct" formulation for adapted solutions to SDEs with a terminal condition.
- \triangleright given a *terminal condition* ξ and a *generator* f a solution is a (square-integrable) pair (Y, Z)

$$\begin{cases} -dY_t = f(t, Y_t, Z_t)dt - Z_t dB_t, \ t \in [0, T], \\ Y_T = \xi. \end{cases}$$

or equivalently

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \ t \in [0, T].$$

- Stochastic analysis for conditional expectations relying on the martingale representation theorem.
- > For us: a priori estimates via Itô calculus

e.g. Surveys N. El Karoui, S. Peng, and M.C. Quenez. "Backward stochastic differential equations...". In: Math Financ 7.1 (1997) or

E. Pardoux. "Backward Stochastic Differential Equations and Viscosity...". In: Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics VI. (1998)

Given

$$Y_t = \xi + \int_t^T f(s, Y_s, Z_s) ds - \int_t^T Z_s dB_s, \ t \in [0, T].$$

apply Itô's formula for $|\cdot|^2,$

$$|Y_t|^2 + \int_t^T ||Z_s||^2 ds = |\xi|^2 + \int_t^T \underbrace{2\langle Y_s, f(s, Y_s, Z_s) \rangle}_{\text{estimate in terms of } |Y|, ||Z||} ds + \underbrace{\int_t^T 2\langle Y_s, Z_s dB_s \rangle}_{\text{martingale}}.$$

 \triangleright e.g. uniform Lipschitz assumptions on f in y, z.

 \rhd combined with BDG inequality (provided all terms are finite) this yields estimates of the form

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\sup_{t}|Y_{t}|+\int_{0}^{T}\|Z_{t}\|^{2}dt\right] \leq C \:\mathsf{E}\,|\xi|^{2}+C \:\mathsf{E}\int_{0}^{T}\|f(s,0,0)\|^{2}ds$$

Rewrite the optimal dynamics in this way

$$\begin{cases} X_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = -\int_0^t Q_s^2 \nabla Y_{s,T}^{g,\xi} ds + W_t, \\ \nabla Y_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = \nabla V_T^{g,\xi} (X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) - \int_t^T \nabla Z_s^{g,\xi} dB_s (= \mathsf{E}[\nabla V_T^{g,\xi} (X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) | \mathcal{F}_t]) \end{cases}$$

Advantage: Can be studied using stochastic analysis.

Goal: Pass to limit $\xi \to 1$, $T \to \infty$.

 \triangleright need uniform a priori bounds on the equation above.

Key points for the estimates:

(i) the system depends only on $\nabla V_T^{g,\xi}$; and does not involve an integral over \mathbb{R}^2

$$V_T^{0,\xi}(\varphi) = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi(x) \alpha_T \cos(\beta \varphi(x)) dx, \quad \nabla V_T^{0,\xi}(\varphi)(x) = -\lambda \beta \alpha_T \xi(x) \sin(\beta \varphi(x)) dx.$$

(ii) $\alpha_T \cos(W_T)$ is a martingale.

How to obtain bounds uniform in T?

Fact: The renormalised potential has a martingale property, that is

 $\mathbf{E}[\nabla V_T(W_T)|\mathcal{F}_t] = \nabla V_t(W_t).$

 \triangleright As $X_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = W_t + I_{t,T}^{g,\xi}$ we make the Ansatz

$$\mathbf{E}[\nabla V_T(X_{T,T})|\mathcal{F}_t] = \nabla V_t(X_{t,T}) + R_{t,T}$$

and try to bound $R_{t,T}$.

 $\triangleright \mathsf{Use this in } \nabla Y^{g,\xi}_{t,T} = \mathbf{E}[\nabla V^{g,\xi}_T(X^{g,\xi}_{T,T}) | \mathcal{F}_t],$

$$R_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = \nabla g(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) + \underbrace{\nabla V_T^{\xi}(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) - \nabla V_t^{\xi}(X_{t,T}^{g,\xi})}_{\text{rewrite with Itô's formula}} - \int_t^T Z_{s,T}^{g,\xi} dB_s.$$

$$R_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = \nabla g(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) - \int_t^T \lambda \beta \alpha_s \nabla \sin(\beta X_{s,T}^{g,\xi}) dX_{s,T}^{g,\xi} - \int_t^T Z_{s,T}^{g,\xi} dB_s.$$

$$R_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = \nabla g(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) + \int_t^T h^{\xi}(s, X_{s,T}^{g,\xi}, R_{s,T}^{g,\xi}) ds - \int_t^T \widetilde{Z}_{s,T}^{g,\xi} dB_s,$$

with

$$h^{\xi}(s,x,r) = \underbrace{\beta^{2}\lambda\xi\alpha_{s}\cos(\beta x)Q_{s}^{2}\nabla V_{s}^{\xi,g}(x)}_{=:\phi_{s}} + \underbrace{\beta^{2}\lambda\xi\alpha_{s}\cos(\beta x)Q_{s}^{2}}_{=:\gamma_{s}}r.$$

 \triangleright Variation of constants type argument for the BSDE yields

$$R_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = \mathbf{E}\left[\Gamma_{t,T} \nabla g(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) + \int_{t}^{T} \Gamma_{t,s} \phi_{s} ds \big| \mathcal{F}_{t}\right], \quad \Gamma_{s}^{t} = e^{\int_{t}^{s} \gamma_{s} ds}.$$

 \triangleright Uniform estimates for $\beta^2 \in [0, 4\pi)$

$$\|R_{t,T}^{g,\xi}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{2})} + \|R_{t,T}^{g,\xi}\|_{L^{2}(\langle x\rangle^{-\ell})} \leq C_{\ell,\nabla g} + C\lambda^{2}\langle t\rangle^{-2\delta} \leq C,$$

 \triangleright Consider the BSDEs for the differences

$$\delta_T R_t := R_{t,T_1}^{g,\xi} - R_{t,T_2}^{g,\xi}, \text{ and similarly } \delta_{\xi} R_t.$$

and apply the standard a priori estimates for (F)BSDEs. \triangleright for "nice" functionals g, this yields

$$\mathbf{E}\left[\sup_{t}\|\delta_{T}R_{t}\|_{L^{2}(\langle x\rangle^{-\ell})}^{2}\right] \leq C\langle T\rangle^{-4\delta}.$$

 \triangleright proceed analogously for the dependence ξ :

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\sup_{t}\|\delta_{\xi}R_{t}\|_{L^{2}(\langle x\rangle^{-\ell})}^{2}\right] \leq C\|\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\|_{L^{2}(\langle x\rangle^{-\ell})}^{2}$$

$$\vartriangleright \text{ Convergence to some } \overline{R}^{g,\xi} \text{ as } T \to \infty \text{ and } \overline{R}^{g,\xi} \to \overline{R}^{g} \text{ as } \xi \to 1.$$

 \triangleright Convergence transfers to X and u (by a simple Gronwall argument).

Notation for the optimal control $u_{s,T}^{g,\xi} = -Q_s \nabla Y_{s,T}^{g,\xi}$.

Proposition

(7) There is a $\overline{u}^{g,\xi}$ such that

$$\lim_{T\to\infty} \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \|u_{s,T}^{g,\xi} - \overline{u}_s^{\xi}\|_{L^2(\langle x\rangle^{-\ell})}^2 ds = 0.$$

Moreover, $\overline{u}^{g,\xi}$ is optimal for the control problem at $T = \infty$. (ξ) Similarly, there is a \overline{u}^g such that

$$\lim_{\xi \to 1} \mathbf{E} \int_0^T \|\overline{u}_s^{g,\xi} - \overline{u}_s^g\|_{L^2(\langle x \rangle^{-\ell})}^2 ds = 0.$$

 \triangleright The limit $(\overline{X}^{0,\xi},\overline{u}^{0,\xi})$ is optimal for the control problem, and

$$\mathsf{Law}(\overline{X}^{0,\xi}_{\infty}) = \mathsf{Law}(W_{\infty} + \mathcal{I}^{\xi}_{\infty}) = \nu^{\xi}_{\mathrm{SG}}$$

where $\mathcal{I}^{\xi}_{\infty} \in L^{\infty}(P; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2))$ and $\xi \in C^{\infty}_{c}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

 \triangleright Since $(\overline{X}^{0,\xi}, \overline{u}^{0,\xi})$ converges as $\xi \to 1$ (to a *unique* limit for $\lambda > 0$ small),

$$\nu_{\mathrm{SG}}^{\xi} = \mathsf{Law}(W_{\infty} + \mathcal{I}_{\infty}^{\xi}) \to \mathsf{Law}(W_{\infty} + \mathcal{I}_{\infty}) =: \nu_{\mathrm{SG}}$$

weakly on $H^{-\delta}(\langle x \rangle^{-\ell})$. \triangleright Again $\mathcal{I}_{\infty} \in L^{\infty}(P; W^{1,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2))$. ▷ Goal: Construct EQFTs from

$$\nu^{\xi,T}(\mathcal{O}) = Z_{\nu}^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)} \mathcal{O}(\varphi) e^{-\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \xi V_T(\varphi)} \mu^T(d\varphi) \text{ as } \xi \to 1, \ T \to \infty.$$

- > Symmetries of the physical system: large- and small-scale problems.
- $\,\vartriangleright\,$ Boué-Dupuis formula \rightarrow Stochastic control problem with optimal dynamics

$$X_{t,T}^{g,\xi} = -\int_0^t Q_s^2 \mathbf{E} \left[\nabla V_T^{g,\xi}(X_{T,T}^{g,\xi}) | \mathcal{F}_s \right] ds + W_t$$

- ▷ For the sine-Gordon model, simple a priori estimates can be used to remove the cut-offs via stochastic calculus/BSDEs
- Variational description for the infinite volume EQFT the Laplace transform & characterisation as a shift of the free field

$$u_{\mathrm{SG}} = \mathsf{Law}(W_{\infty} + \mathcal{I}_{\infty}) = \mathsf{Law}(X^{0,1}_{\infty,\infty})$$

Dependence on g

Is the limit $(\overline{X}, \overline{u})$ still optimal for $T = \infty, \xi = 1$? \triangleright **Problem:** The cost functional for $\xi \equiv 1$ is ill-defined

$$J^{0,\xi}(u) = \mathsf{E}\left[\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \xi(x) V_{\infty}(X_{\infty}(u))(x) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{\infty} \|u_s\|_{L^2}^2 ds\right].$$

 \triangleright Dependence of R on g is local in the sense that for any n

$$\mathsf{E}\left[\sup_{t\in[0,T]} \|R_{t,T}^{g,\xi} - R_{t,T}^{0,\xi}\|_{L^2(\langle x\rangle^n)}^2\right] \leq C_{\nabla g,n}.$$

 \triangleright transfers again to the optimal control $u^{g,\xi}$

$$\mathsf{E}\int_0^\infty \|u_{t,T}^{g,\xi}-u_{t,T}^{0,\xi}\|_{L^2(\langle x\rangle^n)}^2 \leq C_{\nabla g,n}.$$

 $\triangleright \mathsf{Try}$ to pass to

$$\lim_{\xi \to 1} \inf_{u} J^{g,\xi}(u) - \inf_{u} J^{0,\xi}(u) = \lim_{\xi \to 1} \inf_{u} J^{g,\xi}(u) - J^{0,\xi}(\overline{u}^{\xi}).$$

which provides a variational problem for

$$\mathcal{W}(g) := \int e^{-g(\varphi)} \nu_{\mathsf{SG}}(d\varphi).$$

Variational Description on the Infinite Volume

Theorem

For *n* sufficiently large, $\lambda > 0$ small enough,

$$\mathcal{W}(g) = \lim_{\xi \to 1} \lim_{T \to \infty} \mathcal{W}^{\xi, T}(g) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{A}(g)} \overline{J}^{g}(v),$$

with the cost functional

$$\overline{J}^{g}(v) = \mathbf{E}\left[g(X_{\infty}(\overline{u}+v)) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} (V_{\infty}(X_{\infty}(\overline{u}+v)) - V_{\infty}(X_{\infty}(\overline{u}))) + \mathcal{E}(\overline{u},v)\right]$$

Here,

$$X_{\infty}(u) = I_{\infty}(u) + W_{\infty}$$

is the shifted free field.

- $\triangleright \overline{u}$ is an adapted stochastic process which does not depend on g, v
- \triangleright I_{∞} is a linear functional, which increases regularity by 1 and does not depend on g.
- $\triangleright \ \mathcal{E}$ is a quadratic functional, also independent of g, and
- $\triangleright \mathcal{A}(g)$ contains the adapted controls v such that $\mathsf{E} \int_0^\infty ||v_s||_{L^2(\langle \chi \rangle^n)}^2 ds \leq C_{\nabla g,n}$.

Theorem

For any $p \ge 1$, the Wick-ordered cosine satisfies

$$\sup_{t\geq 0} \mathsf{E} \left[\| [\cos\beta W_t] \|^p_{B^{-\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi}-2\delta}_{\rho,\rho}(\langle x \rangle^{-\ell})} \right] < \infty,$$

and converges in $L^p(P, B_{p,p}^{-\frac{\beta^2}{4\pi}-2\delta}(\langle x \rangle^{-\ell}))$ and almost surely to a limit which we denote by $[\cos(\beta W_{\infty})]$. The analogous statement holds also for the Wick-ordered sine.

J. Junnila, E. Saksman, and C. Webb. "Imaginary multiplicative chaos: Moments, regularity, and...". In: Ann. App. Prob. 30.5 (2020)