Weak universality and singular SPDEs

Massimiliano Gubinelli – University of Bonn.

Mini-Symposium in Partial Differential Equations – ICMS, Edinburgh, March 14th 2017.

Overview 2/20

• The analysis of scaling limits of stochastic non-linear diffusion problems generates irregular random fields which should be described by *universal* non-linear SPDEs (i.e. independent of specific details of the microscopic model).

- The combination of irregularity and non-linearity is problematic and can generate unexpected phenomena which escape a purely analytical control: the statistical structure of the noise has to be carefully taken into account.
- Hairer's *regularity structures* provide a general tool do to so: they allows to describe the local features of the random fields in term of simpler objects. The effect of non–linear operations is then more easily understood given the improved description.
- In a parallel work, G.–Imkeller–Perkowski exploited tools from harmonic analysis to perform similar kind of analysis (Fourier–space counterpart of the regularity structure businness)
- Paracontrolled distributions are not as general as regularity structures but they provide an alternative approach in many relevant cases: KPZ, $\Phi_{2,3}^4$, Parabolic/Hamiltonian Anderson model in 2d.

Talk based on joint work with: R. Catellier, K. Chouk, P. Imkeller, N. Perkowski, M. Furlan.

• 1d generalised Stochastic Burgers equation (gSBE)

$$\mathcal{L}u(t,x) = G(u(t,x))\partial_x u(t,x) + \xi(t,x), \qquad t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{T},$$

where *G* is a smooth function, $\mathcal{L} = \partial_t - \Delta$.

• 1d Kardar-Parisi-Zhang equation (KPZ).

$$\mathcal{L}h(t,x) = (\partial_x h(t,x))^2 - C + \xi(t,x), \qquad t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{T}$$

• Dynamic Φ_d^4 model or stochastic quantisation equation (d = 2, 3) (SQE)

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(t,x) = -\frac{\lambda \varphi(t,x)^3 - C\varphi(t,x)}{\xi(t,x)} + \xi(t,x), \qquad t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{T}^d,$$

• Generalised 2d parabolic Anderson model (gPAM)

$$\mathscr{L}u(t,x) = G(u(t,x))\xi(x) - CG'(u(t,x))G(u(t,x)), \qquad t \geqslant 0, x \in \mathbb{T}^2,$$

- ▷ G.-Imkeller-Perkowski, Paracontrolled distributions and singular PDEs (2012)
- ▷ G.-Perkowski, Lectures on singular stochastic PDEs (2015), KPZ reloaded (2016), An introduction to singular SPDEs (2017)
- > Catellier-Chouk, Paracontrolled Distributions and the 3-dimensional Stochastic Quantization Equation (2013)
- ▶ Weber and Mourrat (2014–2016): SQE weak universality in 2d, SQE space–time global solution in 2d, time global solutions in 3d.
- ▷ G., Koch, Oh (2017): weak universality for 2d stochastic non-linear wave equation.
- \triangleright Hairer–Xu (2016). weak universality for Φ_3^4 with regularity structures.

Other relevant literature:

- ▶ Hairer's 2014 Inventiones paper.
- ▶ Hairer–Quastel (2015). Weak universality for KPZ.
- ⊳ Gonçalves–Jara (2014), G.–Perkowski (2016), G.–Perkowski–Diehl (2016). Weak universality for KPZ from particle systems via *energy solutions*.
- ▶ Cannizzaro-Chouk (2015): singular paracontrolled martingale problems. Allez-Chouk (2016): paracontrolled analysis of random unbounded operators. Bailleul and Bernicot (2016): higher order paracontrolled calculus.

Scalar diffusion equation with slow reaction term

$$\mathscr{L}\psi(t,x) = \varepsilon^{\gamma} F(\psi(t,x)) + \eta(t,x), \qquad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{T}_{\varepsilon}^{d}$$

with $\mathbb{T}_{\varepsilon} = \mathbb{T}/\varepsilon$, F odd (typically $F = -\partial U$ for some potential U) and $\mathscr{L} = \partial_t - \Delta$.

 \triangleright Parabolic rescaling $\psi_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \varepsilon^{-\alpha} \psi(t/\varepsilon^2, x/\varepsilon)$ and $\xi_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \varepsilon^{-d/2-1} \eta(t/\varepsilon^2, x/\varepsilon)$

$$\mathscr{L}\psi_{\varepsilon}(t,x) = \varepsilon^{\gamma - \alpha - 2} F(\varepsilon^{\alpha} \psi_{\varepsilon}(t,x)) + \varepsilon^{(d-2)/2 - \alpha} \xi_{\varepsilon}(t,x), \qquad (t,x) \in \mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{T}_{\varepsilon}^{d}$$

Note that $\xi_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow \xi$ the space–time white noise.

 \triangleright Let $\alpha = (d-2)/2$ to keep a noisy evolution:

$$\mathscr{L}\psi_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{\gamma - d/2 - 1} F(\varepsilon^{(d-2)/2} \psi_{\varepsilon}) + \xi_{\varepsilon}$$

In the following we will concentrate on the d=3 case.

▶ Linear approximation: assume $\psi_{\varepsilon} \cong X_{\varepsilon}$ with $\mathscr{L}X_{\varepsilon} = \xi_{\varepsilon}$

$$\varepsilon^{\gamma - d/2 - 1} F(\varepsilon^{(d-2)/2} \psi_{\varepsilon}) \simeq \varepsilon^{\gamma - d/2 - 1} F(\varepsilon^{(d-2)/2} X_{\varepsilon})$$

▶ Explicit Gaussian computations shows that

$$\varepsilon^{-(d-2)/2}F(\varepsilon^{(d-2)/2}X_{\varepsilon}) \to \mu X$$
 (as space—time distributions)

where $\mu = \mathbb{E}[GF(G)]$ and $G \sim \mathcal{N}(0,c)$ and $\mathcal{L}X = \xi$.

▶ Then ,if $\mu \neq 0$ we need to take $\gamma = 2$:

$$\varepsilon^{\gamma-d/2-1}F(\varepsilon^{(d-2)/2}\psi_{\varepsilon}) \simeq \varepsilon^{\gamma-2}\mu X \simeq \varepsilon^{\gamma-2}\mu \psi$$

The limiting equation is

$$\mathcal{L}\psi = \mu\psi + \xi$$

Result: we *expect* Gaussian fluctuations.

Rigorous analysis. Let $\psi_{\varepsilon} = X_{\varepsilon} + \theta_{\varepsilon}$ then (recall $d = 3, \gamma = 2$)

$$\mathscr{L}\theta_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-1/2} F(\varepsilon^{1/2} X_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{1/2} \theta_{\varepsilon})$$

▶ Taylor expansion gives the approximate equation

$$\mathscr{L}\theta_{\varepsilon} = \mu \tilde{X}_{\varepsilon} + \tilde{\mu}_{\varepsilon}\theta_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{1/2}R_{\varepsilon}(\theta_{\varepsilon})$$

$$\mu \tilde{X}_{\varepsilon} := \varepsilon^{-1/2} F(\varepsilon^{1/2} X_{\varepsilon}), \quad \tilde{\mu}_{\varepsilon} := F'(\varepsilon^{1/2} X_{\varepsilon}), \quad R_{\varepsilon}(\theta_{\varepsilon}) := \theta_{\varepsilon}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} F''(\varepsilon^{1/2} X_{\varepsilon} + \tau \varepsilon^{1/2} \theta_{\varepsilon}) (1 - \tau) d\tau$$

ightharpoonup Since $\|\varepsilon^{1/2}X_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}} \lesssim \varepsilon^{-0}$ we establish easily that

$$\varepsilon^{1/2}R_{\varepsilon}(\theta_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{L^{\infty}} 0$$

 \triangleright Direct Gaussian computations show ($\mathscr{C}^{\alpha} = C([0,T]; B^{\alpha}_{\infty,\infty}(\mathbb{T}^d))$)

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\mathscr{C}^{0-}} \mu^{\varepsilon} \mathbb{R} \qquad X_{\varepsilon}, \tilde{X_{\varepsilon}} \xrightarrow{\mathscr{C}^{-1/2-}} X \qquad \varepsilon^{1/2} R_{\varepsilon}(\theta_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow{L^{\infty}} 0$$

$$\mathscr{L}\theta_{\varepsilon} = \Theta_{\varepsilon}(\tilde{X_{\varepsilon}}, \tilde{\mu}_{\varepsilon}, \theta_{\varepsilon}) = \mu \tilde{X_{\varepsilon}} + \tilde{\mu}_{\varepsilon}\theta_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{1/2}R_{\varepsilon}(\theta_{\varepsilon}) \in \mathscr{C}^{-1/2-}$$

ightharpoonup Parabolic estimates give $\theta_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{C}^{3/2-}$

ightharpoonup Continuity of the product in $\mathscr{C}^{\alpha} \times \mathscr{C}^{\beta} \to \mathscr{C}^{\alpha \wedge \beta}$ when $\alpha + \beta > 0$ results in continuity for

$$\tilde{\mu}_{\varepsilon} \times \theta_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{C}^{-0-} \times \mathscr{C}^{3/2-} \to \tilde{\mu}_{\varepsilon} \theta_{\varepsilon}$$

Hence, the family of maps Θ_{ε}

$$(\tilde{X_\varepsilon}, \tilde{\mu}_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon) \in \mathscr{C}^{-1/2-} \times \mathscr{C}^{-0-} \times \mathscr{C}^{3/2-} \mapsto \Theta_\varepsilon (\tilde{X_\varepsilon}, \tilde{\mu}_\varepsilon, \theta_\varepsilon) \in \mathscr{C}^{-1/2-} \times \mathscr{C}^{-1/2-} \times$$

is continuous (and even locally Lipshitz). From this easy to deduce that

$$\theta_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow[\mathscr{C}^{3/2^{-}}]{} \theta \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}\theta = \mu X + \mu \theta$$

$$\psi_{\varepsilon} = X_{\varepsilon} + \theta_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow[\mathscr{C}^{-1/2^{-}}]{} \psi = X + \theta \qquad \qquad \mathcal{L}\psi = \mu \psi + \xi$$

Suppose now that we choose F such that $\mu = 0$. In this case by symmetry

$$\mathbb{E}[H_{c,2}(G)F(G)] = 0$$

but if

$$\lambda = \mathbb{E}[H_{c,3}(G)F(G)] \neq 0$$

we have

$$\varepsilon^{-3(d-2)/2}F(\varepsilon^{(d-2)/2}X_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow[\mathscr{C}^{-3/2-}]{} \lambda X^{*3}$$
 (as space—time distributions)

where $X^{*3} = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} H_{\gamma_{\varepsilon},3}(X_{\varepsilon})$.

Now we guess that

$$\varepsilon^{\gamma-d/2-1}F(\varepsilon^{(d-2)/2}\psi_{\varepsilon}) \simeq \varepsilon^{d-4+\gamma}\lambda X^{*3}$$

so something non–trivial can be obtained if $\gamma = 4 - d = 1$.

$$\mathscr{L}\varphi_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-3/2} F(\varepsilon^{1/2} \varphi_{\varepsilon}) + \xi_{\varepsilon}$$

 \triangleright Taylor expansion with $\varphi_{\varepsilon} = X_{\varepsilon} + \theta_{\varepsilon}$

$$\mathcal{L}\theta_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon^{-3/2} F(\varepsilon^{1/2} X_{\varepsilon}) + \varepsilon^{-1} F^{'}(\varepsilon^{1/2} X_{\varepsilon}) \theta_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{-1/2} F^{''}(\varepsilon^{1/2} X_{\varepsilon}) \theta_{\varepsilon}^{2} + F^{'''}(\varepsilon^{1/2} X_{\varepsilon}) \theta_{\varepsilon}^{3} + \varepsilon^{1/2} R_{\varepsilon}$$

$$\varepsilon^{-3/2}F(\varepsilon^{1/2}X_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow[\mathscr{C}^{-3/2-}]{} \lambda X^{*3}, \qquad \varepsilon^{-1}F'(\varepsilon^{1/2}X_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow[\mathscr{C}^{-1-}]{} \lambda X^{*2}, \qquad \varepsilon^{-1/2}F''(\varepsilon^{1/2}X_{\varepsilon}) \xrightarrow[\mathscr{C}^{-1/2-}]{} \lambda X$$

Problem: the previous strategy will not work since

$$\mathcal{L}\theta_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{C}^{-3/2-} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \theta_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{C}^{1/2-}$$

and the products

$$\varepsilon^{-1}F^{'}(\varepsilon^{1/2}X_{\varepsilon})\theta_{\varepsilon}, \qquad \varepsilon^{-1/2}F^{''}(\varepsilon^{1/2}X_{\varepsilon})\theta_{\varepsilon}$$

are then **not** under control.

▶ Need better understanding of the structure of the solution...

Consider the case $F(x) = \lambda(x^3 - c_{\varepsilon}x)$:

$$\mathscr{L}\varphi_{\varepsilon} = \lambda(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{3} - \varepsilon^{-1}c_{\varepsilon}\varphi_{\varepsilon}) + \xi_{\varepsilon}$$

and let $\varphi_{\varepsilon} = X_{\varepsilon} + \lambda Y_{\varepsilon} + \lambda \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}$, $(c_{\varepsilon} = 3c_{1,\varepsilon} + 9\lambda c_{2,\varepsilon})$

$$\mathscr{L} Y_{\varepsilon} + \mathscr{L} \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q} = X_{\varepsilon}^{*3} + 3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}) + 3\lambda^{2} X_{\varepsilon} (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})^{2} + \lambda^{3} (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})^{3} - 9\lambda c_{2,\varepsilon} \varphi_{\varepsilon}$$

$$X_{\varepsilon}^{*3} = X_{\varepsilon}^{3} - 3 c_{1,\varepsilon} X_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{C}^{-3/2-} \qquad X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} = X_{\varepsilon}^{2} - c_{1,\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{C}^{-1-2}$$

Choosing $\mathscr{L}Y_{\varepsilon} = X_{\varepsilon}^{*3}$ we get rid of the first term.

$$\triangleright \mathscr{L}\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q} \in \mathscr{C}^{-1-} \Rightarrow \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q} \in \mathscr{C}^{1-} \text{ and } \mathscr{L}Y_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{C}^{-3/2-} \Rightarrow Y_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{C}^{1/2-}.$$

▶ **Problem:** slightly better situation but still not ok:

$$\underbrace{X_{\varepsilon}^{*2}}_{\mathscr{C}^{-1-}}\underbrace{(Y_{\varepsilon}+\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})}_{\mathscr{C}^{1/2-}+\mathscr{C}^{1-}} \underbrace{X_{\varepsilon}}_{\mathscr{C}^{-1/2-}}\underbrace{(Y_{\varepsilon}+\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})^{2}}_{\mathscr{C}^{1/2-}+\mathscr{C}^{1-}}$$

Decomposition of a product into paraproducts and resonant term

$$fg = f \prec g + f \circ g + f > g$$

Theorem (Bony, Meyer)

$$(f,g) \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha} \times \mathcal{C}^{\beta} \to f \prec g = g \succ f \in \mathcal{C}^{\beta+\alpha \wedge 0}, \qquad \alpha,\beta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$$

$$(f,g) \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha} \times \mathcal{C}^{\beta} \to f \circ g \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+\beta}, \qquad \alpha+\beta > 0$$

Paralinearization:

$$f \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha} \to R(f) = G(f) - G'(f) < f \in \mathcal{C}^{2\alpha}, \qquad \alpha > 0$$

A single new key ingredient:

Lemma (G-Imkeller-Perkowski)

$$(f,g,h) \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha} \times \mathcal{C}^{\beta} \times \mathcal{C}^{\gamma} \to C(f,g,h) = (f \prec g) \circ h - f(g \circ h) \in \mathcal{C}^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}, \qquad \alpha+\beta+\gamma > 0$$

▶ Paralinearization:

$$(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})^{2} = 2(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}) \prec (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}) + \underbrace{R(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})}_{1^{-}}$$

▶ Decomposition

$$X_{\varepsilon}(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})^{2} = X_{\varepsilon} < (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})^{2} + X_{\varepsilon} \circ (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})^{2} + X_{\varepsilon} > (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})^{2}$$

$$X_{\varepsilon} \circ (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})^{2} = 2X_{\varepsilon} \circ [(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}) \prec (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})] + X_{\varepsilon} \circ R(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})$$

▶ Commutator lemma

$$=2(Y_{\varepsilon}+\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})\underbrace{X_{\varepsilon}\circ(Y_{\varepsilon}+\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})}+2\underbrace{C(Y_{\varepsilon}+\varphi^{Q},Y_{\varepsilon},X_{\varepsilon})}_{\mathscr{C}^{1/2-}}+X_{\varepsilon}\circ R(Y_{\varepsilon}+\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})$$

$$= 2(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^Q) \underbrace{X_{\varepsilon} \circ Y_{\varepsilon}} + 2(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^Q) (X_{\varepsilon} \circ \varphi_{\varepsilon}^Q) + 2C(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi^Q, Y_{\varepsilon}, X_{\varepsilon}) + X_{\varepsilon} \circ R(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^Q)$$

 \triangleright Assume that we can control $X_{\varepsilon} \circ Y_{\varepsilon}$ in \mathscr{C}^{-0-} .

$$3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{*2}(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}) - 9\lambda c_{2,\varepsilon}\varphi_{\varepsilon} = 3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} > (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}) + 3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} < (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})$$

$$+3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \circ Y_{\varepsilon} - 3c_{2,\varepsilon} X_{\varepsilon} + 3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \circ \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q} - 9\lambda^{2} c_{2,\varepsilon} (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})$$

 \triangleright Need of a further renormalization: the quantity $X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \diamond Y_{\varepsilon} = X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \circ Y_{\varepsilon} - 3c_{2,\varepsilon}X_{\varepsilon}$ is under control in $\mathscr{C}^{-1/2}$.

> Paracontrolled ansatz:

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q} = \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\star} \ll Q_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\#}$$

with $Q_{\varepsilon} \in \mathscr{C}^{1-}, \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\star} \in \mathscr{C}^{1/2-}$ and $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\#} \in \mathscr{C}^{3/2-}$.

$$3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \circ \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q} - 9\lambda^{2}c_{2,\varepsilon}(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}) = 3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \circ (\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{*} \ll Q_{\varepsilon}) - 9\lambda^{2}c_{2,\varepsilon}(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}) + 3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \circ \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\#}$$

$$= 3\lambda \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\star} X_{\varepsilon}^{\star 2} \circ Q_{\varepsilon} - 9\lambda^{2} c_{2,\varepsilon} (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}) + 3\lambda C_{\ll} (\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\star}, Q_{\varepsilon}, X_{\varepsilon}^{\star 2}) + 3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{\star 2} \circ \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp}$$

How to choose $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{'}$ and Q_{ε} ?

Note that

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q} = 3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} > (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}) + \cdots$$

but also

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q} = \mathcal{L}(\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\star} \ll Q_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp}) = \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{'} \ll \mathcal{L}Q_{\varepsilon} + \mathcal{L}\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp} + \cdots$$

so a natural choice is $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\star} = 3\lambda(Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})$ and $\mathcal{L}Q_{\varepsilon} = X_{\varepsilon}^{\star 2}$. In this case

$$3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \circ \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q} - 9\lambda^{2} c_{2,\varepsilon} (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}) = 3\lambda \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{*} (X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \circ Q_{\varepsilon} - c_{2,\varepsilon}) + 3\lambda C_{\ll} (\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{*}, Q_{\varepsilon}, X_{\varepsilon}^{*2}) + 3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \circ \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\#}$$

and it can be shown that $X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \diamond Q_{\varepsilon} = X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \circ Q_{\varepsilon} - c_{2,\varepsilon}$ is under control in \mathscr{C}^{0-} .

Conclusion: starting from the equation

$$\mathscr{L}\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q} = 3\lambda X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}) + 3\lambda^{2} X_{\varepsilon} (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})^{2} + \lambda^{3} (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})^{3} - 9\lambda c_{2,\varepsilon} \varphi_{\varepsilon}$$

and performing the change of variables

$$\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q} = \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\star} \ll Q_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp}, \qquad \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\star} = 3\lambda (Y_{\varepsilon} + \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q})$$

we get an equation for $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\scriptscriptstyle\#}$ which reads

$$\mathscr{L}\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp} = \Phi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp}(X_{\varepsilon}, \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}, \varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp})$$

where the r.h.s. depends continuosly on $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{Q}$, $\varphi_{\varepsilon}^{\sharp}$ and on the datum of X_{ε} :

$$X_{\varepsilon} = (X_{\varepsilon}, X_{\varepsilon}^{*2}, X_{\varepsilon}^{*3}, X_{\varepsilon} \circ Y_{\varepsilon}, X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \diamond Y_{\varepsilon}, X_{\varepsilon}^{*2} \diamond Q_{\varepsilon})$$

The limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$ can be now established via standard PDE estimates (for short times) once it is known that $X_{\varepsilon} \to X$ in a suitable topology.

Remark: Fundamental ideas coming from Lyons' Rough Paths theory.

Another way to state the previous analysis is to say that to each decomposition

$$\psi = X + Y + \psi^* \ll Q + \psi^\#$$

of a function ψ we can associate a corresponding decomposition of the power φ^3

$$\psi^3 = G(X, \psi^*, \psi^*) = X^3 + 3(Y + \psi^* \ll Q + \psi^*) \prec X^2 + \cdots$$

$$X = (X, X^2, X^3, Y \circ X, Y \circ X^2, Q \circ X^2)$$

in such a way that, for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$

$$\psi^3 - 3a \psi - 3bX - 3b \psi^* = G(R_{a,b}XX, \psi^*, \psi^*)$$

with
$$R_{a,b}X = (X, X^2 - a, X^3 - 3a, Y \circ X, Y \circ (X^2 - a) - bX, Q \circ (X^2 - a) - b)$$

Now if ψ is a distribution for which

$$\psi = X + Y + \psi^* \ll Q + \psi^\#$$

we can set

$$\psi_{\varepsilon} = \rho_{\varepsilon} * \psi = \rho_{\varepsilon} * X + \rho_{\varepsilon} * Y + \psi^{\star} \ll \rho_{\varepsilon} * Q + \rho_{\varepsilon} * \psi^{\#} + [\psi^{\star} \ll, \rho_{\varepsilon} *]Q$$

so that $X_{\varepsilon} = \rho_{\varepsilon} * X$

$$\psi_{\varepsilon}^{3} - 3a_{\varepsilon}\psi_{\varepsilon} - 3bX_{\varepsilon} - 3b\psi^{*} = G(R_{a_{\varepsilon},b_{\varepsilon}}XX_{\varepsilon}, \psi^{*}, \rho_{\varepsilon} * \psi^{\#} + [\psi^{*} \ll, \rho_{\varepsilon} *]Q)$$

$$\mathbb{X}_{\varepsilon} = (X_{\varepsilon}, X_{\varepsilon}^2, X_{\varepsilon}^3, Y_{\varepsilon} \circ X_{\varepsilon}, Y_{\varepsilon} \circ X_{\varepsilon}^2, Q_{\varepsilon} \circ X_{\varepsilon}^2)$$

with $Z_{\varepsilon} = \rho_{\varepsilon} * Z$ for Z = X, Y, Q. And if $R_{\alpha_{\varepsilon}, b_{\varepsilon}} X_{\varepsilon} \to X_{ren}$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$ then

$$\psi_{\varepsilon}^{3} - 3a_{\varepsilon}\psi_{\varepsilon} - 3bX_{\varepsilon} - 3b\psi^{*} \rightarrow \psi_{\text{ren}}^{3} = G(X_{\text{ren}}, \psi^{*}, \psi^{\#})$$

At this point we are capable of defining solutions of the singular SPDE which we expect in the limit of the reaction diffusion equation.

1) Given the noise ξ we construct its associated "model"

$$R_{c_{1,\varepsilon},c_{2,\varepsilon}} \mathbb{X}_{\varepsilon} \to \mathbb{X}(\xi) = (X, X^{*2}, X^{*3}, Y \diamond X, Y \diamond X^{*2}, Q \diamond X^{*2})$$

by passing to the limit in the canonical model $R_{c_{1,\varepsilon},c_{2,\varepsilon}}X_{\varepsilon}$ for ξ_{ε} with suitable renormalization constants $c_{1,\varepsilon},c_{2,\varepsilon}$.

2) For fixed X we say that a distribution φ is a solution to

$$\mathscr{L}\varphi = \lambda \varphi^{*3} + \xi$$

if $\varphi = X + \lambda Y + \lambda \varphi^* \ll Q + \lambda \varphi^\#$ and

$$\mathscr{L}\varphi = \lambda G(\mathbf{X}, \lambda \varphi^*, \lambda \varphi^*) + \xi$$

This implies in particular that φ^* can be chosen to be equal to $3\lambda(Y + \lambda \varphi^* \ll Q + \lambda \varphi^*)$

Thanks!