



# Weak universality of the stationary Kardar–Parisi–Zhang equation



Massimiliano Gubinelli – University of Bonn.

SPDEs and Applications – Levico Terme, May 31st 2016.



[Birthday cake for KPZ, Workshop "New approaches to non-equilibrium and random systems: KPZ integrability, universality, applications and experiments", Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, March 3rd 2016]

KPZ is the following SPDE

$$\partial_t h(t, x) = \underbrace{\Delta h(t, x)}_{\text{diffusion}} + \underbrace{\chi (\partial_x h(t, x))^2}_{\text{growth}} + \underbrace{\xi(t, x)}_{\text{noise}}, \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{T}$$

with  $\xi$  space–time white noise.

- ▷ KPZ introduced (30 years ago!) the equation in order to capture the universal macroscopic behaviour of the fluctuations  $h$  of growing interfaces.
- ▷ **KPZ fixpoint:** the KPZ equation is just an element of a wider universality class:

$$\varepsilon^1 [h(t\varepsilon^{-3}, x\varepsilon^{-2}) - \varphi(t, x)] \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(t, x)$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . Difficult problem. Only known for fixed  $t$  and special  $h(0, \cdot)$

[Amir–Corwin–Quastel 2011, Sasamoto–Spohn 2010, Borodin–Corwin 2014]

*Talk based on joint work with: M. Jara, N. Perkowski and J. Diehl.*

▷ The KPZ equation describes also fluctuations in a certain asymptotic regime where the non-linear effects are weak in the microscopic scale.

Basic ingredients:

- One conservation law for a quantity  $u_\varepsilon$
- Tunable asymmetry of order  $\varepsilon$

**Universal limit:**

$$\varepsilon^{-1}(u_\varepsilon(t\varepsilon^{-4}, x\varepsilon^{-2}) - \varphi(t, x)) \longrightarrow u(t, x)$$

where  $u$  solves the Stochastic Burgers equation (SBE)

$$\partial_t u(t, x) = \Delta u(t, x) + \chi \partial_x u(t, x)^2 + \partial_x \xi(t, x)$$

Equivalent to KPZ with  $u = \partial_x h$ :  $u_\varepsilon$  represent height gradient for an interface.

▷ **Weakly asymmetric simple exclusion process.** Particles jumps with Poisson clocks on sites of the lattice  $\mathbb{Z}$ , no two particles at the same site. Leftward with rate  $1/2 + \alpha$  and rightward with rate  $1/2 - \alpha$ . Number of particle is locally conserved.

▷ **Ginzburg–Landau  $\nabla\varphi$  model.** Interacting Brownian motions on  $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$dX^i = ((1/2 + \alpha) V'(X^{i+1} - X^i) - (1/2 - \alpha) V'(X^i - X^{i-1})) dt + dB_t^i, \quad i \in \mathbb{Z}$$

▷ **Hairer–Quastel model.** SPDE:

$$\partial_t g(t, x) = \Delta g(t, x) + \alpha F(\partial_x g(t, x)) + \eta(t, x) \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

where  $\eta$  is a short range/short memory gaussian process.

$\alpha = 0 \Rightarrow$  convergence to Gaussian fluctuations

$\alpha = \varepsilon \Rightarrow$  convergence to KPZ

[Bertini–Giacomin 1996 (WASEP), Hairer–Quastel 2015]

**Energy solutions:** a good notion of martingale solutions to SBE which allows to prove the weak KPZ universality conjecture for a large class of **stationary** models.

- ▷ [Gonçalves–Jara 2010/2014] Initial notion of energy solutions
- ▷ [Jara–G. 2013] Refined notion of energy solutions
- ▷ [G.–Perkowski 2015] Uniqueness for the refined notion

### Proofs of weak universality from energy solutions:

- ▷ General exclusion processes. [Gonçalves–Jara 2014, Gonçalves–Jara–Simon 2016, Franco–Gonçalves–Simon 2016]
- ▷ Zero–range processes and many other particle systems. [Gonçalves–Jara–Sethuraman 2015]
- ▷ Ginzburg–Landau  $\nabla\varphi$  model. [Diehl–G.–Perkowski 2016?]
- ▷ Hairer–Quastel model. [G.–Perkowski 2016]

▷ [Bertini–Giacomin 1996]: existence of random function  $h$  describing the scaling limit of the fluctuation of WASEP for which  $\varphi = e^h$  satisfies the Stochastic Heat Equation (SHE)

$$\mathcal{L}\varphi(t, x) = \varphi(t, x)\xi(t, x), \quad t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

▷ **Cole–Hopf**: transformation ( $\xi_\varepsilon$  a regularisation of  $\xi$ ),  $\varphi_\varepsilon = e^{h_\varepsilon}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}\varphi_\varepsilon(t, x) &= \varphi_\varepsilon(t, x)\xi_\varepsilon(t, x) - C_\varepsilon\varphi_\varepsilon(t, x) \\ &\quad \Downarrow \\ \mathcal{L}h_\varepsilon(t, x) &= (\partial_x h_\varepsilon(t, x))^2 - C_\varepsilon + \xi_\varepsilon(t, x) \end{aligned}$$

No equation!

▷ Intrinsic notions of solution:

- Energy solutions [Gonçalves–Jara 10/14]: weak notion, global in time solutions. Uniqueness established only recently [G.–Perkowski 2015].
- Rough paths [Hairer 2013]: strong notion, local solutions, uniqueness / stability.
- Regularity structures [Hairer 2014], paracontrolled distributions [G.–Perkowski 2015].

- ▷ **Cole–Hopf:** Not a general approach to universality, needs a specific structure, especially at the microscopic level. Only OK for specific models. [Bertini-Giacomin 1997, Dembo-Tsai 2013, Corwin-Tsai 2015, Corwin–Shen–Tsai 2016].
- ▷ **Rough–paths:** (but also Regularity structures or Paracontrolled distributions) need control of regularity, universality so far only for semilinear SPDEs (and on the torus). [Hairer–Quastel 2015, Hairer–Shen 2015, G.–Perkowski 2015]
- ▷ **Energy solutions:** requires precise knowledge of the invariant measure but otherwise quite flexible and powerful (and works easily on  $\mathbb{R}$ ).

Approach to weak universality:

- tightness of fluctuations
- martingale characterization of limit points;
- uniqueness  $\Rightarrow$  convergence.



**Definition 1** (Jara–Gonçalves, 2010)  $u$  is an **energy solution** of SBE if

$$M_t(\varphi) = u_t(\varphi) - u_0(\varphi) - \int_0^t u_s(\Delta\varphi) ds - \mathcal{B}_t(\varphi)$$

is a martingale with bracket  $[M(\varphi)]_t = t \|\partial_x \varphi\|_{L^2}^2$  and if

$$\mathbb{E} |\mathcal{B}_{s,t}(\varphi) - \mathcal{B}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(\varphi)|^2 \leq C\varepsilon |t - s| \|\partial_x \varphi\|_{L^2}^2 \quad (\text{energy condition})$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_{s,t}^\varepsilon(\varphi) = \int_s^t \partial_x(\rho_\varepsilon * u_s)^2(\varphi) ds$$

and  $\rho_\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-1} \rho(\varepsilon^{-1}x)$ .

▷ An energy solution is given by a **pair**  $(u, \mathcal{B})$ . Very little information about  $\mathcal{B}$ . As a consequence, energy solutions are too weak to be compared meaningfully.

Jara–G. introduced another notion of energy solution

**Definition 2** (Jara–G. 2013)  $(u, \mathcal{A})$  is a **controlled process** if

1. (Dirichlet)  $u_t(\varphi)$  is a Dirichlet process with

$$M_t(\varphi) = u_t(\varphi) - u_0(\varphi) - \int_0^t u_s(\Delta\varphi)ds - \mathcal{A}_t(\varphi)$$

is a martingale with bracket  $[M(\varphi)]_t = t \|\partial_x \varphi\|_{L^2}^2$  and  $[\mathcal{A}(\varphi)] = 0$ .

2. (Stationarity)  $u_t$  is a white noise for all  $t$ ;
3. (Time-reversal)  $\overleftarrow{u}_t = u_{T-t}$  satisfies 1. with  $\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_t(\varphi) = \mathcal{A}_T(\varphi) - \mathcal{A}_{T-t}(\varphi)$ .

▷ **Key property:** For controlled processes we can define and estimate efficiently additive functionals of the form

$$\int_0^t f(u_s)ds.$$

Let  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{OU}}$  be the generator of the OU process  $X$  given by  $\mathcal{L}X = D\xi$ . Itô formula for Dirichlet processes [Russo–Vallois], forward first:

$$F(u_T) = F(u_0) + \int_0^T \nabla F(u_s) dM_s + \int_0^T \nabla F(u_s) d\mathcal{A}_s + \int_0^T \mathcal{L}_{\text{OU}} F(u_s) ds$$

and then backward:

$$F(\overleftarrow{u}_T) = F(\overleftarrow{u}_0) + \int_0^T \nabla F(\overleftarrow{u}_s) d\overleftarrow{M}_s + \int_0^T \nabla F(\overleftarrow{u}_s) d\overleftarrow{\mathcal{A}}_s + \int_0^T \mathcal{L}_{\text{OU}} F(\overleftarrow{u}_s) ds.$$

Summing and using BDG inequalities :

$$2 \int_0^T \mathcal{L}_{\text{OU}} F(u_s) ds = - \int_0^T \nabla F(\overleftarrow{u}_s) d\overleftarrow{M}_s - \int_0^T \nabla F(u_s) dM_s$$

$$\mathbb{E} \left| \int_0^T \mathcal{L}_{\text{OU}} F(u_s) ds \right|^p \lesssim_p T^{p/2} \mathbb{E}[\mathcal{E}_{\text{OU}}(F)^{p/2}]$$

**Result:** powerful control of additive functionals of controlled processes.

[forward–backward Itô trick, Kipnis–Varadhan 1986, Chang–Landim–Olla 2001].

**Lemma 3** *If  $(u, \mathcal{A})$  is controlled then*

$$\mathcal{B}_t(\varphi) := \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{B}_t^\varepsilon(\varphi) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_s^t \partial_x(\rho_\varepsilon * u_s)^2(\varphi) ds$$

*with good estimates on space–time regularity (e.g. zero quadratic variation).*

**Definition 4** (Jara–G. 2013) *A controlled process  $(u, \mathcal{A})$  is a stationary solution to SBE if*

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{B}.$$

- ▷ Existence is proved via stationary Galerkin approximations  $u^N$ . The forward–backward Itô trick gives tightness for the approximate drift  $\mathcal{B}^N$ .
- ▷ Not difficult to show that particle systems converge to limits satisfying this alternative notion.
- ▷ This notion of solution is more powerful since brings along all the information about estimations of additive functionals, not only of  $\mathcal{B}$ .

**Theorem 5** (G.–Perkowski, 2015) *There exists only one controlled energy solution, in particular it coincides with the Cole–Hopf solution.*

The proof uses a key estimate from [Funaki–Quastel 2014].

Let  $(u, \mathcal{A})$  be an energy solution and let  $u^\varepsilon = \rho_\varepsilon * u$ . Then  $u^\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$du_t^\varepsilon(x) = \Delta u_t^\varepsilon(x)dt + (\rho_\varepsilon * d\mathcal{A}_t)(x) + (\rho_\varepsilon * dM_t)(x)$$

Consider  $\varphi_t^\varepsilon(x) = e^{h_t^\varepsilon(x)}$  where  $\partial_x h_t^\varepsilon(x) = u_t^\varepsilon(x)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d\varphi_t^\varepsilon(x) &= e^{h_t^\varepsilon(x)}(\Delta h_t^\varepsilon(x)dt + c_\varepsilon dt + \partial_x^{-1}(\rho_\varepsilon * d\mathcal{A}_t)(x) + \partial_x^{-1}(\rho_\varepsilon * dM_t)(x)) \\ &= \Delta \varphi_t^\varepsilon(x)dt + \varphi_t^\varepsilon(x)(Q_t^\varepsilon + K^\varepsilon)dt + \varphi_t^\varepsilon(x)(\rho_\varepsilon * dW_t)(x) + dR_t^\varepsilon(\varphi) \end{aligned}$$

$$R_t^\varepsilon(\varphi) = \int_0^t (\varphi_s^\varepsilon(x) \partial_x^{-1}(\rho_\varepsilon * d\mathcal{A}_s)(x) - \varphi_s^\varepsilon(x) \Pi_0(u_s^\varepsilon(x))^2 ds - K^\varepsilon ds), \quad Q_t^\varepsilon = \int_{\mathbb{T}} ((u_s^\varepsilon(x))^2 - c_\varepsilon) dx.$$

If we show that  $R_t^\varepsilon(\varphi) \rightarrow 0$  then  $\varphi^\varepsilon \rightarrow \varphi$  solution to a tilted SHE which is unique.

We approximate  $R^\varepsilon$  as

$$\begin{aligned} R_t^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\varphi) &= \int_0^t (-K_\varepsilon ds + \varphi_s^\varepsilon(x) \partial_x^{-1}(\rho_\varepsilon * dB_s^\delta)(x) - \varphi_s^\varepsilon(x)(u_s^\varepsilon(x))^2 ds) \\ &= \int_0^t \left\{ -K_\varepsilon + e^{\partial_x^{-1} u_s^\varepsilon(x)} [\rho_\varepsilon * (\rho_\delta * u_s)^2 - (\rho_\varepsilon * u_s)^2](x) \right\} dt = \int_0^t f_{\varepsilon,\delta}(u_s) ds \end{aligned}$$

So we use the forward–backward Itô trick to get an  $L^2$  estimate

$$\mathbb{E}|R_t^{\varepsilon,\delta}(\varphi)|^2 \lesssim t \|f_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}^2$$

where  $\mathcal{H}^{-1}$  is the Sobolev space associated to the OU generator.

Following the strategy in Funaki–Quastel a detailed computation shows that there exists a choice for  $K_\varepsilon \rightarrow K = -1/2$  for which

$$\|f_{\varepsilon,\delta}\|_{\mathcal{H}^{-1}}^2 = \sup_{\Phi} [2\mathbb{E}(f_{\varepsilon,\delta}\Phi) - \|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}^2] \rightarrow 0.$$

It is enough to show that  $|\mathbb{E}(f_{\varepsilon,\delta}\Phi)| \leq o(1)\|\Phi\|_{\mathcal{H}^1}$ .

Consider the stochastic PDE

$$\partial_t v = \Delta v + \varepsilon^{1/2} \partial_x F(v) + \partial_x \chi^\varepsilon$$

on  $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}_\varepsilon$  with  $\mathbb{T}_\varepsilon = \mathbb{R} / (2\pi\varepsilon^{-1}\mathbb{Z})$ , where  $\chi^\varepsilon$  is a Gaussian noise that is white in time and spatially smooth. We modify the equation such that after rescaling  $\tilde{u}_t^\varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon^{-1/2} v_{t\varepsilon^{-2}}(x\varepsilon^{-1})$  we have

$$\partial_t \tilde{u}^\varepsilon = \Delta \tilde{u}^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{-1} \partial_x \Pi_0^N F(\varepsilon^{1/2} \tilde{u}^\varepsilon) + \partial_x \Pi_0^N \tilde{\xi}, \quad \tilde{u}_0^\varepsilon = \Pi_0^N \eta, \quad (1)$$

where  $\tilde{\xi}$  is a space-time white noise on  $[0, \infty) \times \mathbb{T}$  (where  $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}_1$ ) with variance 2,  $\eta$  is a space white noise which is independent of  $\tilde{\xi}$ ,  $\Pi_0^N$  denotes the projection onto the Fourier modes  $0 < |k| \leq N$ , and  $N = \pi/\varepsilon$ .

**Theorem** *Assume that  $F, F' \in L^2(\nu)$  where  $\nu$  is the standard normal distribution. Then  $u_t^\varepsilon(x) := \tilde{u}_t^\varepsilon(x - \varepsilon^{-1/2} c_1(F) t)$ ,  $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times \mathbb{T}$ , converges in distribution to the unique stationary energy solution  $u$  of*

$$\partial_t u = \Delta u + c_2(F) \partial_x u^2 + \partial_x \xi,$$

where  $\xi$  is a space-time white noise and for  $U \sim \nu$  and  $c_k(F) = \frac{1}{k!} \mathbb{E}[F(U) H_k(U)]$ .

**Galileian transformation.** Performing the change of variables  $u_t^\varepsilon(x) = \tilde{u}_t^\varepsilon(x - \varepsilon^{-1/2} c_1(F) t)$  Itô formula shows that  $u^\varepsilon$  solves

$$\partial_t u^\varepsilon = \Delta u^\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{-1} \partial_x \Pi_0^N (F (\varepsilon^{1/2} u^\varepsilon) - c_1(F) \varepsilon^{1/2} u^\varepsilon) + \partial_x \Pi_0^N \xi, \quad u_0^\varepsilon = \Pi_0^N \eta, \quad (2)$$

so we replaced the function  $F$  by  $\tilde{F}(x) = F(x) - c_1(F) x$ .

**Proposition** (Boltzmann–Gibbs principle) *Let  $G, G' \in L^2(\nu)$  Then for all  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 \leq s < t \leq s + 1$  and all  $\kappa > 0$*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \int_s^t \langle \varepsilon^{-1} \partial_x \Pi_0^N G (\varepsilon^{1/2} u_r^\varepsilon) - \varepsilon^{-1/2} c_1(G) \partial_x \Pi_0^N u_r^\varepsilon, e_{-\ell} \rangle \, dr \right|^2 \right] \\ \lesssim |t - s|^{3/2 - \kappa} \ell^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} |G'(x)|^2 \nu(dx)$$

*uniformly in  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , and for all  $M \leq N/2$*

$$\mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \int_s^t \langle \varepsilon^{-1} \partial_x \Pi_0^N G (\varepsilon^{1/2} u_r^\varepsilon) - \varepsilon^{-1/2} c_1(G) \partial_x \Pi_0^N u_r^\varepsilon - c_2(G) \partial_x (\Pi_0^M u_r^\varepsilon)^2, e_{-\ell} \rangle \, dr \right|^2 \right] \\ \lesssim |t - s| \ell^2 (M^{-1} + \varepsilon \log^2 N) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |G'(x)|^2 \nu(dx).$$



Thanks!