# Weak universality of the stationary Kardar–Parisi–Zhang equation

• • • • •

Massimiliano Gubinelli – University of Bonn.

British Mathematical Colloquium – Bristol, March 23 2016.



[Birthday cake for KPZ, Workshop "New approaches to non-equilibrium and random systems: KPZ integrability, universality, applications and experiments", Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, March 3rd 2016]

#### KPZ is the following SPDE

$$\partial_t h(t,x) = \underbrace{\Delta h(t,x)}_{\text{diffusion}} + \underbrace{\chi \left( Dh(t,x) \right)^2}_{\text{growth}} + \underbrace{\xi(t,x)}_{\text{noise}}, \qquad t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{T}$$

with  $\xi$  space–time white noise.

 $\triangleright$  KPZ introduced (30 years ago!) the equation in order to capture the universal macroscopic behaviour of the fluctuations *h* of growing interfaces.

▷ **KPZ fixpoint:** the KPZ equation is just an element of a wider universality class:

$$\varepsilon^{1}[h(t \varepsilon^{-3}, x \varepsilon^{-2}) - \varphi(t, x)] \to \mathcal{H}(t, x)$$

as  $\varepsilon \to 0$ . Difficult problem. Only known for fixed *t* and special  $h(0, \cdot)$ [Amir–Corwin–Quastel 2011, Sasamoto–Spohn 2010, Borodin and Corwin 2014] *Talk based on joint work with: M. Jara, N. Perkowski and J. Diehl.*   $\triangleright$  The KPZ equation describes also these fluctuations in a certain asymptotic regime where the non-linear effects are weak in the microscopic scale.

Basic ingredients:

- One conservation law for a quantity  $u_{\varepsilon}$
- Tunable asymmetry of order  $\varepsilon$

## **Universal limit:**

$$\varepsilon^{-1}(u_{\varepsilon}(t\varepsilon^{-4}, x\varepsilon^{-2}) - \varphi(t, x)) \longrightarrow u(t, x)$$

where u solves the Stochastic Burgers equation

$$\partial_t u(t,x) = \Delta u(t,x) + \partial_x u(t,x)^2 + \xi(t,x)$$

Equivalent to KPZ with  $u = \partial_x h$ :  $u_{\varepsilon}$  represent height gradient for an interface.

#### Some models

 $\triangleright$  Weakly asymmetric simple exclusion process. Particles jumps with Poisson clocks on sites of the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}$ , no two particles at the same site. Leftward with rate  $1/2 + \alpha$  and rightward with rate  $1/2 - \alpha$ . Number of particle is locally conserved.

 $\triangleright$  Ginzburg-Landau  $\nabla \varphi$  model. Interacting Brownian motions on  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$dX^{i} = ((1/2 + \alpha)V'(X^{i+1} - X^{i}) - (1/2 - \alpha)V'(X^{i} - X^{i-1}))dt + dB_{t}^{i}, \qquad i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

▷ Hairer-Quastel model. SPDE

$$\partial_t g(t,x) = \Delta g(t,x) + \alpha F(\partial_x g(t,x)) + \eta(t,x) \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

where  $\eta$  is a short range/short memory gaussian process.

 $\alpha = 0 \Rightarrow$  convergence to Gaussian fluctuations

 $\alpha = \epsilon \Rightarrow$  convergence to KPZ

[Bertini–Giacomin 1996 (WASEP), Hairer–Quastel 2015]

**Energy solutions:** a good notion of martingale solutions to SBE which allows to prove the weak KPZ universality conjecture for a large class of **stationary** models.

- ▷ [Gonçalves–Jara 2010/2014] Initial notion of energy solutions
- ▷ [Jara–G. 2013] Refined notion of energy solutions
- ightarrow [G.–Perkowski 2015] Uniqueness for the refined notion

## **Proofs of weak universality from energy solutions:**

▷ General exclusion processes. [Gonçalves–Jara 2014, Gonçalves–Jara–Simon 2016, Franco-Gonçalves-Simon (2016]

 $\triangleright$  Zero–range processes and many other particle systems. [Gonçalves-Jara-Sethuraman 2015]

 $\triangleright$  Ginzburg–Landau  $\nabla \varphi$  model. [Diehl-Gubinelli-P. 2016?]

⊳ Hairer–Quastel model. [Gubinelli-P. 2016]

 $\triangleright$  [Bertini–Giacomin 1996]: existence of random function *h* describing the scaling limit of the fluctuation of WASEP for which  $\varphi = e^h$  satisfies the Stochastic Heat Equation (SHE)

$$\mathscr{L}\varphi(t,x) = \varphi(t,x)\xi(t,x), \qquad t \ge 0, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

 $\triangleright$  **Cole–Hopf:** transformation ( $\xi_{\varepsilon}$  a regularisation of  $\xi$ ),  $\varphi_{\varepsilon} = e^{h_{\varepsilon}}$ 

No equation!

- ▷ Intrinsic notions of solution:
  - Energy solutions [Gonçalves–Jara 10/14] : weak notion, global in time solutions. Uniqueness established only recently [G.–Perkowski 2015].
  - Rough paths [Hairer 2013] : strong notion, local solutions, uniqueness / stability.

▷ **Cole-Hopf:** Not a general approach to universality, needs a specific structure, especially at the microscopic level. Only OK for specific models. [Bertini-Giacomin 1997, Dembo-Tsai 2013, Corwin-Tsai 2015, Corwin-Shen-Tsai 2016].

▷ **Rough-paths:** (but also Regularity structures or Paracontrolled distributions) need control of regularity, universality so far only for semilinear SPDEs (and on the torus). Hairer–Quastel (2015), Hairer–Shen (2015), G.–Perkowski (2015).

 $\triangleright$  **Energy solutions:** requires precise knowledge of the invariant measure but otherwise quite flexible and powerful (and works easily on  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

Approach to weak universality:

- tightness of fluctuations
- martingale characterization of limit points;
- uniqueness  $\Rightarrow$  convergence.

**Definition 1** (Jara-Gonçalves, 2010) *u* is an *energy solution* of SBE if

$$M_t(\varphi) = u_t(\varphi) - u_0(\varphi) - \int_0^t u_s(\Delta \varphi) ds - \mathcal{B}_t(\varphi)$$

is a martingale with bracket  $[M(\varphi)]_t = t \| \mathbf{D} \varphi \|_{L^2}^2$  and if

 $\mathbb{E}|\mathcal{B}_{s,t}(\varphi) - \mathcal{B}_{s,t}^{\varepsilon}(\varphi)|^2 \leq C \varepsilon |t - s| \|\mathbf{D}\varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \qquad (energy \ condition)$ 

where  $\mathscr{B}_{s,t}^{\varepsilon}(\varphi) = \int_{s}^{t} \mathbb{D}(\rho_{\varepsilon} * u_{s})^{2}(\varphi) ds$  and  $\rho_{\varepsilon}(x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \rho(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ .

▷ An energy solution is given by a **pair**  $(u, \mathscr{B})$ . Very little information about  $\mathscr{B}$ . As a consequence, energy solutions are too weak to be compared meaningfully.

Jara–G. introduced another notion of energy solution

**Definition 2** (Jara-G. 2013)  $(u, \mathcal{A})$  is a controlled process if

1. (Dirichlet)  $u_t(\varphi)$  is a Dirichlet process with

$$M_t(\varphi) = u_t(\varphi) - u_0(\varphi) - \int_0^t u_s(\Delta \varphi) ds - \mathcal{A}_t(\varphi)$$

is a martingale with bracket  $[M(\varphi)]_t = t \| \mathbf{D} \varphi \|_{L^2}^2$  and  $[\mathcal{A}(\varphi)] = 0$ .

2. (Stationarity)  $u_t$  is a white noise for all t;

3. (Time-reversal)  $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{u}_t = u_{T-t}$  satisfies 1. with  $\stackrel{\leftarrow}{\mathcal{A}}_t(\varphi) = \mathcal{A}_T(\varphi) - \mathcal{A}_{T-t}(\varphi)$ .

**Key property:** For controlled processes we can define and control functionals of the form

 $\int_0^t f(u_s) \mathrm{d}s.$ 

The Itô trick, forward and then backward

Assume that *F* solves the Poisson equation  $\mathscr{L}_{OU}F = f$  where  $\mathscr{L}_{OU}$  is the generator of the OU process *X* given by  $\mathscr{L}X = D\xi$ . Then by the Itô formula for Dirichlet processes [Russo–Vallois]

$$F(u_t) = F(u_0) + \int_0^t \nabla F(u_s) dM_s + \int_0^t \nabla F(u_s) d\mathcal{A}_s + \int_0^t \mathcal{L}_{OU} F(u_s) ds$$

and, backward,

$$F(\overleftarrow{u}_{T}) = F(\overleftarrow{u}_{0}) + \int_{0}^{T} \nabla F(\overleftarrow{u}_{s}) d\overleftarrow{M}_{s} + \int_{0}^{T} \nabla F(\overleftarrow{u}_{s}) d\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_{s} + \int_{0}^{T} \mathscr{L}_{OU} F(\overleftarrow{u}_{s}) ds.$$

Summing and using BDG inequalities :

$$2\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{L}_{\text{OU}}F(u_{s})\mathrm{d}s = -\int_{0}^{T} \nabla F(\overleftarrow{u}_{s})\mathrm{d}\overleftarrow{M}_{s} - \int_{0}^{t} \nabla F(u_{s})\mathrm{d}M_{s}$$
$$\mathbb{E}\left|\int_{0}^{T} f(u_{s})\mathrm{d}s\right|^{p} \lesssim_{p} T^{p/2} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{E}_{\text{OU}}(F)^{p/2}]$$

Result: powerful control of additive functionals of controlled processes. [Itô trick, Kipnis–Varadhan 1986, Chang–Landim–Olla 2001]. **Lemma 3** If  $(u, \mathcal{A})$  is controlled then

 $\mathcal{B}_t(\varphi) := \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \mathcal{B}_t^{\varepsilon}(\varphi)$ 

with good estimates on space-time regularity (e.g. zero quadratic variation).

**Definition 4** (Jara–G. 2013) A controlled process  $(u, \mathcal{A})$  is a stationary solution to SBE if

 $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}.$ 

 $\triangleright$  Existence is proved via stationary Galerkin approximations  $u^N$ . The Itô trick gives tightness for the approximate drift  $\mathscr{B}^N$ .

 $\triangleright$  Not difficult to show that particle systems converge to limits satisfying this notion too.

 $\triangleright$  This notion of solution is more powerful since brings along all the information about estimations of additive functionals, not only of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Theorem 5** (G.-Perkowski, 2015) There exists only one controlled energy solutions are unique, in particular it coincides with the Cole–Hopf solution.

The proof uses a key estimate from [Funaki-Quastel 2014].

Let  $(u, \mathcal{A})$  be an energy solution and let  $u^{\varepsilon} = \rho_{\varepsilon} * u$ . Then  $u^{\varepsilon}$  satisfies

$$\mathrm{d} u_t^{\varepsilon}(x) = \Delta u_t^{\varepsilon}(x) \mathrm{d} t + (\rho_{\varepsilon} * \mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}_t)(x) + (\rho_{\varepsilon} * \mathrm{d} M_t)(x)$$

Consider  $\varphi_t^{\varepsilon}(x) = e^{h_t^{\varepsilon}(x)}$  where  $Dh_t^{\varepsilon}(x) = u_t^{\varepsilon}(x)$ . Then

$$\mathrm{d}\varphi_t^{\varepsilon}(x) = e^{h_t^{\varepsilon}(x)} (\Delta h_t^{\varepsilon}(x) \mathrm{d}t + c_{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{D}^{-1}(\rho_{\varepsilon} * \mathrm{d}\mathcal{A}_t)(x) + \mathrm{D}^{-1}(\rho_{\varepsilon} * \mathrm{d}M_t)(x))$$

 $= \Delta \varphi_t^{\varepsilon}(x) \mathrm{d}t + \varphi_t^{\varepsilon}(x) (Q_t^{\varepsilon} + K^{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}t + \varphi_t^{\varepsilon}(x) (\rho_{\varepsilon} * \mathrm{d}W_t)(x) + \mathrm{d}R_t^{\varepsilon}(\varphi)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} R_t^{\varepsilon}(\varphi) &= \int_0^t (\varphi_s^{\varepsilon}(x) \mathrm{D}^{-1}(\rho_{\varepsilon} * \mathrm{d}\mathcal{A}_s)(x) - \varphi_s^{\varepsilon}(x) \Pi_0(u_s^{\varepsilon}(x))^2 \mathrm{d}s - K^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d}s), \quad Q_t^{\varepsilon} &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} ((u_s^{\varepsilon}(x))^2 - c_{\varepsilon}) \mathrm{d}x. \end{aligned}$$

If we show that  $R_t^{\varepsilon}(\varphi) \to 0$  then  $\varphi^{\varepsilon} \to \varphi$  solution to a tilted SHE which is unique.

We approximate  $R^{\varepsilon}$  as

$$R_t^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\varphi) = \int_0^t (-K_\varepsilon \mathrm{d}s + \varphi_s^\varepsilon(x) \mathrm{D}^{-1}(\rho_\varepsilon * \mathrm{d}\mathscr{B}_s^\delta)(x) - \varphi_s^\varepsilon(x)(u_s^\varepsilon(x))^2 \mathrm{d}s)$$

$$= \int_0^t (-K_{\varepsilon} + e^{\mathbf{D}^{-1}u_s^{\varepsilon}(x)}(\rho_{\varepsilon} * (\rho_{\delta} * u_s)^2 - (\rho_{\varepsilon} * u_s)^2)(x)) dt = \int_0^t f_{\varepsilon,\delta}(u_s) ds$$

So we use the forward–backward Itô trick to get an  $L^2$  estimate

$$\mathbb{E}|R_t^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\varphi)|^2 \lesssim t \, \|f_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_{\mathscr{H}^{-1}}^2$$

where  $\mathscr{H}^{-1}$  is the Sobolev space associated to the OU generator.

Following the strategy in Funaki–Quastel a detailed computation shows that there exists a choiche for  $K_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow K = -1/2$  for which

$$\|f_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}^2 = \sup_{\Phi} \left[2\mathbb{E}(f_{\varepsilon,\delta}\Phi) - \|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}}^2\right] \to 0.$$

It is enough to show that  $|\mathbb{E}(f_{\varepsilon,\delta}\Phi)| \leq o(1) \|\Phi\|_{\mathscr{H}^1}$ .

Thanks!

